

**Swedish election study 2002
(VU02)**

SND- 0812

View: [Part 1: Document Description](#)
[Part 2: Study Description](#)
[Part 3: Data Files Description](#)
[Part 4: Variable Description](#)
[Entire Codebook](#)

Document Description

Title: xml-codebook for Swedish election study 2002
Parallel Title: xml-kodbok för Svensk valundersökning 2002
Identification Number: se.gu.ssd.ddi.0812-001
Authoring Entity: Swedish Social Science Data Service
Other identifications and acknowledgements: SIMS
Producer: Swedish Social Science Data Service
Copyright: Copyright (c) Swedish Social Science Data Service 2007
Date of Production: 2007-09-24
Software used in Production: Nesstar Publisher
Distributor: Swedish Social Science Data Service
Version:
Bibliographic Citation: xml-codebook for Swedish election study 2002, 1.xml edition, Göteborg 2007

Documentation Source

Title: Swedish election study 2002
Alternative Title: VU02
Parallel Title: Svensk valundersökning 2002
Identification Number: 0812-001
Distributor: Swedish Social Science Data Service

Study Description

Title: Swedish election study 2002
Alternative Title: VU02
Parallel Title: Svensk valundersökning 2002
Identification Number: 0812-001
Authoring Entity: Holmberg, Sören (Göteborg University. Department of Political Science)
Oscarsson, Henrik (Göteborg University. Department of Political Science)
Statistics Sweden

Producer: Department of Political Science

Statistics Sweden

*Software used in
Production:* Nesstar Publisher

*Funding
Agency/Sponsor:* The parliament

Distributor: Swedish Social Science Data Service

Series Name: Swedish National Election Studies

Series Information: The Swedish National Election Studies Program was initiated by Jörgen Westerståhl and Bo Särilvik in the mid 1950s, and the first studies were carried out in conjunction with the local elections 1954 and the parliamentary election in 1956. In all parliamentary elections since 1956 - including the ATP-referendum in 1957, the Nuclear Power referendum in 1980, the EU-referendum in 1994 and the European Parliament Elections in 1995 and 1999 - a large representative sample of eligible voters has been interviewed. Since 1973 the basic design has been a rolling panel in which half of the sample has been interviewed in connection with the previous election, and the other half in connection with the succeeding election. The election studies have, with the exception of the 1976 study, come into being through a close collaboration between the Department of Political Science at Göteborg University and Statistics Sweden (SCB). The 1976 study was conducted by the Department of Political Science in Uppsala in collaboration with SCB. The Survey Research Centre of Statistics Sweden is responsible for the sampling, their permanent interview organisation performs the field work, and they also collect additional data from public registers. The research project at the Department of Political Science is responsible for the general planning of the studies, the construction of the questionnaires, and the analysis and presentation of data. In 1956, respondents were interviewed twice, once before and once after election day. From the 1960 study onwards, with the exception of the 1970 study, field work has been carried out in two stages. The total sample is split into two subsamples of equal size. One subsample is contacted for personal interviews during the field work stage preceding the election. Respondents in this subsample are contacted again after election day through a short mail questionnaire. The primary purpose of this mail questionnaire is to obtain information about the final vote decision of these respondents. The second subsample is contacted for personal interview during the weeks immediately after the election. The 1970 election study differs from the others because the entire survey was carried out after election day. During this period, a great number of questions have been asked. Some questions are repeated in all surveys, which makes it possible to study changes over a period of nearly fifty years, and there are also questions specific to one or two surveys. The central questions in an election study are always those on party preferences. Therefore, all surveys contain questions on: voting habits, party preferences, and voting in both current and previous elections. Voter participation is also checked using electoral registers. Other recurring questions cover political interest and party identification. Social background factors such as information about the respondents' date of birth, sex, marital status, education, occupation and trade union affiliation are also available.

Version: First SSD edition

Study Scope

Topic domestic political issues , elections , government, political systems and organisations ,
Classification: mass media , mass political behaviour, attitudes/opinion

Abstract: This is the fifteenth election study carried out in Sweden in connection with a Swedish general election. Many of the questions are replications of questions asked in one or several of the previous surveys, but there are also a number of questions not asked before. The interview included questions on how much the respondent takes part of political matters in mass media; political interest in general and political discussions among family and friends; important issues when deciding how to vote; and preferred formation of the government after the election and person preferred as prime minister, as well as opinion on government and opposition 1998-2002 and assumptions how the Social Democrats and the bourgeois

parties respectively would manage as governing party/parties 2002-2006. There were also a number of questions on the opinions of the political parties regarding: employment, the Swedish economy, taxes, the conditions for enterprise, environment, energy and nuclear power, social security, care of the elderly, health and medical service, child care, school and education, ethics and moral, law and order, equality, sparsely-populated areas, foreign affairs and security policy, aid to developing countries, the European Union, EMU, refugees and immigration, US war on terrorism, Middle East conflict, and NATO. Political parties and party leaders were to be placed on a scale ranging from strongly dislike to strongly like. Respondents also had to give their opinion on the Social democratic party and the Conservative party and their party leaders with regard to how reliable, inspiring, and sympathetic they are and how much they know about what ordinary people likes. The respondents also had to state how much confidence they had in Swedish politicians. The respondent had to rate a great number of suggestions occurring in the political debate. A number of questions dealt with party preference; vote in the September elections; things important when choosing party; votes in earlier elections. As in earlier election studies the respondent had to place the political parties on a political left-right scale. In this survey the political parties also had to be placed on a scale concerning their opinion towards EMU. The respondent also had to place herself/himself on these scales.

Time Period: -

Date of Collection: -

Country: Sweden

Unit of Analysis: Individual

Universe: Individuals aged 18-80 years, residing in Sweden and eligible to vote in the parliamentary election 2002.

Kind of Data: Survey data

Methodology and Processing

Time Method: Rolling two-stage panel

Data Collector: Statistics Sweden

Sampling Procedure: Random sample

Mode of Data Collection: Face-to-face interview

Type of Research Instrument: Semi-structured

Sources Statement

Class of the Study: SSD class

Data Access

Availability Status: B - Requires permission from the principal investigator

Extent of Collection: 1 data file + machine-readable documentation (text) + SPSS data definition statements + SAS data definition statements. Other formats on demand.

Restrictions: Access to the material granted for scientific and educational purpose; SSD's permission procedure.

Citation Requirement: Publications based on SSD collections should acknowledge those sources by means of bibliographic citations. To ensure that such source attributions are captured for social science bibliographic utilities, citations must appear in footnotes or in the reference section of publication.

Deposit: Users of SSD data are requested to send to SSD bibliographic citations for, or copies of,

Requirement: each completed manuscript or thesis abstract.

Disclaimer: The original collector of the data, SSD, and the relevant funding agency bear no responsibility for uses of this collection or for interpretations or inferences based upon such uses. According to an agreement between the principal investigator and SSD this study can be disseminated to and analyzed by researchers on condition that the usage is for research purpose. The code of ethics for humanities and social sciences research established in 1990 by the Swedish Council for Research in the Humanities and Social Science, and published in HSFR ethics, must be adhered to wherever applicable.

Other Study Description Materials

Related Materials

- Title:** Codebook
<http://www.ssd.gu.se/index.php?file=418>
- Title:** Questionnaire Stage A
<http://www.ssd.gu.se/index.php?file=487>
- Title:** Questionnaire Stage B
<http://www.ssd.gu.se/index.php?file=488>
- Title:** Mail questionnaire Stage A
<http://www.ssd.gu.se/index.php?file=489>
- Title:** English questionnaire Stage A+B
<http://www.ssd.gu.se/index.php?file=490>
- Title:** English mail questionnaire Stage A
<http://www.ssd.gu.se/index.php?file=491>

Related Studies

- Title:** EU-parliament election study 1995 (SSD 0599)
- Title:** EU-parliament election study 1999 (SSD 0759)
- Title:** EU-parliament election study 2004 (SSD 0835)
- Title:** Referendum study 1957 (SSD 0208)
- Title:** Referendum study 1980 (SSD 0203)
- Title:** Referendum study 1994 (SSD 0496)
- Title:** Referendum study 2003 (SSD 0814)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1956 (SSD 0020)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1960 (SSD 0001)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1964 (SSD 0007)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1968 (SSD 0039)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1970 (SSD 0047)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1973 (SSD 0040)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1976 (SSD 0008)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1979 (SSD 0089)
- Title:** Swedish election study 1982 (SSD 0157)

Title: Swedish election study 1985 (SSD 0217)

Title: Swedish election study 1988 (SSD 0227)

Title: Swedish election study 1991 (SSD 0391)

Title: Swedish election study 1994 (SSD 0570)

Title: Swedish election study 1998 (SSD 0750)

Related Publications

Title: Holmberg, S., & Oscarsson, H. (2004) *Väljare : svenskt väljarbeteende under 50 år*. Stockholm: Norstedts juridik. ISBN: 91-39-10699-3.

File Description

File: `se.gu.ssd.ddi.0812-001.NSDstat`

- Number of cases: 3788
- No. of variables per record: 624
- Type of File: NSDstat 200401

Variable Description

Variable Groups

- [Survey information](#)
- [Politics in newspapers](#)
- [News programs](#)
- [Political interest](#)
- [Important issue at election](#)
- [Parties forming government](#)
- [Opinion about policy of parties on various issues](#)
- [Opinion on different parties and party leaders](#)
- [Confidence in Swedish politicians](#)
- [Opinion on different proposals](#)
- [Opinion on nuclear power](#)
- [Opinion on EU](#)
- [Opinion about policy of parties on various international issues](#)
- [Opinion on different proposals on international issues](#)
- [Opinions in the political debate](#)
- [Opinions concerning parties and politics](#)
- [Development of society](#)
- [Opinion on the human nature](#)
- [Party preferences](#)
- [Position on left-right scale](#)
- [Position on EMU-opinion scale](#)
- [Referendum on Swedish EMU membership](#)
- [Opinion on different proposals on EU issues](#)
- [Opinion about policy of parties on Swedish EMU membership](#)
- [Economic situation in Sweden](#)
- [Knowledge of party belonging](#)
- [Knowledge true or false statement](#)
- [Voting election 2002](#)
- [Reason voting/not voting election 2002](#)
- [Reason for choice of party](#)
- [Expected election results](#)
- [Candidate knowledge](#)
- [Personal voting](#)

- [The parliament and the MPs](#)
- [Voting election 1998](#)
- [Election campaign](#)
- [Emphasised party issues](#)
- [CSES - Activities during election campaign](#)
- [CSES - Important issue last four years](#)
- [CSES - Performance of government past four years](#)
- [CSES - Democracy in Sweden](#)
- [CSES - Representation](#)
- [CSES - Party preference](#)
- [CSES - Opinion on political parties](#)
- [CSES - Position on left-right scale](#)
- [CSES - Expression of opinion in political matters](#)
- [CSES - Individual freedom and human rights](#)
- [CSES - Corruption amongst politicians](#)
- [CSES - Internet communication](#)
- [Background information - interview person](#)
- [Background information - partner](#)
- [Household information](#)
- [Interest in receiving report](#)
- [Pre-election questionnaire](#)
- [Constructed variables and register information](#)

Survey information

Variables within Survey information

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [ID-number](#)
- [Subsample](#)
- [Sample stage](#)
- [Interview stage](#)
- [Type of interview](#)
- [SCB result code](#)
- [Interview week](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Length of interview](#)

Politics in newspapers

Variables within Politics in newspapers

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [Q.1 Reading news and articles about politics](#)
- [Q.2A Reading about national politics](#)
- [Q.2B Reading about local politics](#)
- [Q.2C Reading about international politics](#)
- [Q.2D Reading about EU issues](#)

News programs

Variables within News programs

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.3A Watch "Rapport" - news on national TV-channel SVT](#)
- [Q.3B Watch "Aktuellt" - news on national TV-channel SVT](#)
- [Q.3C Listen to "Ekot" - long newscasts on national radio](#)
- [Q.3D Watch "Nyheterna" - news on terrestrial commercial channel TV 4](#)
- [Q.3E Watch "Direkt" - news on satellite/cable commercial channel TV3](#)

Political interest

Variables within Political interest

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.4 Interest in politics](#)
- [Q.5A Political discussions in family and among friends](#)
- [Q.5B Interest in political discussions](#)
- [Q.5C Interest in election result](#)
- [Q.5D Differences between parties in Parliament](#)

Important issue at election

Variables within Important issue at election

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice at the parliament election](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice - Several important issues](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice - Number of important issues](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election, 1](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election, 2](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election, 3](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election, 4](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election, 5](#)

Parties forming government

Variables within Parties forming government

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.7A Governing parties - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.7A Governing parties - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.7B Prime Minister after the election](#)
- [Q.8AA Social Democrats as governing party 1998-2002](#)
- [Q.8AB Bourgeois parties as opposition parties 1998-2002](#)
- [Q.8BA Social Democrats as governing party 2002-2006](#)
- [Q.8BB Bourgeois parties as governing parties 2002-2006](#)

Opinion about policy of parties on various issues

Variables within Opinion about policy of parties on various issues

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [ID-number](#)
- [Subsample](#)
- [Sample stage](#)
- [Interview stage](#)
- [Type of interview](#)
- [SCB result code](#)
- [Interview week](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)

- [Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Environment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Environment: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Environment: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Environment: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Energy and nuclear power: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Energy and nuclear power: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Energy and nuclear power: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Energy and nuclear power: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Social security: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Social security: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Social security: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Social security: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Care of elderly: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Care of elderly: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Care of elderly: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Care of elderly: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Health care: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Health care: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Health care: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Health care: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Child care: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Child care: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Child care: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Child care: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Schools and education: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Schools and education: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Schools and education: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Schools and education: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Ethics and moral issues: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Ethics and moral issues: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Ethics and moral issues: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Ethics and moral issues: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Law and order: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Law and order: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Law and order: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Law and order: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Equality: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Equality: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Equality: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Equality: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Sparsely populated areas: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Sparsely populated areas: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Sparsely populated areas: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Sparsely populated areas: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)

Opinion on different parties and party leaders

Variables within Opinion on different parties and party leaders

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [Interview week](#)
- [Year of birth](#)
- [Gender](#)
- [Q.1 Reading news and articles about politics](#)
- [Q.2A Reading about national politics](#)

- [Q.10AA Attitude towards the Centre party](#)
- [Q.10AB Attitude towards the Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.10AC Attitude towards the Left Party](#)
- [Q.10AD Attitude towards the People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.10AE Attitude towards the Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.10AF Attitude towards the Green Party](#)
- [Q.10AG Attitude towards the Christian Democrats](#)
- [Q.10BA Attitude towards Maud Olofsson](#)
- [Q.10BB Attitude towards Bo Lundgren](#)
- [Q.10BC Attitude towards Gudrun Schyman](#)
- [Q.10BD Attitude towards Lars Leijonborg](#)
- [Q.10BE Attitude towards Göran Persson](#)
- [Q.10BF Attitude towards Peter Eriksson](#)
- [Q.10BG Attitude towards till Maria Wetterstrand](#)
- [Q.10BH Attitude towards Alf Svensson](#)
- [Q.11A Göran Persson: Trustworthy](#)
- [Q.11A Göran Persson: Inspiring](#)
- [Q.11A Göran Persson: Knowledgeable](#)
- [Q.11A Göran Persson: Friendly](#)
- [Q.11A Göran Persson: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people](#)
- [Q.11A Göran Persson: Speaks so that ordinary people can understand](#)
- [Q.11A Göran Persson: Strong leader](#)
- [Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Trustworthy](#)
- [Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Inspiring](#)
- [Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Knowledgeable](#)
- [Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Friendly](#)
- [Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people](#)
- [Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Speaks so that ordinary people can understand](#)
- [Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Strong leader](#)
- [Q.11B Social Democrats: Trustworthy](#)
- [Q.11B Social Democrats: Inspiring](#)
- [Q.11B Social Democrats: Friendly](#)
- [Q.11B Social Democrats: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people](#)
- [Q.11B Social Democrats: Competent people](#)
- [Q.11B Social Democrats: Clear policies](#)
- [Q.11B Social Democrats: Keeps its election promises](#)
- [Q.11B Moderate Party: Trustworthy](#)
- [Q.11B Moderate Party: Inspiring](#)
- [Q.11B Moderate Party: Friendly](#)
- [Q.11B Moderate Party: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people](#)
- [Q.11B Moderate Party: Competent people](#)
- [Q.11B Moderate Party: Clear policies](#)
- [Q.11B Moderate Party: Keeps its election promises](#)

Confidence in Swedish politicians

Variables within Confidence in Swedish politicians

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [Q.2A Reading about national politics](#)
- [Q.12 Trust in Swedish politicians](#)

Opinion on different proposals

Variables within Opinion on different proposals

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [Q.2A Reading about national politics](#)
- [Q.2B Reading about local politics](#)
- [Q.2C Reading about international politics](#)
- [Q.13A Good-bad: Reduce the public sector](#)
- [Q.13B Good-bad: Reduce defence spendings](#)

- [Q.13C Good-bad: Reduce social benefits](#)
- [Q.13D Good-bad: Cut taxes](#)
- [Q.13E Good-bad: Keep the property tax](#)
- [Q.13F Good-bad: Privatize state owned business and public utilities](#)
- [Q.13G Good-bad: Reduce income differences in society](#)
- [Q.13H Good-bad: Increase the proportion of health care run by private interests](#)
- [Q.13I Good-bad: Reduce the influence on politics by the financial markets](#)
- [Q.13J Good-bad: Keep the maximum charge in child care](#)
- [Q.13K Good-bad: Promote independent schools](#)
- [Q.13L Good-bad: Introduce reports with grades at an earlier age](#)
- [Q.13M Good-bad: Increase financial support to sparsely populated areas](#)
- [Q.13N Good-bad: Introduce six hour working day for all gainfully employed](#)
- [Q.13O Good-bad: Prohibit all forms of pornography](#)
- [Q.13P Good-bad: Restrict the right to free abortion](#)
- [Q.13Q Good-bad: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children](#)
- [Q.13R Good-bad: Raise the severity of prison sentences](#)
- [Q.13S Good-bad: Strengthen animal rights](#)
- [Q.13T Good-bad: Abolish nuclear power in the long run](#)
- [Q.13U Good-bad: Stop private driving in inner cities](#)
- [Q.13V Good-bad: Increase the amount of labour immigrants](#)
- [Q.13W Good-bad: Introduce language test as criterion for citizenship](#)

Opinion on nuclear power

Variables within Opinion on nuclear power

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [Q.2D Reading about EU issues](#)
- [Q.14 Opinion on nuclear power](#)

Opinion on EU

Variables within Opinion on EU

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [Q.2D Reading about EU issues](#)
- [Q.15 Opinion on Swedish membership of the EU](#)
- [Q.16 EU developing into a federal state](#)

Opinion about policy of parties on various international issues

Variables within Opinion about policy of parties on various international issues

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.2D Reading about EU issues](#)
- [Q.3A Watch "Rapport" - news on national TV-channel SVT](#)
- [Q.3B Watch "Aktuellt" - news on national TV-channel SVT](#)
- [Q.3C Listen to "Ekot" - long newscasts on national radio](#)
- [Q.17 Foreign and security policy: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 Foreign and security policy: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 Foreign and security policy: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 Foreign and security policy: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 Aid to developing countries: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 Aid to developing countries: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 Aid to developing countries: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 Aid to developing countries: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 EU: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 EU: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 EU: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 EU: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 EMU: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)

- [Q.17 EMU: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 EMU: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 EMU: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 Refugee/immigration issues: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 Refugee/immigration issues: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 Refugee/immigration issues: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 Refugee/immigration issues: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 US war on terrorism: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 US war on terrorism: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 US war on terrorism: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 US war on terrorism: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 Israel-Palestine conflict: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 Israel-Palestine conflict: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 Israel-Palestine conflict: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 Israel-Palestine conflict: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 NATO: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 NATO: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.17 NATO: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.17 NATO: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)

Opinion on different proposals on international issues

Variables within Opinion on different proposals on international issues

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.3C Listen to "Ekot" - long newscasts on national radio](#)
- [Q.3D Watch "Nyheterna" - news on terrestrial commercial channel TV 4](#)
- [Q.18A Good-bad: Reduce development aid](#)
- [Q.18B Good-bad: Accept fewer refugees into Sweden](#)
- [Q.18C Good-bad: Increase economic support to immigrants so they could maintain their own culture](#)
- [Q.18D Good-bad: Write off third world debt](#)
- [Q.18E Good-bad: Sweden should leave the EU](#)
- [Q.18F Good-bad: Sweden should join the EMU](#)
- [Q.18G Good-bad: Sweden should apply for membership of NATO](#)
- [Q.18H Good-bad: Promote increased free trade](#)
- [Q.18I Good-bad: Political support to the US war on terrorism](#)

Opinions in the political debate

Variables within Opinions in the political debate

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.3D Watch "Nyheterna" - news on terrestrial commercial channel TV 4](#)
- [Q.19A State control over private business and industry](#)
- [Q.19B Social reforms have gone too far](#)

Opinions concerning parties and politics

Variables within Opinions concerning parties and politics

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.3D Watch "Nyheterna" - news on terrestrial commercial channel TV 4](#)
- [Q.20A The parties are only interested in people's votes](#)
- [Q.20B MPs do not pay much attention to the thoughts and views of ordinary people](#)
- [Q.20C Parties do not keep their election promises](#)

Development of society

Variables within Development of society

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.3D Watch "Nyheterna" - news on terrestrial commercial channel TV 4](#)
- [Q.3E Watch "Direkt" - news on satellite/cable commercial channel TV3](#)

- [Q.4 Interest in politics](#)
- [Q.21A Most important goal how our society should develop](#)
- [Q.21B Second most important goal how our society should develop](#)
- [Q.21C Least important goal how our society should develop](#)
- [Q.22A Society: Private enterprise and market economy](#)
- [Q.22B Society: Socialist](#)
- [Q.22C Society: Economic growth and high productivity](#)
- [Q.22D Society: Environmentally friendly](#)
- [Q.22E Society: Advanced techniques](#)
- [Q.22F Society: Christian values](#)
- [Q.22G Society: Law and order](#)
- [Q.22H Society: Equality between men and women](#)
- [Q.22I Society: Swedish values](#)
- [Q.22J Society: Strengthen position of the family](#)
- [Q.22K Society: Multi cultural](#)
- [Q.22L Society: Internationalism and less borders](#)

Opinion on the human nature

Variables within Opinion on the human nature

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.4 Interest in politics](#)
- [Q.23 Trust: People in general](#)

Party preferences

Variables within Party preferences

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.4 Interest in politics](#)
- [Q.24A Party adherent](#)
- [Q.24B Party preference](#)
- [Q.24C Party identification](#)
- [Q.24D Closest party](#)
- [Q.25 Second best party](#)

Position on left-right scale

Variables within Position on left-right scale

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.5A Political discussions in family and among friends](#)
- [Q.5B Interest in political discussions](#)
- [Q.26AA Left-right scale: People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.26AB Left-right scale: Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.26AC Left-right scale: Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.26AD Left-right scale: Left Party](#)
- [Q.26AE Left-right scale: Centre Party](#)
- [Q.26AF Left-right scale: Green Party](#)
- [Q.26AG Left-right scale: Christian Democrats](#)
- [Q.26B Left-right scale: R's own position](#)
- [Q.26C Left-right scale: Lars Leijonborg](#)
- [Q.26C Left-right scale: Göran Persson](#)
- [Q.26C Left-right scale: Bo Lundgren](#)
- [Q.26C Left-right scale: Gudrun Schyman](#)
- [Q.26C Left-right scale: Maud Olofsson](#)
- [Q.26C Left-right scale: Peter Eriksson](#)
- [Q.26C Left-right scale: Maria Wetterstrand](#)
- [Q.26C Left-right scale: Alf Svensson](#)

Position on EMU-opinion scale

Variables within Position on EMU-opinion scale

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.5B Interest in political discussions](#)
- [Q.5C Interest in election result](#)
- [Q.27AA EMU-opinion scale: Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.27AB EMU-opinion scale: Green Party](#)
- [Q.27AC EMU-opinion scale: Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.27AD EMU-opinion scale: People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.27AE EMU-opinion scale: Left Party](#)
- [Q.27AF EMU-opinion scale: Centre Party](#)
- [Q.27AG EMU-opinion scale: Christian Democrats](#)
- [Q.27B EMU-opinion scale: R's own position](#)

Referendum on Swedish EMU membership

Variables within Referendum on Swedish EMU membership

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.5C Interest in election result](#)
- [Q.28A Voting intention in a referendum on Swedish EMU membership](#)
- [Q.28B Vote in a referendum on Swedish EMU membership](#)
- [Q.28C Possible alternative in a referendum on Swedish EMU membership](#)
- [Q.28A Alternative if voting in a referendum on Swedish EMU membership](#)

Opinion on different proposals on EU issues

Variables within Opinion on different proposals on EU issues

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.5C Interest in election result](#)
- [Q.5D Differences between parties in Parliament](#)
- [Q.29A Good-bad: Accept new EU members as soon as possible](#)
- [Q.29B Good-bad: Participate in the EU defence co-operation](#)
- [Q.29C Good-bad: Reduce the possibility to veto decisions by the Council of Ministers](#)
- [Q.29D Good-bad: Stricter border controls between EU countries](#)
- [Q.29E Good-bad: Reduce agricultural subsidies in the EU](#)
- [Q.29F Good-bad: Decriminalise use of hashish](#)

Opinion about policy of parties on Swedish EMU membership

Variables within Opinion about policy of parties on Swedish EMU membership

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.5D Differences between parties in Parliament](#)
- [Q.30A In favour of a Swedish EMU membership - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.30A In favour of a Swedish EMU membership - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.30B Against a Swedish EMU membership - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.30B Against a Swedish EMU membership - new and smaller parties](#)

Economic situation in Sweden

Variables within Economic situation in Sweden

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.5D Differences between parties in Parliament](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice at the parliament election](#)
- [Q.31A View on the current economic situation in Sweden](#)
- [Q.31B Changes in Sweden's economic situation last year](#)
- [Q.31C Changes in Sweden's economic situation coming year](#)
- [Q.31D Changes in own economic situation last year](#)
- [Q.31E Changes in own economic situation coming year](#)

Knowledge of party belonging

Variables within Knowledge of party belonging

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice at the parliament election](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice - Several important issues](#)
- [Q.32A Party belonging - Karin Pilsäter](#)
- [Q.32B Party belonging - Bo Ringholm](#)
- [Q.32C Party belonging - Lena Ek](#)
- [Q.32D Party belonging - Gunilla Carlsson](#)
- [Q.32E Party belonging - Åsa Domeij](#)
- [Q.32F Party belonging - Johan Lönnroth](#)
- [Q.32G Party belonging - Inger Davidsson](#)
- [Q.32H Party belonging - Anna Lindh](#)

Knowledge true or false statement

Variables within Knowledge true or false statement

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice - Several important issues](#)
- [Q.33A Knowledge: Sickness benefit in relation to wage](#)
- [Q.33B Knowledge: Number of MPs](#)
- [Q.33C Knowledge: Size of open unemployment](#)
- [Q.33D Knowledge: Value of the Euro](#)
- [Q.33E Knowledge: Spain member of EU](#)
- [Q.33F Knowledge: Aid to developing countries in relation to GDI](#)
- [Q.33G Knowledge: Number of people seeking asylum in Sweden 2001](#)
- [Q.33H Knowledge: Type of government during the 1998-2002 election period](#)

Voting election 2002

Variables within Voting election 2002

- [SSD Edition NR 1](#)
- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice - Several important issues](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue for party choice - Number of important issues](#)
- [Q.34A Intention to vote 2002](#)
- [Q.34B Party R intend to vote on](#)
- [Q.34FA Vote at a polling station or in a post office](#)
- [Q.34A Voted in the 2002 election](#)
- [Q.34B/MQ.1A Party voted for in the 2002 parliamentary election](#)
- [Q.34C/MQ.2A Party voted for in the 2002 local government election](#)
- [Q.34D/MQ.3A Party voted for in the 2002 county council election](#)
- [Q.34E/MQ.5 Time of party choice](#)
- [Q.34F Postal vote in 2002](#)
- [Q.34G Time of postal vote 2002](#)
- [Q.34H Party if voted in parliamentary election](#)

Reason voting/not voting election 2002

Variables within Reason voting/not voting election 2002

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election, 1](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election, 2](#)
- [Q.34I Important reason for voting in the parliament election 1](#)
- [Q.34I Important reason for voting in the parliament election 2](#)
- [Q.34I Important reason for voting in the parliament election 3](#)
- [Q.34JA Reason not voting/voting blank: Not interested in politics](#)
- [Q.34JB Reason not voting/voting blank: Not enough knowledge](#)

- [Q.34JC Reason not voting/voting blank: No trust in politicians](#)
- [Q.34JD Reason not voting/voting blank: Small differences between the parties](#)
- [Q.34JE Reason not voting/voting blank: No party representing R's views](#)
- [Q.34JF Reason not voting/voting blank: Not in agreement on key issues](#)
- [Q.34JG Reason not voting/voting blank: Makes no difference](#)
- [Q.34JH Reason not voting/voting blank: Obvious who would be in government](#)
- [Q.34JI Reason not voting/voting blank: Busy/away/ill/accident](#)

Reason for choice of party

Variables within Reason for choice of party

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election. 2](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election. 3](#)
- [Q.35A Reason for choice of party: Favourable to R's occupational group](#)
- [Q.35B Reason for choice of party: Good party leader](#)
- [Q.35C Reason for choice of party: Supporter of the party](#)
- [Q.35D Reason for choice of party: Good policy in many issues in recent political debate](#)
- [Q.35E Reason for choice of party: Competent persons](#)
- [Q.35F Reason for choice of party: Good political ideology](#)
- [Q.35G Reason for choice of party: Always vote for the party](#)
- [Q.35H Reason for choice of party: Good candidates for the parliament](#)
- [Q.35I Reason for choice of party: Good policy in important issues](#)
- [Q.35J Reason for choice of party: Good job in politics in recent years](#)
- [Q.35K Reason for choice of party: Good programme for the future](#)
- [Q.35L Reason for choice of party: Big party with better chance to implement its politics](#)
- [Q.35M Reason for choice of party: In danger of not reaching the four percent threshold](#)

Expected election results

Variables within Expected election results

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election. 3](#)
- [Q.36A Social Democrats' proportion of the votes](#)
- [Q.36B Moderate Party's proportion of the votes](#)
- [Q.36C Green Party at least 4 percent in the election](#)
- [Q.36C Centre Party at least 4 percent in the election](#)
- [Q.36C People's Party at least 4 percent in the election](#)

Candidate knowledge

Variables within Candidate knowledge

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election. 4](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election. 5](#)
- [Q.37 Knowledge of parliament candidates in R's constituency](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 1 - name](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 1 - party](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 1 - gender](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 1 - correct/incorrect name](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 2 - name](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 2 - party](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 2 - gender](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 2 - correct/incorrect name](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 3 - name](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 3 - party](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 3 - gender](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 3 - correct/incorrect name](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 4 - name](#)

- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 4 - party](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 4 - gender](#)
- [Q.37B Parliament candidate 4 - correct/incorrect name](#)

Personal voting

Variables within Personal voting

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.6 Important issue at election. 5](#)
- [Q.7A Governing parties - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election](#)
- [Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election - name](#)
- [Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election - party](#)
- [Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election - gender](#)
- [Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election - correct/incorrect name](#)
- [Q.39 Opinion on personal vote](#)
- [Q.38B Reason personal vote. 1](#)
- [Q.38B Reason personal vote. 2](#)
- [Q.38B Reason personal vote. 3](#)
- [Q.38B Reason no personal vote. 1](#)
- [Q.38B Reason no personal vote. 2](#)

The parliament and the MPs

Variables within The parliament and the MPs

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.7A Governing parties - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.7A Governing parties - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.40A Read article or interview with an MP](#)
- [Q.40B Attended meeting where an MP appeared](#)
- [Q.40C Written a letter or e-mail or other contact with an MP](#)
- [Q.40D Visited an MP's home page on the Internet](#)

Voting election 1998

Variables within Voting election 1998

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.7A Governing parties - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.41A Voted in the 1998 parliamentary election](#)
- [Q.41B Party voted for in the 1998 parliamentary election](#)

Election campaign

Variables within Election campaign

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [Q.7A Governing parties - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.7B Prime Minister after the election](#)
- [Q.8AA Social Democrats as governing party 1998-2002](#)
- [Q.43A Read election brochure or other printed material](#)
- [Q.43A Read election brochure - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.43A Read election brochure - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.43B Visited party's home page](#)
- [Q.43B Visited party's home page - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.43B Visited party's home page - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.43C Attended election meeting](#)
- [Q.43C Attended election meeting - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.43C Attended election meeting - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.43D Personal visit or telephone call](#)
- [Q.43D Personal visit or telephone call - traditional parliament parties](#)

- [Q.43D Personal visit or telephone call - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.43E Personal contact with campaigner at workplace](#)
- [Q.43E Personal contact with campaigner at workplace - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.43E Personal contact with campaigner at workplace - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.43F Convinced people to vote for a particular party](#)
- [Q.44/MQ.6 Watched the concluding party leader debate on national TV](#)

Emphasised party issues

Variables within Emphasised party issues

- [SSD Part NR 001](#)
- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.8AA Social Democrats as governing party 1998-2002](#)
- [Q.8AB Bourgeois parties as opposition parties 1998-2002](#)
- [Q.8BA Social Democrats as governing party 2002-2006](#)
- [Q.8BB Bourgeois parties as governing parties 2002-2006](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Centre Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Centre Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Centre Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Centre Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Centre Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Left Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Left Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Left Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Left Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Left Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Green Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Green Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Green Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Green Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Green Party](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Christian Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Christian Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Christian Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Christian Democrats](#)
- [Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Christian Democrats](#)

CSES - Activities during election campaign

Variables within CSES - Activities during election campaign

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.8BB Bourgeois parties as governing parties 2002-2006](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.46A CSES - Convince other to vote for a particular party](#)
- [Q.46B CSES - Convince other to vote for a particular party. frequency](#)

- [Q.46C CSES - Show support for particular party](#)
- [Q.46D CSES - Show support for particular party, frequency](#)
- [Q.47 CSES - Contacted by political party](#)

CSES - Important issue last four years

Variables within CSES - Important issue last four years

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.48 CSES - Most important issue last four years](#)
- [Q.49A CSES - Government attending most important issue](#)
- [Q.49BA CSES - Influence development in most important issue: Government](#)
- [Q.49BB CSES - Influence development in most important issue: Local councils](#)
- [Q.49BC CSES - Influence development in most important issue: Regional councils](#)
- [Q.49BD CSES - Influence development in most important issue: EU](#)

CSES - Performance of government past four years

Variables within CSES - Performance of government past four years

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.50 CSES - Opinion on government's performance in general](#)

CSES - Democracy in Sweden

Variables within CSES - Democracy in Sweden

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.51 CSES - Opinion on the way democracy works in Sweden](#)
- [Q.52 CSES - Makes a difference who is in power](#)
- [Q.53 CSES - Importance of voting](#)
- [Q.54 CSES - Opinion on democracy](#)

CSES - Representation

Variables within CSES - Representation

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.55 CSES - Performance of R's party choice](#)
- [Q.56 CSES - Views of voters represented by MPs](#)
- [Q.57A CSES - Existence of party representing R's views](#)
- [Q.57B CSES - Party representing R's views](#)
- [Q.58A CSES - Existence of party leader representing R's views](#)
- [Q.58B CSES - Party leader representing R's views](#)

CSES - Party preference

Variables within CSES - Party preference

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.59A CSES - Party adherent](#)
- [Q.59B CSES - Party preference 1](#)
- [Q.59B CSES - Party preference 2](#)
- [Q.59B CSES - Party preference 3](#)
- [Q.59C CSES - Closest party](#)
- [Q.59D CSES - Close to party but not party adherent](#)

- [Q.59E CSES - Party preference for not party adherent](#)
- [Q.59F CSES - Party identification for not party adherent](#)

CSES - Opinion on political parties

Variables within CSES - Opinion on political parties

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Employment: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.60AA CSES - Opinion of the Centre Party](#)
- [Q.60AB CSES - Opinion of the Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.60AC CSES - Opinion of the Left Party](#)
- [Q.60AD CSES - Opinion of the People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.60AE CSES - Opinion of the Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.60AF CSES - Opinion of the Green Party](#)
- [Q.60AG CSES - Opinion of the Christian Democrats](#)
- [Q.60BA CSES - Opinion of Maud Olofsson](#)
- [Q.60BB CSES - Opinion of Bo Lundgren](#)
- [Q.60BC CSES - Opinion of Gudrun Schyman](#)
- [Q.60BD CSES - Opinion of Lars Leijonborg](#)
- [Q.60BE CSES - Opinion of Göran Persson](#)
- [Q.60BF CSES - Opinion of Peter Eriksson](#)
- [Q.60BG CSES - Opinion of Maria Wetterstrand](#)
- [Q.60BH CSES - Opinion of Alf Svensson](#)

CSES - Position on left-right scale

Variables within CSES - Position on left-right scale

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.61A CSES - Left-right scale: R's placement](#)
- [Q.61BA CSES - Left-right scale: People's Party Liberals](#)
- [Q.61BB CSES - Left-right scale: Social Democrats](#)
- [Q.61BC CSES - Left-right scale: Moderate Party](#)
- [Q.61BD CSES - Left-right scale: Left Party](#)
- [Q.61BE CSES - Left-right scale: Centre Party](#)
- [Q.61BF CSES - Left-right scale: Green Party](#)
- [Q.61BG CSES - Left-right scale: Christian Democrats](#)

CSES - Expression of opinion in political matters

Variables within CSES - Expression of opinion in political matters

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [CSES - Expressed political view: Contacted politician](#)
- [CSES - Expressed political view: Demonstration](#)
- [CSES - Expressed political view: Worked together with people who share the same concern](#)

CSES - Individual freedom and human rights

Variables within CSES - Individual freedom and human rights

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [CSES - Respect for individual freedom and human rights](#)

CSES - Corruption amongst politicians

Variables within CSES - Corruption amongst politicians

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [CSES - Corruption amongst politicians in Sweden](#)

CSES - Internet communication

Variables within CSES - Internet communication

- [ID-number](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [CSES - Accepts responses communicated over the Internet](#)

Background information - interview person

Variables within Background information - interview person

- [SSD Study NR 0812](#)
- [ID-number](#)
- [Subsample](#)
- [Year of birth](#)
- [Gender](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Swedish economy: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.65A Labour market group](#)
- [Q.65B Gainfully employed before](#)
- [Q.65C Occupation](#)
- [Q.65D Full time or part time work](#)
- [Q.65E Main activity at workplace](#)
- [Q.65F Occupational group](#)
- [Q.65F Permanently employed](#)
- [Q.65F Own business beside employment](#)
- [Q.65F Other work beside regular employment](#)
- [Q.65G Employed by public or private employer](#)
- [Q.65H Assessment of personal health](#)
- [Q.65I On sick leave past 12 months](#)
- [Q.65J Total length of sick leave past 12 months](#)
- [Q.66A Student](#)
- [Q.66A Full time or part time studies](#)
- [Q.66B Education](#)
- [Q.67A Member of trade union](#)
- [Q.67A Trade union](#)
- [Q.68 Member of trade union - other person in household](#)
- [Q.69A Member of political party or organisation](#)
- [Q.69A Political party or organisation](#)
- [Q.69B Active member of political party or organisation](#)
- [Q.70A Church attendance](#)
- [Q.70B Church or denomination](#)
- [Q.71 Religious faith](#)
- [Q.72 Marital status](#)
- [Q.74 Subjective class belonging](#)
- [Q.75A Place where respondent lived as a child](#)
- [Q.75B Place where father lived as a child](#)
- [Q.75C Place where mother lived as a child](#)
- [Q.77B Language skills: English](#)
- [Q.77B Language skills: German](#)
- [Q.77B Language skills: French](#)
- [Q.77B Language skills: Spanish](#)

Background information - partner

Variables within Background information - partner

- [Subsample](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.73 Partner: Occupational group](#)

Household information

Variables within Household information

- [Subsample](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.76A Current home](#)
- [Q.76B Rents or owns current home](#)
- [Q.77A Language spoken in home](#)
- [Q.78 Children living in the household](#)
- [Q.78 Number of children living in the household](#)
- [Q.78 Child 1 living in household: Gender](#)
- [Q.78 Child 1 living in household: Age](#)
- [Q.78 Child 2 living in household: Gender](#)
- [Q.78 Child 2 living in household: Age](#)
- [Q.78 Child 3 living in household: Gender](#)
- [Q.78 Child 3 living in household: Age](#)
- [Q.78 Child 4 living in household: Gender](#)
- [Q.78 Child 4 living in household: Age](#)
- [Q.78 Child 5 living in household: Gender](#)
- [Q.78 Child 5 living in household: Age](#)
- [Q.78 Child 6 living in household: Gender](#)
- [Q.78 Child 6 living in household: Age](#)
- [Place of living](#)

Interest in receiving report

Variables within Interest in receiving report

- [Subsample](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Wishes to receive 'ACCOUNTS OF 1998 STUDY'](#)
- [Wishes to receive 'ACCOUNTS OF 2002 STUDY'](#)

Pre-election questionnaire

Variables within Pre-election questionnaire

- [Subsample](#)
- [Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [MQ.1B Personal vote in the parliament election 2002](#)
- [MQ.1B Personal vote in the parliament election 2002 - name](#)
- [MQ.1B Personal vote in the parliament election 2002 - gender](#)
- [MQ.1B Personal vote in the parliament election 2002 - correct/incorrect name](#)
- [MQ.4 Time for postal vote](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - No access to the Internet](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of morning newspaper](#)

- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of evening newspaper](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of TV channel](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Swedish Parliament](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the election authority](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Left Party](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Social Democrats](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Centre Party](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the People's Party Liberals](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Moderate Party](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Christian Democrats](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Green Party](#)
- [MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of one or more MPs](#)
- [MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Interesting and exciting](#)
- [MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Too much quarreling among parties](#)
- [MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Too conflictual and aggressive](#)
- [MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Clear differences between the parties](#)
- [MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Too much focus on the party leaders](#)
- [MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Objective and informative](#)
- [MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Difficult to understand the election debate in media](#)
- [MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Left Party](#)
- [MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Social Democrats](#)
- [MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Centre Party](#)
- [MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of People's Party Liberals](#)
- [MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Moderate Party](#)
- [MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Christian Democrats](#)
- [MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Green Party](#)
- [MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Gudrun Schyman](#)
- [MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Göran Persson](#)
- [MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Maud Olofsson](#)
- [MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Lars Leijonborg](#)
- [MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Bo Lundgren](#)
- [MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Alf Svensson](#)
- [MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Peter Eriksson](#)
- [MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Maria Wetterstrand](#)
- [Mail questionnaire - date of arrival](#)

Constructed variables and register information

Variables within Constructed variables and register information

- [Subsample](#)
- [Sample stage](#)
- [Q.9 Conditions for business: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Environment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Environment: Good policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Q.9 Environment: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties](#)
- [Q.9 Environment: Bad policy - new and smaller parties](#)
- [Interviewed in 1998](#)
- [Age - three categories](#)
- [Age - seven categories](#)
- [Born in Sweden or abroad](#)
- [Marital status \(SCB\)](#)
- [Place of living - four categories](#)
- [H-region](#)
- [County](#)
- [Constituency parliamentary election](#)
- [Education - three categories](#)
- [Occupational sector](#)
- [Occupational sector, maximized from 1998](#)
- [Occupational belonging](#)
- [Occupational belonging, maximized from 1998](#)

- [Public or private employer](#)
- [Assessed income](#)
- [Disposable income](#)
- [Knowledge index 1: Number of correct answers](#)
- [Knowledge index 2: Number of correct answers](#)
- [Knowledge index 1+2: Number of correct answers](#)
- [Knowledge index - five categories](#)
- [Reason to vote 1. controlled](#)
- [Reason to vote 2. controlled](#)
- [Reason to vote 3. controlled](#)
- [Party identification: direction - summary](#)
- [Party identification: strength - summary](#)
- [Time of party choice - controlled](#)
- [Voted in the 2002 parliament election - controlled](#)
- [Voted in the 2002 county council election - controlled](#)
- [Voted in the 2002 local parliament election - controlled](#)
- [Voted in the 1999 EU parliament election 1998 - controlled](#)
- [Voted in the 1998 parliament election - controlled](#)
- [Voted in the 1998 county council election - controlled](#)
- [Voted in the 1998 local election - controlled](#)
- [Postal vote in the 2002 election - controlled](#)
- [Postal vote in the 1999 EU parliamentary election - controlled](#)
- [Postal vote in the 1998 election - controlled](#)
- [Vote in the 2002 parliamentary election - summary](#)
- [Vote in the 2002 local government election - summary](#)
- [Vote in the 2002 county council election - summary](#)
- [Vote in the 1998 parliamentary election - summary](#)
- [Change of party since the 1998 parliamentary election](#)

Variables

SSD Study NR 0812

Swedish Social Science Data Service study 0812

Value	Label	Frequency
812.		3788

Range of Valid Data Values: 812-812
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 812 ; Max. 812 ; Mean 812 ; StDev 0
 Variable Format: numeric

SSD Edition NR 1

November 2005

Value	Label	Frequency
1.		3788

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-1
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 1 ; Mean 1 ; StDev 0
 Variable Format: numeric

SSD Part NR 001

Svensk valundersökning 2002

Value	Label	Frequency
1.		3788

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-1
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 1 ; Mean 1 ; StDev 0
 Variable Format: numeric

ID-number

ID-nummer

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-3788
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 180001 ; Max. 202461 ; Mean 194191.835 ; StDev 9592.802
 Variable Format: numeric

Subsample

Anm. Delurval P98 utgörs av personer som ingår i panelen 1998-2002, dvs personer som även kontaktades vid 1998 års valundersökning. Delurval T02, tilläggsurvalet, utgörs av förstagångsväljare 2002. Delurval P02 utgörs av personer i panelen 2002-2006, dvs personer som även kommer att kontaktas 2006.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Subsample P98	1241
2.	Subsample T02	111

3. Subsample P02 2436

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-3
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 3
 Variable Format: numeric

Sample stage

Urvalsetapp

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Stage A: Intended to be interviewed before the election	1894
2.	Stage B: Intended to be interviewed after the election	1894

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2
 Variable Format: numeric

Interview stage

Intervjuetapp

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	A-questionnaire: Interviewed before the election	1048
2.	B-questionnaire: Interviewed after the election	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2
 Variable Format: numeric

Type of interview

Intervjutyp

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Interviewed before the election	1048
2.	Interviewed after the election	1043
4.	Interviewed after the election: Shortened interview	226
5.	Interviewed after the election: Extremely short interview	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

SCB result code

SCB:s resultatkod

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Face to face interview	1758
2.	Telephone interview	329
3.	Mail questionnaire	537
4.	MD: Unable to participate	139
5.	MD: Unavailable	384
6.	MD: Unavailable	641

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Interview week

Intervjuvecka

Value	Label	Frequency
32.	Week 32: 5-11 August	2
33.	Week 33: 12-18 August	50
34.	Week 34: 19-25 August	317
35.	Week 35: 26 August - 1 September	337
36.	Week 36: 2- 8 september	326
37.	Week 37: 9-15 September	378
38.	Week 38: 16-22 September	212
39.	Week 39: 23-29 September	203
40.	Week 40: 30 September - 6 October	321
41.	Week 41: 7-13 oktober	292
42.	Week 42: 14-20 October	280
43.	Week 43: 21-27 October	49
44.	Week 44: 28 October - 3 November	209
45.	Week 45: 4-10 November	281
46.	Week 46: 11-17 November	169
47.	Week 47: 18-24 November	193
48.	Week 48: 25 November - 1 December	149
99.	NA	20

Range of Valid Data Values: 32-48

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99

Summary Statistics: Valid 3768 ; Min. 32 ; Max. 48

Variable Format: numeric

Year of birth

Födelseår

Value	Label	Frequency
1918.	1918	3
1919.	1919	9
1920.	1920	11
1921.	1921	12

1922.	1922	23
1923.	1923	31
1924.	1924	30
1925.	1925	44
1926.	1926	43
1927.	1927	43
1928.	1928	45
1929.	1929	48
1930.	1930	35
1931.	1931	39
1932.	1932	35
1933.	1933	59
1934.	1934	37
1935.	1935	47
1936.	1936	51
1937.	1937	48
1938.	1938	51
1939.	1939	53
1940.	1940	38
1941.	1941	43
1942.	1942	55
1943.	1943	80
1944.	1944	67
1945.	1945	72
1946.	1946	71
1947.	1947	69
1948.	1948	79
1949.	1949	76
1950.	1950	73
1951.	1951	70
1952.	1952	59
1953.	1953	51
1954.	1954	83
1955.	1955	65
1956.	1956	58
1957.	1957	80
1958.	1958	72
1959.	1959	62
1960.	1960	62
1961.	1961	68
1962.	1962	73
1963.	1963	77
1964.	1964	78
1965.	1965	88
1966.	1966	78
1967.	1967	79
1968.	1968	68
1969.	1969	71

1970.	1970	52
1971.	1971	64
1972.	1972	79
1973.	1973	86
1974.	1974	68
1975.	1975	60
1976.	1976	57
1977.	1977	64
1978.	1978	57
1979.	1979	55
1980.	1980	62
1981.	1981	47
1982.	1982	65
1983.	1983	60
1984.	1984	50

Range of Valid Data Values: 1918-1984

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1918 ; Max. 1984

Variable Format: numeric

Gender

Kön

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Male	1898
2.	Female	1890

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2

Variable Format: numeric

Q.1 Reading news and articles about politics

We have been commissioned to undertake an impartial interview study of this year's election. Before the questions I would like to inform you that the answer will only be used for statistical analysis. No outsider will get to know how any individual has answered our questions. I am of course under obligation to observe confidentiality.

How often do you read news and articles about politics in the daily press?

SHOW CARD 1. READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Reads what there is in the newspapers of news and articles about politics every day	357
2.	Often reads news and articles about politics	574
3.	Occasionally reads news and articles about politics	1004
4.	Never reads news and articles about politics	153
8.	DK/refusal	3
6.	NA	533

9. MD

1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.2A Reading about national politics

When you read about politics in the daily papers: How much do you then read of:

How much do you then read of national politics?

SHOW CARD 2. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EVERY AREA.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Reads practically everything	163
2.	Reads quite a lot	849
3.	Does not read a lot	812
4.	Reads nothing/almost nothing	108
6.	DK/refusal	8
0.	INAP	151
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1940 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.2B Reading about local politics

When you read about politics in the daily papers: How much do you then read of:

How much do you then read of local politics/communal issues?

SHOW CARD 2. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EVERY AREA.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Reads practically everything	337
2.	Reads quite a lot	835
3.	Does not read a lot	627
4.	Reads nothing/almost nothing	136
6.	DK/refusal	5
0.	INAP	151
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1940 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.2C Reading about international politics

When you read about politics in the daily papers: How much do you then read of:

How much do you then read of politics in other countries/international news?

SHOW CARD 2. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EVERY AREA.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Reads practically everything	113
2.	Reads quite a lot	566
3.	Does not read a lot	903
4.	Reads nothing/almost nothing	354
6.	DK/refusal	4
0.	INAP	151
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1940 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.2D Reading about EU issues

When you read about politics in the daily papers: How much do you then read of:

How much do you then read of EU related issues?

SHOW CARD 2. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EVERY AREA.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Reads practically everything	79
2.	Reads quite a lot	569
3.	Does not read a lot	994
4.	Reads nothing/almost nothing	292
6.	DK/refusal	6
0.	INAP	151
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1940 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.3A Watch "Rapport" - news on national TV-channel SVT

Concerning news programmes on radio and television: How often do you watch/listen to (... NEWS PROGRAMME)?

How often do you watch "Rapport" (Swedish TV SVT, channel 1)?

SHOW CARD 3. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EACH PROGRAMME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	6-7 days a week	681
2.	3-5 days a week	608
3.	1-2 days a week	405
4.	More seldom	291
5.	Never	100
8.	DK/refusal	6
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.3B Watch "Aktuellt" - news on national TV-channel SVT

Concerning news programmes on radio and television: How often do you watch/listen to (... NEWS PROGRAMME)?

How often do you watch "Aktuellt" (Swedish TV SVT, channel 2)?

SHOW CARD 3. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EACH PROGRAMME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	6-7 days a week	550
2.	3-5 days a week	713
3.	1-2 days a week	458
4.	More seldom	274
5.	Never	89
8.	DK/refusal	7
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.3C Listen to "Ekot" - long newscasts on national radio

Concerning news programmes on radio and television: How often do you watch/listen to (... NEWS PROGRAMME)?

How often do you listen to "Ekot" (long newscasts on national Radio)?

SHOW CARD 3. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EACH PROGRAMME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	6-7 days a week	189
2.	3-5 days a week	236
3.	1-2 days a week	217
4.	More seldom	637
5.	Never	805
8.	DK/refusal	7
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.3D Watch "Nyheterna" - news on terrestrial commercial channel TV 4

Concerning news programmes on radio and television: How often do you watch/listen to (... NEWS PROGRAMME)?

How often do you watch "Nyheterna" (news on terrestrial commercial channel TV 4)?

SHOW CARD 3. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EACH PROGRAMME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	6-7 days a week	464
2.	3-5 days a week	721
3.	1-2 days a week	505
4.	More seldom	319
5.	Never	72
8.	DK/refusal	10
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.3E Watch "Direkt" - news on satellite/cable commercial channel TV3

Concerning news programmes on radio and television: How often do you watch/listen to (... NEWS PROGRAMME)?

How often do you watch "Direkt" (news on satellite/cable commercial channel TV3)?

SHOW CARD 3. REPEAT QUESTION FOR EACH PROGRAMME

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

1.	6-7 days a week	92
2.	3-5 days a week	161
3.	1-2 days a week	223
4.	More seldom	435
5.	Never	1169
8.	DK/refusal	11
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.4 Interest in politics

In general, how interested in politics are you? Which of the answers on this card describes you most accurately? Are you very interested, fairly interested, not very interested or not at all interested in politics?

SHOW CARD 4

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very interested	255
2.	Fairly interested	1166
3.	Not very interested	969
4.	Not at all interested	230
8.	DK/refusal	4
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.5A Political discussions in family and among friends

How often is there discussion about politics in your nearest surroundings - in your family, among your friends at work and among other friends? Does it happen very often, fairly often, not very often or not at all?

SHOW CARD 5A. QUESTION 5A-D ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very often	113
2.	Fairly often	368
3.	Not very often	492
4.	Not at all	75
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-4
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 4
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.5B Interest in political discussions

What do you usually do yourself if you are in a group and the discussion starts to concern politics? Which of the descriptions below is the most appropriate of yourself?

SHOW CARD 5B. QUESTION 5A-D ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	I usually do not bother listening when people start to discussing politics	49
2.	I may well listen, but never take part in the discussion	174
3.	It happens, but not too often, that I say what I think	385
4.	I usually take part in the discussion and say what I think	438
8.	DK/refusal	2
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.5C Interest in election result

How important do you think this year's election is? Do you care a lot about which parties win or lose, or do you think it does not make much difference?

SHOW CARD 5C. QUESTION 5A-D ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Care a lot about which parties win or lose	710
2.	Does not make much difference	321
8.	DK/refusal	17
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.5D Differences between parties in Parliament

In general, how much difference do you think there is between the parties in parliament, regarding their political positions?

SHOW CARD 5D. QUESTION 5A-D ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	A great deal of difference	61
2.	A fair amount of difference	335
3.	Not very much difference	573
4.	No difference at all	32
8.	DK/refusal	47
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.6 Important issue for party choice at the parliament election

Thinking of this year's election, is there any issue or issues which are important when it comes to which party you are going to vote for in the Parliamentary election on 15 September? (IF YES:) Which issue or issues?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	1457
2.	No, no important issue	527
3.	Does not intend to vote in Parliamentary election	70
8.	DK/refusal	37
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.6 Important issue for party choice - Several important issues

Is there any other issue that is important to you when you choose party in the Parliamentary election? (IF YES:) Which other issue or issues?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	592
5.	No	857
6.	DK/refusal	8
0.	INAP	634
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1457 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.6 Important issue for party choice - Number of important issues

Number of issues mentioned

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No issues	9
1.	One issue	262
2.	Two issues	469
3.	Three issues	393
4.	Four issues	196
5.	Five issues	131
90.	INAP	631
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1460 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.6 Important issue at election, 1

Thinking of this year's election, is there any issue or issues which are important when it comes to which party you are going to vote for in the Parliamentary election on 15 September? (IF YES:) Which issue or issues?

Variable Text: Important issue 1

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	99
2.	Lower taxes	6
12.	Temporary austerity tax	1
15.	Tax on real estate	29
20.	Swedish economy	47
22.	Wage level, wage policy	6
26.	Private economy	2
29.	Budget deficit, national debt	1
30.	Public sector	3
35.	Privatization	3
40.	Social policy/security issues	24
44.	Welfare society, social security	11
47.	Distribution policy	6
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	50
60.	Care of elderly	93
70.	Health care/health insurance	260
73.	Sickness benefit/qualifying period (not qualifying period for care of child, see code 78)	3
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	3
80.	Alcohol/drugs, fight against abuse, better care, alcohol	3

	prices, fight against drug dealers, prohibit use of drugs	
85.	Family policy/child care	136
86.	Child-care allowance	1
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
95.	Economy of families with children	2
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	3
100.	Educational policy, research	187
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	5
115.	Cultural issues	2
120.	Housing policy in general	19
122.	Tenant-owner flat economy	1
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	16
140.	Agricultural policy	4
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	3
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	70
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	5
176.	Petrol prices	2
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	9
183.	Law and order	6
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	5
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	3
222.	Defence policy/defence expenditure	2
224.	Aid to developing countries, issues on developing countries	1
227.	NATO	1
230.	International issues in general	1
236.	USA	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	55
241.	Juvenile unemployment	1
244.	Work environment	2
247.	Unemployment benefit fund	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	10
250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	4
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	24
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	3
261.	Nuclear power in general	3
280.	Co-determination, business economics	1
281.	Labour legislation	4
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	24
296.	Equality of opportunity for women and men in working life	1
299.	Homosexual couple's right to adopt children	8
300.	Community governed by law/rule of law	1
310.	Immigration policy	75
311.	Refugee issues	2

312.	Racism, hostility towards foreigners, segregation/integration	2
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
320.	Other concrete issues	4
325.	Issues on the future	1
330.	Ideology (general, other)	7
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	5
344.	Justice	6
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	3
347.	Democratic liberties and rights; freedom of speech, freedom of assembly	2
350.	Party politics (general opinion on parties)	7
360.	Confidence in parties and politics	3
371.	Candidates personal vote	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	5
500.	Local and municipal issues	1
800.	European Union in general	21
801.	European Union - Tax issues	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	16
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	2
992.	Other/Bullying	6
2391.	US war against terrorism	1
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	6
0.	INAP	631
9996.	NA	536
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1457 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.6 Important issue at election, 2

Thinking of this year's election, is there any issue or issues which are important when it comes to which party you are going to vote for in the Parliamentary election on 15 September? (IF YES:) Which issue or issues?

Variable Text: Important issue 2

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	59
2.	Lower taxes	4
14.	Capital tax	1
15.	Tax on real estate	16
20.	Swedish economy	38
21.	Financial and currency policy, interest levels, foreign loans	1
22.	Wage level, wage policy	6
26.	Private economy	1
30.	Public sector	3
31.	Reduction of public service	2

35.	Privatization	2
40.	Social policy/security issues	56
41.	Social reforms	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	12
47.	Distribution policy	5
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	23
60.	Care of elderly	130
70.	Health care/health insurance	206
71.	Disability issues	2
73.	Sickness benefit/qualifying period (not qualifying period for care of child, see code 78)	1
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	1
76.	Queues to medical service	3
80.	Alcohol/drugs, fight against abuse, better care, alcohol prices, fight against drug dealers, prohibit use of drugs	1
85.	Family policy/child care	84
86.	Child-care allowance	1
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	5
100.	Educational policy, research	203
101.	More discipline and orderliness in school	1
102.	School reports	1
107.	University/research	2
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	8
112.	Grants to societies	1
115.	Cultural issues	1
120.	Housing policy in general	9
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	6
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	41
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	4
176.	Petrol prices	2
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	7
182.	Violence in public places	3
183.	Law and order	7
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	4
192.	Abortion	1
210.	Peace issue, international disarmament	1
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	4
222.	Defence policy/defence expenditure	2
224.	Aid to developing countries, issues on developing countries	2
227.	NATO	2
240.	Employment, unemployment	31
244.	Work environment	1
247.	Unemployment benefit fund	1

248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	5
250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	4
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	14
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	4
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
262.	Start of nuclear phase-out	1
281.	Labour legislation	4
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	16
296.	Equality of opportunity for women and men in working life	1
299.	Homosexual couple's right to adopt children	5
300.	Community governed by law/rule of law	2
302.	Golden parachute	1
310.	Immigration policy	47
312.	Racism, hostility towards foreigners, segregation/integration	9
313.	Language test for immigrants	3
320.	Other concrete issues	1
325.	Issues on the future	1
330.	Ideology (general, other)	3
333.	Liberalism	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	4
336.	Individualism vs collectivism	2
344.	Justice	5
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	4
347.	Democratic liberties and rights; freedom of speech, freedom of assembly	2
350.	Party politics (general opinion on parties)	1
351.	Party-political collaboration	1
360.	Confidence in parties and politics	2
370.	Party leader	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	2
395.		1
500.	Local and municipal issues	1
800.	European Union in general	14
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	9
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	1
992.	Other/Bullying	4
8888.	DK/refusal	6
0.	INAP	891
9996.	NA	539
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1194 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.6 Important issue at election, 3

Thinking of this year's election, is there any issue or issues which are important when it comes to which party you are going to vote for in the Parliamentary election on 15 September? (IF YES:) Which issue or issues?

Variable Text: Important issue 1

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	34
2.	Lower taxes	1
11.	Tax deductions (not including deductions for housing, see code 121)	1
15.	Tax on real estate	8
20.	Swedish economy	10
22.	Wage level, wage policy	2
29.	Budget deficit, national debt	2
30.	Public sector	2
35.	Privatization	3
40.	Social policy/security issues	33
42.	Social benefits	2
44.	Welfare society, social security	5
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	11
60.	Care of elderly	72
70.	Health care/health insurance	90
73.	Sickness benefit/qualifying period (not qualifying period for care of child, see code 78)	2
80.	Alcohol/drugs, fight against abuse, better care, alcohol prices, fight against drug dealers, prohibit use of drugs	2
85.	Family policy/child care	46
86.	Child-care allowance	1
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
89.	Parents' insurance	1
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	3
100.	Educational policy, research	137
102.	School reports	1
107.	University/research	1
108.	Student's economy	1
110.	Leisure time/sports	1
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	1
120.	Housing policy in general	9
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	6
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	3
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	31
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	8
176.	Petrol prices	1
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	18
181.	Juvenile crime	2
183.	Law and order	11

190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	3
210.	Peace issue, international disarmament	1
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	9
222.	Defence policy/defence expenditure	3
224.	Aid to developing countries, issues on developing countries	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	27
244.	Work environment	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	6
250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	11
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	2
270.	State influence on private enterprise/socialization issue	2
281.	Labour legislation	2
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	6
296.	Equality of opportunity for women and men in working life	3
299.	Homosexual couple's right to adopt children	1
300.	Community governed by law/rule of law	5
310.	Immigration policy	31
311.	Refugee issues	2
312.	Racism, hostility towards foreigners, segregation/integration	3
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
325.	Issues on the future	1
330.	Ideology (general, other)	2
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	2
336.	Individualism vs collectivism	1
338.	More democracy, against dictatorship	1
344.	Justice	4
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	2
350.	Party politics (general opinion on parties)	2
370.	Party leader	3
380.	Class society (group references)	1
800.	European Union in general	5
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	9
841.	Open borders/border control/custom	1
992.	Other/Bullying	1
8888.	DK/refusal	6
0.	INAP	1358
9996.	NA	541
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 725 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.6 Important issue at election, 4

Thinking of this year's election, is there any issue or issues which are important when it comes to which party you are going to vote for in the Parliamentary election on 15 September? (IF YES:) Which issue or issues?

Variable Text: Important issue 1

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	16
3.	Higher taxes for rich people/high-income earners	1
15.	Tax on real estate	6
20.	Swedish economy	9
22.	Wage level, wage policy	1
29.	Budget deficit, national debt	1
35.	Privatization	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	17
44.	Welfare society, social security	2
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	4
60.	Care of elderly	21
70.	Health care/health insurance	47
71.	Disability issues	1
73.	Sickness benefit/qualifying period (not qualifying period for care of child, see code 78)	2
80.	Alcohol/drugs, fight against abuse, better care, alcohol prices, fight against drug dealers, prohibit use of drugs	2
85.	Family policy/child care	17
86.	Child-care allowance	2
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	3
100.	Educational policy, research	35
103.	Compulsory school	1
105.	Private/independent schools	1
110.	Leisure time/sports	1
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	1
115.	Cultural issues	3
120.	Housing policy in general	5
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	2
140.	Agricultural policy	2
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	12
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	4
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	6
183.	Law and order	7
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	2
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	6
224.	Aid to developing countries, issues on developing countries	1
227.	NATO	1

230.	International issues in general	2
235.	The Middle East	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	12
244.	Work environment	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	3
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	3
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	10
299.	Homosexual couple's right to adopt children	1
310.	Immigration policy	13
311.	Refugee issues	1
312.	Racism, hostility towards foreigners, segregation/integration	5
320.	Other concrete issues	2
330.	Ideology (general, other)	1
333.	Liberalism	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	1
336.	Individualism vs collectivism	1
344.	Justice	2
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
347.	Democratic liberties and rights; freedom of speech, freedom of assembly	1
360.	Confidence in parties and politics	1
370.	Party leader	1
500.	Local and municipal issues	1
800.	European Union in general	8
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	2
841.	Open borders/border control/custom	1
992.	Other/Bullying	4
8888.	DK/refusal	6
0.	INAP	1748
9996.	NA	544
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 332 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.6 Important issue at election, 5

Thinking of this year's election, is there any issue or issues which are important when it comes to which party you are going to vote for in the Parliamentary election on 15 September? (IF YES:) Which issue or issues?

Variable Text: Important issue 5

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	4
20.	Swedish economy	5
22.	Wage level, wage policy	3

30.	Public sector	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	7
47.	Distribution policy	1
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	1
60.	Care of elderly	10
70.	Health care/health insurance	8
80.	Alcohol/drugs, fight against abuse, better care, alcohol prices, fight against drug dealers, prohibit use of drugs	2
85.	Family policy/child care	3
89.	Parents' insurance	1
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	1
100.	Educational policy, research	19
120.	Housing policy in general	2
123.	Issues on rental charge, housing allowance	1
124.	Housing shortage	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	7
165.	Sea and water pollution	1
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	2
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	3
183.	Law and order	7
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	1
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	2
240.	Employment, unemployment	6
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	4
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	3
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	2
296.	Equality of opportunity for women and men in working life	1
299.	Homosexual couple's right to adopt children	1
310.	Immigration policy	5
311.	Refugee issues	1
312.	Racism, hostility towards foreigners, segregation/integration	2
320.	Other concrete issues	1
330.	Ideology (general, other)	2
350.	Party politics (general opinion on parties)	1
371.	Candidates personal vote	1
800.	European Union in general	3
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	4
841.	Open borders/border control/custom	1
8888.	DK/refusal	6
0.	INAP	1942
9996.	NA	545
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 137 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.7A Governing parties - traditional parliament parties

*Which party or parties would you prefer to have in government after this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION)
Would you like any other party to be included in government?*

ENTER ALL PARTIES MENTIONED BY RESP. ANSWERS "GRAND COALITION" OR "ALL PARTIES" SHOULD ALSO BE ENTERED. Q.7A-B AND 8A-B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Variable Text: Governing parties - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	43
11.	Left Party (L)	40
12.	Social Democrats (S)	233
13.	Centre Party (C)	22
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	33
15.	Moderate Party (M)	56
20.	L-S	113
21.	L-C	4
22.	L-P	3
23.	L-M	2
24.	S-C	22
25.	S-P	29
26.	S-M	14
27.	C-P	13
28.	C-M	8
29.	P-M	59
30.	L-S-C	10
31.	L-S-P	7
32.	L-S-M	2
36.	S-C-P	18
37.	S-C-M	2
38.	S-P-M	8
39.	C-P-M	87
40.	L-S-C-P	1
43.	S-C-P-M	3
44.	L-S-P-M	2
50.	All five parties	8
65.	Liberal	1
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	14
80.	Coalition of all parties	12
88.	DK/refusal	177
95.	No parties	2
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-95

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 95
Variable Format: numeric

Q.7A Governing parties - new and smaller parties

*Which party or parties would you prefer to have in government after this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION)
Would you like any other party to be included in government?*

ENTER ALL PARTIES MENTIONED BY RESP. ANSWERS "GRAND COALITION" OR "ALL PARTIES"
SHOULD ALSO BE ENTERED. Q.7A-B AND 8A-B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Variable Text: Governing parties - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	526
61.	Green Party (G)	103
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	197
63.	Other communist parties	1
64.	Other nation-wide party	15
70.	CD-G	19
73.	CD-Other party	3
76.	G-Other party	1
83.	CD-G-Other communist party	3
88.	DK/refusal	177
93.	CD-G-Other communist party-Other party	2
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	1
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-93

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1047 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 93

Variable Format: numeric

Q.7B Prime Minister after the election

Who would you prefer as Prime Minister in the government after the election?

Q.7A-B AND 8A-B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
101.	Gudrun Schyman	45
201.	Göran Persson	400
202.	Erik Åsbrink	1
203.	Mona Sahlin	11
205.	Ingela Thalen	1
213.	Ingvar Carlsson	1
215.	Anna Lindh	15
216.	Thomas Bodström	1
303.	Maud Olofsson	38

304.	Torbjörn Fälldin	2
401.	Lars Leijonborg	20
501.	Carl Bildt	19
502.	Bo Lundgren	63
503.	Kristina Axen Olin	2
504.	Ulf Adelsohn	2
601.	Alf Svensson	128
702.	Peter Eriksson	2
703.	Maria Wetterstrand	5
905.	Claes Malmberg	1
906.	Sven Augustsson	1
907.	Hasse Alfredsson	1
908.	Peter Örn	1
988.	DK/does not matter	283
995.	Not an important issue	3
0.	INAP	1576
996.	NA	2
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 101-995

Range of Invalid Data Values: 996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1046 ; Min. 101 ; Max. 995

Variable Format: numeric

Q.8AA Social Democrats as governing party 1998-2002

Here is a question about how good a job governing party and the bourgeois opposition parties, respectively, have done since the 1998 election. You may answer using the scale on the card.

How good a job do you think the Social Democrats have done as governing party since the 1998 election?

Q.7A-B AND 8A-B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	A bad job	23
1.	-4	26
2.	-3	57
3.	-2	62
4.	-1	23
5.	0 Neither good nor bad job	229
6.	+1	74
7.	+2	157
8.	+3	240
9.	+4	94
10.	+5 A good job	31
88.	DK/refusal	26
90.	INAP	1582
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1042 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.8AB Bourgeois parties as opposition parties 1998-2002

Here is a question about how good a job governing party and the bourgeois opposition parties, respectively, have done since the 1998 election. You may answer using the scale on the card.

How good a job do you think the bourgeois parties have done as opposition parties since the 1998 election?

Q.7A-B AND 8A-B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	A bad job	28
1.	-4	38
2.	-3	88
3.	-2	94
4.	-1	57
5.	0 Neither good nor bad job	355
6.	+1	82
7.	+2	113
8.	+3	63
9.	+4	10
10.	+5 A good job	6
88.	DK/refusal	111
90.	INAP	1579
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1045 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.8BA Social Democrats as governing party 2002-2006

If you look forward in time, using the same scale:

How good a job do you think the Social Democrats will do as governing party in the next four years if they get to form a government after the election?

Q.7A-B AND 8A-B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	A bad job	15
1.	-4	31
2.	-3	51
3.	-2	63
4.	-1	23
5.	0 Neither good nor bad job	187
6.	+1	92

7.	+2	148
8.	+3	223
9.	+4	110
10.	+5 A good job	48
88.	DK/refusal	53
90.	INAP	1580
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1044 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.8BB Bourgeois parties as governing parties 2002-2006

How good a job do you think the bourgeois parties will do as governing parties in the next four years if they get to form a government after the election?

Q.7A-B AND 8A-B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	A bad job	46
1.	-4	38
2.	-3	64
3.	-2	75
4.	-1	45
5.	0 Neither good nor bad job	206
6.	+1	131
7.	+2	159
8.	+3	139
9.	+4	39
10.	+5 A good job	18
88.	DK/refusal	83
90.	INAP	1581
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Employment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years. I begin with employment.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on employment? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on employment? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	26
11.	Left Party (L)	65
12.	Social Democrats (S)	381
13.	Centre Party (C)	27
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	83
15.	Moderate Party (M)	106
20.	L-S	79
21.	L-C	1
22.	L-P	3
24.	S-C	11
25.	S-P	25
26.	S-M	36
27.	C-P	10
28.	C-M	4
29.	P-M	57
30.	L-S-C	3
31.	L-S-P	6
32.	L-S-M	1
33.	L-C-P	1
36.	S-C-P	10
37.	S-C-M	4
38.	S-P-M	9
39.	C-P-M	35
40.	L-S-C-P	1
43.	S-C-P-M	2
50.	All five parties	77
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	2
95.	No parties	296
98.	DK/refusal	725
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Employment: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years. I begin with employment.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on employment? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on employment? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	866

61.	Green Party (G)	29
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	92
63.	Other communist parties	1
90.	All parties	77
95.	No parties	296
98.	DK/refusal	725
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Employment: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years. I begin with employment.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on employment? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on employment? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	152
10.		1
11.	Left Party (L)	125
12.	Social Democrats (S)	114
13.	Centre Party (C)	10
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	22
15.	Moderate Party (M)	190
20.	L-S	50
21.	L-C	1
23.	L-M	6
26.	S-M	6
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	15
30.	L-S-C	1
35.	L-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	10
42.	L-C-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	77
95.	No parties	313
98.	DK/refusal	988
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Employment: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years. I begin with employment.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on employment? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on employment? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	437
61.	Green Party (G)	198
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	60
64.	Other nation-wide party	4
70.	CD-G	7
76.	G-Other party	1
90.	All parties	77
95.	No parties	314
98.	DK/refusal	988
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on the Swedish economy? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on the Swedish economy? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	23
11.	Left Party (L)	44
12.	Social Democrats (S)	583
13.	Centre Party (C)	19
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	61
15.	Moderate Party (M)	210
20.	L-S	49
22.	L-P	2
24.	S-C	19
25.	S-P	31
26.	S-M	48

27.	C-P	11
28.	C-M	9
29.	P-M	61
30.	L-S-C	1
31.	L-S-P	2
36.	S-C-P	18
37.	S-C-M	3
38.	S-P-M	17
39.	C-P-M	40
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	11
50.	All five parties	37
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	150
98.	DK/refusal	635
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Swedish economy: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on the Swedish economy? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on the Swedish economy? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	1120
61.	Green Party (G)	29
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	111
63.	Other communist parties	1
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	3
90.	All parties	36
95.	No parties	150
98.	DK/refusal	635
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Swedish economy: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on the Swedish economy? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on the Swedish economy? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	145
11.	Left Party (L)	298
12.	Social Democrats (S)	97
13.	Centre Party (C)	11
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	6
15.	Moderate Party (M)	366
20.	L-S	49
21.	L-C	5
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	21
26.	S-M	6
27.	C-P	6
28.	C-M	3
29.	P-M	11
32.	L-S-M	1
34.	L-C-M	1
39.	C-P-M	16
40.	L-S-C-P	1
41.	L-S-C-M	1
42.	L-C-P-M	4
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	38
95.	No parties	189
98.	DK/refusal	808
96.	NA	539
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2085 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Swedish economy: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on the Swedish economy? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on the Swedish economy? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	708
61.	Green Party (G)	277
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	48
64.	Other nation-wide party	3
70.	CD-G	13
76.	G-Other party	1
90.	All parties	38
95.	No parties	189
98.	DK/refusal	808
96.	NA	539
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2085 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on taxes? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on taxes? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	42
11.	Left Party (L)	76
12.	Social Democrats (S)	436
13.	Centre Party (C)	23
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	108
15.	Moderate Party (M)	361
20.	L-S	78
22.	L-P	2
23.	L-M	2
24.	S-C	9
25.	S-P	17
26.	S-M	16
27.	C-P	26
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	66
30.	L-S-C	2
31.	L-S-P	3
36.	S-C-P	12
38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	41
40.	L-S-C-P	3

43.	S-C-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	16
95.	No parties	175
98.	DK/refusal	566
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Taxes: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on taxes? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on taxes? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	1150
61.	Green Party (G)	45
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	131
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	4
90.	All parties	15
95.	No parties	174
98.	DK/refusal	567
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on taxes? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on taxes? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	81
11.	Left Party (L)	195
12.	Social Democrats (S)	158
13.	Centre Party (C)	6

14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	10
15.	Moderate Party (M)	535
20.	L-S	132
23.	L-M	19
26.	S-M	11
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	23
32.	L-S-M	4
34.	L-C-M	1
39.	C-P-M	19
40.	L-S-C-P	4
41.	L-S-C-M	1
42.	L-C-P-M	2
43.	S-C-P-M	3
50.	All five parties	48
95.	No parties	138
98.	DK/refusal	694
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Taxes: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on taxes? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on taxes? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	951
61.	Green Party (G)	188
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	54
64.	Other nation-wide party	2
70.	CD-G	14
90.	All parties	46
95.	No parties	138
98.	DK/refusal	694
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Conditions for business: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the conditions for business? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning the conditions for business? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	18
11.	Left Party (L)	25
12.	Social Democrats (S)	123
13.	Centre Party (C)	92
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	104
15.	Moderate Party (M)	421
20.	L-S	8
21.	L-C	3
22.	L-P	1
24.	S-C	11
25.	S-P	10
26.	S-M	35
27.	C-P	48
28.	C-M	31
29.	P-M	117
31.	L-S-P	2
33.	L-C-P	1
35.	L-P-M	1
36.	S-C-P	8
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	8
39.	C-P-M	103
40.	L-S-C-P	1
43.	S-C-P-M	6
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	18
67.	The right, right-wing, right-wing parties	1
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	87
98.	DK/refusal	801
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Conditions for business: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the conditions for business? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning the conditions for business? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	996
61.	Green Party (G)	17
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	162
70.	CD-G	6
90.	All parties	18
95.	No parties	87
98.	DK/refusal	801
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Conditions for business: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the conditions for business? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning the conditions for business? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	81
11.	Left Party (L)	330
12.	Social Democrats (S)	194
13.	Centre Party (C)	7
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	6
15.	Moderate Party (M)	49
20.	L-S	132
21.	L-C	1
26.	S-M	2
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	2
31.	L-S-P	1
32.	L-S-M	2
34.	L-C-M	1
39.	C-P-M	7

40.	L-S-C-P	2
50.	All five parties	21
95.	No parties	173
98.	DK/refusal	1068
96.	NA	543
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2081 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Conditions for business: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the conditions for business? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning the conditions for business? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	617
61.	Green Party (G)	180
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	14
63.	Other communist parties	1
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	7
90.	All parties	21
95.	No parties	173
98.	DK/refusal	1067
96.	NA	543
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2081 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Environment: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on environment? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on environment? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	835

11.	Left Party (L)	75
12.	Social Democrats (S)	119
13.	Centre Party (C)	255
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	22
15.	Moderate Party (M)	32
20.	L-S	24
21.	L-C	8
22.	L-P	1
24.	S-C	20
25.	S-P	3
26.	S-M	4
27.	C-P	11
28.	C-M	5
29.	P-M	5
30.	L-S-C	7
36.	S-C-P	5
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	3
39.	C-P-M	4
40.	L-S-C-P	2
43.	S-C-P-M	2
50.	All five parties	89
95.	No parties	134
98.	DK/refusal	420
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Environment: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on environment? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on environment? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	278
61.	Green Party (G)	1114
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	33
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	1
70.	CD-G	17
76.	G-Other party	1
90.	All parties	88

95.	No parties	134
98.	DK/refusal	420
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Environment: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on environment? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on environment? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	78
11.	Left Party (L)	24
12.	Social Democrats (S)	38
13.	Centre Party (C)	16
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	10
15.	Moderate Party (M)	307
20.	L-S	14
23.	L-M	5
24.	S-C	2
26.	S-M	26
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	4
29.	P-M	20
30.	L-S-C	1
31.	L-S-P	1
32.	L-S-M	2
34.	L-C-M	1
35.	L-P-M	1
38.	S-P-M	7
39.	C-P-M	9
40.	L-S-C-P	1
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	6
44.	L-S-P-M	6
50.	All five parties	71
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	455
98.	DK/refusal	974
96.	NA	542
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2082 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Environment: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on environment? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on environment? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	427
61.	Green Party (G)	85
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	77
64.	Other nation-wide party	4
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	1
70.	CD-G	5
90.	All parties	54
95.	No parties	455
98.	DK/refusal	974
96.	NA	542
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2082 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Energy and nuclear power: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on energy and nuclear power issues? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on energy and nuclear power issues? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	169
11.	Left Party (L)	54
12.	Social Democrats (S)	232
13.	Centre Party (C)	174
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	44
15.	Moderate Party (M)	219
20.	L-S	10
21.	L-C	18

24.	S-C	28
25.	S-P	7
26.	S-M	32
27.	C-P	7
28.	C-M	4
29.	P-M	84
30.	L-S-C	3
31.	L-S-P	1
36.	S-C-P	4
38.	S-P-M	23
39.	C-P-M	17
40.	L-S-C-P	1
43.	S-C-P-M	4
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	19
61.	Communist	1
67.	The right, right-wing, right-wing parties	1
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	2
91.	Nuclear power opponents	1
95.	No parties	157
98.	DK/refusal	768
96.	NA	539
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2085 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Energy and nuclear power: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on energy and nuclear power issues? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on energy and nuclear power issues? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	767
61.	Green Party (G)	270
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	100
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	1
70.	CD-G	3
90.	All parties	18
95.	No parties	157
98.	DK/refusal	768
96.	NA	539

99. MD

1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2085 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Energy and nuclear power: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on energy and nuclear power issues? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on energy and nuclear power issues? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	199
11.	Left Party (L)	100
12.	Social Democrats (S)	86
13.	Centre Party (C)	107
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	10
15.	Moderate Party (M)	176
20.	L-S	20
21.	L-C	42
23.	L-M	1
24.	S-C	9
26.	S-M	13
29.	P-M	24
30.	L-S-C	21
31.	L-S-P	1
32.	L-S-M	2
33.	L-C-P	1
34.	L-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	14
39.	C-P-M	7
40.	L-S-C-P	5
43.	S-C-P-M	4
44.	L-S-P-M	3
50.	All five parties	57
61.	Communist	2
63.	Left, left-wing, leftish, left parties	1
95.	No parties	176
98.	DK/refusal	1005
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Energy and nuclear power: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on energy and nuclear power issues? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on energy and nuclear power issues? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	412
61.	Green Party (G)	380
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	53
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	10
90.	All parties	50
95.	No parties	176
98.	DK/refusal	1005
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Social security: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning social security? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning social security? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	117
11.	Left Party (L)	98
12.	Social Democrats (S)	666
13.	Centre Party (C)	19
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	119
15.	Moderate Party (M)	33
20.	L-S	193
21.	L-C	4
22.	L-P	6
24.	S-C	14
25.	S-P	70
26.	S-M	9

27.	C-P	17
28.	C-M	1
29.	P-M	28
30.	L-S-C	4
31.	L-S-P	28
32.	L-S-M	1
33.	L-C-P	1
36.	S-C-P	14
38.	S-P-M	6
39.	C-P-M	10
40.	L-S-C-P	7
43.	S-C-P-M	5
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	83
64.	Radical	1
95.	No parties	112
98.	DK/refusal	422
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Social security: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning social security? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning social security? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	1162
14.		1
61.	Green Party (G)	37
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	267
64.	Other nation-wide party	2
70.	CD-G	3
75.	G-Other communist party	1
90.	All parties	82
95.	No parties	112
98.	DK/refusal	422
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Social security: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning social security? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning social security? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	47
11.	Left Party (L)	42
12.	Social Democrats (S)	81
13.	Centre Party (C)	4
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	11
15.	Moderate Party (M)	585
20.	L-S	15
23.	L-M	3
24.	S-C	1
26.	S-M	5
28.	C-M	4
29.	P-M	29
30.	L-S-C	2
32.	L-S-M	2
34.	L-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	3
39.	C-P-M	27
43.	S-C-P-M	5
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	54
67.	The right, right-wing, right-wing parties	1
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	347
98.	DK/refusal	815
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Social security: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning social security? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning social security? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	738
61.	Green Party (G)	39
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	83
64.	Other nation-wide party	6
70.	CD-G	5
90.	All parties	53
95.	No parties	347
98.	DK/refusal	815
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Care of elderly: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning care of elderly? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning care of elderly? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	191
11.	Left Party (L)	69
12.	Social Democrats (S)	364
13.	Centre Party (C)	33
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	159
15.	Moderate Party (M)	40
20.	L-S	90
21.	L-C	1
22.	L-P	7
24.	S-C	9
25.	S-P	41
26.	S-M	8
27.	C-P	22
28.	C-M	1
29.	P-M	28
30.	L-S-C	4
31.	L-S-P	15

36.	S-C-P	9
38.	S-P-M	4
39.	C-P-M	15
40.	L-S-C-P	7
43.	S-C-P-M	2
50.	All five parties	104
95.	No parties	260
98.	DK/refusal	603
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Care of elderly: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning care of elderly? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning care of elderly? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	748
61.	Green Party (G)	18
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	338
64.	Other nation-wide party	11
70.	CD-G	3
73.	CD-Other party	1
75.	G-Other communist party	1
90.	All parties	103
95.	No parties	260
98.	DK/refusal	603
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Care of elderly: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning care of elderly? (ADDED

QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning care of elderly? (ADDED
QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	25
11.	Left Party (L)	26
12.	Social Democrats (S)	140
13.	Centre Party (C)	5
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	11
15.	Moderate Party (M)	287
20.	L-S	26
26.	S-M	9
28.	C-M	3
29.	P-M	7
30.	L-S-C	2
32.	L-S-M	2
34.	L-C-M	1
35.	L-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	16
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	141
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	381
98.	DK/refusal	998
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Care of elderly: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

*Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning care of elderly? (ADDED
QUESTION:)* Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning care of elderly? (ADDED
QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	499
61.	Green Party (G)	35
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	25
64.	Other nation-wide party	2
70.	CD-G	7
90.	All parties	140

95.	No parties	381
98.	DK/refusal	998
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Health care: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on health care? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on health care? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	100
11.	Left Party (L)	67
12.	Social Democrats (S)	394
13.	Centre Party (C)	27
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	160
15.	Moderate Party (M)	87
20.	L-S	102
22.	L-P	2
23.	L-M	1
24.	S-C	9
25.	S-P	42
26.	S-M	8
27.	C-P	21
28.	C-M	4
29.	P-M	51
30.	L-S-C	6
31.	L-S-P	14
32.	L-S-M	1
35.	L-P-M	1
36.	S-C-P	10
38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	43
40.	L-S-C-P	7
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	95
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	238
98.	DK/refusal	589
96.	NA	538

99. MD

1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Health care: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on health care? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on health care? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	894
61.	Green Party (G)	23
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	229
63.	Other communist parties	1
64.	Other nation-wide party	3
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	8
70.	CD-G	5
73.	CD-Other party	1
90.	All parties	95
95.	No parties	238
98.	DK/refusal	589
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Health care: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on health care? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on health care? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	17
11.	Left Party (L)	35
12.	Social Democrats (S)	161
13.	Centre Party (C)	7
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	7
15.	Moderate Party (M)	350

20.	L-S	61
24.	S-C	1
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	13
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	4
29.	P-M	31
30.	L-S-C	2
32.	L-S-M	2
34.	L-C-M	1
39.	C-P-M	31
42.	L-C-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	132
95.	No parties	332
98.	DK/refusal	893
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Health care: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on health care? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on health care? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	634
61.	Green Party (G)	36
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	56
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	1
70.	CD-G	5
90.	All parties	129
95.	No parties	332
98.	DK/refusal	893
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Child care: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning child care? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning child care? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	211
11.	Left Party (L)	82
12.	Social Democrats (S)	387
13.	Centre Party (C)	34
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	118
15.	Moderate Party (M)	55
20.	L-S	91
22.	L-P	5
24.	S-C	5
25.	S-P	36
26.	S-M	10
27.	C-P	21
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	37
30.	L-S-C	3
31.	L-S-P	10
34.	L-C-M	2
36.	S-C-P	7
38.	S-P-M	2
39.	C-P-M	31
40.	L-S-C-P	4
43.	S-C-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	82
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	132
98.	DK/refusal	716
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Child care: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning child care? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning child care? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	755
61.	Green Party (G)	34
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	366
70.	CD-G	2
73.	CD-Other party	1
90.	All parties	82
95.	No parties	132
98.	DK/refusal	716
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Child care: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning child care? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning child care? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	59
11.	Left Party (L)	51
12.	Social Democrats (S)	148
13.	Centre Party (C)	7
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	8
15.	Moderate Party (M)	184
20.	L-S	57
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	1
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	10
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	1
29.	P-M	15
30.	L-S-C	2
31.	L-S-P	2
32.	L-S-M	2
33.	L-C-P	1

34.	L-C-M	1
36.	S-C-P	1
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	17
41.	L-S-C-M	1
42.	L-C-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	2
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	67
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	338
98.	DK/refusal	1102
96.	NA	539
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2085 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Child care: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning child care? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning child care? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	453
61.	Green Party (G)	25
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	91
64.	Other nation-wide party	2
70.	CD-G	9
90.	All parties	65
95.	No parties	338
98.	DK/refusal	1102
96.	NA	539
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2085 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Schools and education: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in

recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on schools and education? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on schools and education? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	54
11.	Left Party (L)	44
12.	Social Democrats (S)	272
13.	Centre Party (C)	22
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	323
15.	Moderate Party (M)	139
20.	L-S	65
22.	L-P	5
24.	S-C	9
25.	S-P	45
26.	S-M	21
27.	C-P	9
28.	C-M	3
29.	P-M	87
30.	L-S-C	2
31.	L-S-P	7
36.	S-C-P	10
38.	S-P-M	6
39.	C-P-M	42
40.	L-S-C-P	2
41.	L-S-C-M	2
43.	S-C-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	70
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	178
98.	DK/refusal	668
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Schools and education: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on schools and education? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on schools and education? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	973
61.	Green Party (G)	30
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	165
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	4
90.	All parties	68
95.	No parties	178
98.	DK/refusal	668
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Schools and education: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on schools and education? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on schools and education? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	47
11.	Left Party (L)	87
12.	Social Democrats (S)	225
13.	Centre Party (C)	7
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	26
15.	Moderate Party (M)	175
20.	L-S	99
23.	L-M	5
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	7
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	3
29.	P-M	15
30.	L-S-C	6
31.	L-S-P	1
32.	L-S-M	1
34.	L-C-M	2
38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	10
41.	L-S-C-M	1
42.	L-C-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	3

44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	91
62.	Socialist	1
95.	No parties	287
98.	DK/refusal	985
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Schools and education: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on schools and education? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on schools and education? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	601
61.	Green Party (G)	58
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	55
64.	Other nation-wide party	3
70.	CD-G	10
89.	Other communist party-Other party	1
90.	All parties	90
95.	No parties	287
98.	DK/refusal	984
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Ethics and moral issues: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on ethics and moral issues? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on ethics and moral issues? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	495
11.	Left Party (L)	77
12.	Social Democrats (S)	106
13.	Centre Party (C)	25
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	92
15.	Moderate Party (M)	63
20.	L-S	25
22.	L-P	6
24.	S-C	5
25.	S-P	10
26.	S-M	3
27.	C-P	9
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	29
30.	L-S-C	1
31.	L-S-P	3
32.	L-S-M	1
36.	S-C-P	2
38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	14
40.	L-S-C-P	1
43.	S-C-P-M	3
50.	All five parties	73
95.	No parties	172
98.	DK/refusal	868
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Ethics and moral issues: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on ethics and moral issues? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on ethics and moral issues? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	314
61.	Green Party (G)	72
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	578
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	11
73.	CD-Other party	1

79.	Other communist party-Other party	1
90.	All parties	68
95.	No parties	172
98.	DK/refusal	868
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Ethics and moral issues: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on ethics and moral issues? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on ethics and moral issues? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	144
11.	Left Party (L)	128
12.	Social Democrats (S)	79
13.	Centre Party (C)	6
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	5
15.	Moderate Party (M)	128
20.	L-S	29
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	2
26.	S-M	6
29.	P-M	6
30.	L-S-C	1
31.	L-S-P	2
32.	L-S-M	5
34.	L-C-M	2
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	3
39.	C-P-M	8
40.	L-S-C-P	2
41.	L-S-C-M	3
42.	L-C-P-M	2
43.	S-C-P-M	2
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	85
95.	No parties	332
98.	DK/refusal	1104
96.	NA	537

99. MD

1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Ethics and moral issues: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on ethics and moral issues? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on ethics and moral issues? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	359
61.	Green Party (G)	53
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	138
64.	Other nation-wide party	23
70.	CD-G	6
76.	G-Other party	1
90.	All parties	74
95.	No parties	330
98.	DK/refusal	1103
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Law and order: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

9(13.1) Frågor som rör lag och ordning: Partier som har en bra politik - traditionella riksdagspartier

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	43
11.	Left Party (L)	30
12.	Social Democrats (S)	230
13.	Centre Party (C)	11
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	76
15.	Moderate Party (M)	473
20.	L-S	24
23.	L-M	2

25.	S-P	11
26.	S-M	39
27.	C-P	4
28.	C-M	6
29.	P-M	83
30.	L-S-C	1
31.	L-S-P	3
32.	L-S-M	1
33.	L-C-P	1
36.	S-C-P	4
38.	S-P-M	10
39.	C-P-M	32
40.	L-S-C-P	1
43.	S-C-P-M	5
50.	All five parties	96
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	153
98.	DK/refusal	748
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Law and order: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

9(13.2) Frågor som rör lag och ordning: Partier som har en bra politik - nya och mindre partier

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	917
61.	Green Party (G)	17
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	152
64.	Other nation-wide party	3
70.	CD-G	2
90.	All parties	96
95.	No parties	153
98.	DK/refusal	748
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Law and order: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning law and order? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning law and order? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	55
11.	Left Party (L)	153
12.	Social Democrats (S)	167
13.	Centre Party (C)	7
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	9
15.	Moderate Party (M)	101
20.	L-S	75
23.	L-M	2
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	8
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	3
29.	P-M	5
30.	L-S-C	4
32.	L-S-M	2
34.	L-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	11
40.	L-S-C-P	8
41.	L-S-C-M	2
43.	S-C-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	54
95.	No parties	365
98.	DK/refusal	1048
96.	NA	540
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2084 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Law and order: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning law and order? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning law and order? (ADDED

QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	474
61.	Green Party (G)	87
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	38
64.	Other nation-wide party	5
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	1
70.	CD-G	12
90.	All parties	54
95.	No parties	365
98.	DK/refusal	1048
96.	NA	540
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2084 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Equality: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning equality between man and women? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning equality between man and women? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	56
11.	Left Party (L)	490
12.	Social Democrats (S)	235
13.	Centre Party (C)	35
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	110
15.	Moderate Party (M)	22
20.	L-S	112
21.	L-C	9
22.	L-P	27
23.	L-M	2
24.	S-C	2
25.	S-P	25
26.	S-M	2
27.	C-P	14
28.	C-M	1
29.	P-M	5
30.	L-S-C	7
31.	L-S-P	13
32.	L-S-M	1

33.	L-C-P	4
35.	L-P-M	1
36.	S-C-P	10
38.	S-P-M	3
39.	C-P-M	8
40.	L-S-C-P	7
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	7
50.	All five parties	134
95.	No parties	165
98.	DK/refusal	576
96.	NA	540
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2084 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Equality: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning equality between man and women? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning equality between man and women? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	1022
61.	Green Party (G)	87
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	97
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	4
75.	G-Other communist party	1
90.	All parties	131
95.	No parties	165
98.	DK/refusal	576
96.	NA	540
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2084 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Equality: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning equality between man and women? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning equality between man and women? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	152
11.	Left Party (L)	70
12.	Social Democrats (S)	64
13.	Centre Party (C)	15
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	9
15.	Moderate Party (M)	269
20.	L-S	12
23.	L-M	5
26.	S-M	14
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	3
29.	P-M	11
31.	L-S-P	1
32.	L-S-M	2
34.	L-C-M	2
37.	S-C-M	3
38.	S-P-M	2
39.	C-P-M	10
40.	L-S-C-P	1
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	9
50.	All five parties	69
95.	No parties	399
98.	DK/refusal	960
96.	NA	540
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2084 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Equality: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning equality between man and women? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning equality between man and women? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	411
61.	Green Party (G)	11

62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	221
64.	Other nation-wide party	4
70.	CD-G	10
90.	All parties	68
95.	No parties	399
98.	DK/refusal	960
96.	NA	540
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2084 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Sparsely populated areas: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning less densely populated areas in the country? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning less densely populated areas in the country? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	57
11.	Left Party (L)	31
12.	Social Democrats (S)	73
13.	Centre Party (C)	788
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	22
15.	Moderate Party (M)	15
20.	L-S	9
21.	L-C	15
24.	S-C	37
25.	S-P	4
26.	S-M	1
27.	C-P	16
28.	C-M	5
29.	P-M	4
30.	L-S-C	4
34.	L-C-M	1
36.	S-C-P	2
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	8
95.	No parties	156
98.	DK/refusal	833
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Sparsely populated areas: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning less densely populated areas in the country? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a good policy on issues concerning less densely populated areas in the country? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	923
61.	Green Party (G)	132
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	28
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	4
70.	CD-G	2
76.	G-Other party	1
90.	All parties	8
95.	No parties	156
98.	DK/refusal	833
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Sparsely populated areas: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning less densely populated areas in the country? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning less densely populated areas in the country? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	20
11.	Left Party (L)	32
12.	Social Democrats (S)	151
13.	Centre Party (C)	8
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	6
15.	Moderate Party (M)	263
20.	L-S	19

21.	L-C	1
23.	L-M	4
24.	S-C	1
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	43
29.	P-M	10
31.	L-S-P	2
32.	L-S-M	3
34.	L-C-M	1
36.	S-C-P	1
38.	S-P-M	15
39.	C-P-M	5
43.	S-C-P-M	2
44.	L-S-P-M	17
50.	All five parties	76
95.	No parties	237
98.	DK/refusal	1168
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.9 Sparsely populated areas: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

I would like to ask you a few questions concerning your opinion about the policies of the parties on various issues. On this card we have put together some issue areas which have been important in Swedish politics in recent years.

Is there, in your opinion, any party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning less densely populated areas in the country? (ADDED QUESTION:) Is there any other party with a bad policy on issues concerning less densely populated areas in the country? (ADDED QUESTION IF YES:) Which party or parties?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	532
61.	Green Party (G)	29
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	28
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	16
90.	All parties	75
95.	No parties	237
98.	DK/refusal	1168
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10AA Attitude towards the Centre party

On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to use it to illustrate how much you like or dislike the different parties. Use 'plus' figures for parties you like and 'minus' figures for parties you dislike. If I get to a party you do not know, or do not know enough about to judge, just say so.

Where would you place the Centre Party?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	15
1.	-4	11
2.	-3	33
3.	-2	59
4.	-1	56
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	360
6.	+1	172
7.	+2	156
8.	+3	89
9.	+4	38
10.	+5 Like strongly	15
88.	DK/refusal	40
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	4
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1044 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10AB Attitude towards the Moderate Party

On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to use it to illustrate how much you like or dislike the different parties. Use 'plus' figures for parties you like and 'minus' figures for parties you dislike. If I get to a party you do not know, or do not know enough about to judge, just say so.

Where would you place the Moderate Party?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	101
1.	-4	59
2.	-3	93
3.	-2	88
4.	-1	68

5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	143
6.	+1	97
7.	+2	130
8.	+3	126
9.	+4	90
10.	+5 Like strongly	30
88.	DK/refusal	21
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	2
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1046 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10AC Attitude towards the Left Party

On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to use it to illustrate how much you like or dislike the different parties. Use 'plus' figures for parties you like and 'minus' figures for parties you dislike. If I get to a party you do not know, or do not know enough about to judge, just say so.

Where would you place the Left Party?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	117
1.	-4	77
2.	-3	86
3.	-2	92
4.	-1	72
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	160
6.	+1	103
7.	+2	115
8.	+3	107
9.	+4	69
10.	+5 Like strongly	27
88.	DK/refusal	23
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	0
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10AD Attitude towards the People's Party Liberals

On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to use it to illustrate how much you like or dislike the different parties. Use 'plus' figures for parties you like and 'minus' figures for parties you dislike. If I get to a party you do not know, or do not know enough about to judge, just say so.

Where would you place the People's Party Liberals?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	12
1.	-4	12
2.	-3	40
3.	-2	64
4.	-1	72
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	262
6.	+1	177
7.	+2	174
8.	+3	136
9.	+4	61
10.	+5 Like strongly	12
88.	DK/refusal	23
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	3
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1045 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10AE Attitude towards the Social Democrats

On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to use it to illustrate how much you like or dislike the different parties. Use 'plus' figures for parties you like and 'minus' figures for parties you dislike. If I get to a party you do not know, or do not know enough about to judge, just say so.

Where would you place the Social Democrats?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	18
1.	-4	26
2.	-3	50
3.	-2	55
4.	-1	62
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	141
6.	+1	127
7.	+2	143

8.	+3	191
9.	+4	137
10.	+5 Like strongly	84
88.	DK/refusal	12
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	2
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1046 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10AF Attitude towards the Green Party

On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to use it to illustrate how much you like or dislike the different parties. Use 'plus' figures for parties you like and 'minus' figures for parties you dislike. If I get to a party you do not know, or do not know enough about to judge, just say so.

Where would you place the Green Party?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	59
1.	-4	54
2.	-3	61
3.	-2	78
4.	-1	88
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	253
6.	+1	171
7.	+2	116
8.	+3	84
9.	+4	41
10.	+5 Like strongly	14
88.	DK/refusal	28
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	1
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1047 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10AG Attitude towards the Christian Democrats

On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to use it to illustrate how much you like or dislike the different parties. Use 'plus' figures for parties you like and 'minus' figures for parties you dislike. If I get to a party you do not know, or do not know enough about to judge, just say so.

Where would you place the Christian Democrats?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	63
1.	-4	51
2.	-3	52
3.	-2	65
4.	-1	74
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	184
6.	+1	152
7.	+2	136
8.	+3	137
9.	+4	68
10.	+5 Like strongly	35
88.	DK/refusal	29
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	2
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1046 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10BA Attitude towards Maud Olofsson

We use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just tell me.

Where would you place Maud Olofsson?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY LEADER AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	20
1.	-4	13
2.	-3	32
3.	-2	43
4.	-1	45
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	256
6.	+1	145
7.	+2	172
8.	+3	134
9.	+4	58
10.	+5 Like strongly	25
88.	DK/refusal	100

90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	5
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.10BB Attitude towards Bo Lundgren

We use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just tell me.

Where would you place Bo Lundgren?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY LEADER AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	84
1.	-4	61
2.	-3	88
3.	-2	78
4.	-1	76
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	183
6.	+1	137
7.	+2	122
8.	+3	102
9.	+4	40
10.	+5 Like strongly	15
88.	DK/refusal	60
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	2
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1046 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.10BC Attitude towards Gudrun Schyman

We use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just tell me.

Where would you place Gudrun Schyman?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY LEADER AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

0.	-5 Dislike strongly	77
1.	-4	46
2.	-3	66
3.	-2	77
4.	-1	61
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	129
6.	+1	137
7.	+2	150
8.	+3	147
9.	+4	92
10.	+5 Like strongly	45
88.	DK/refusal	20
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	1
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1047 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.10BD Attitude towards Lars Leijonborg

We use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just tell me.

Where would you place Lars Leijonborg?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY LEADER AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	25
1.	-4	31
2.	-3	49
3.	-2	71
4.	-1	83
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	250
6.	+1	161
7.	+2	175
8.	+3	107
9.	+4	49
10.	+5 Like strongly	5
88.	DK/refusal	40
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	2
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1046 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.10BE Attitude towards Göran Persson

We use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just tell me.

Where would you place Göran Persson?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY LEADER AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	28
1.	-4	17
2.	-3	42
3.	-2	47
4.	-1	62
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	119
6.	+1	123
7.	+2	176
8.	+3	177
9.	+4	149
10.	+5 Like strongly	93
88.	DK/refusal	14
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	1
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1047 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.10BF Attitude towards Peter Eriksson

We use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just tell me.

Where would you place Peter Eriksson?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY LEADER AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	16
1.	-4	15
2.	-3	23
3.	-2	32
4.	-1	28

5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	356
6.	+1	73
7.	+2	52
8.	+3	16
9.	+4	8
10.	+5 Like strongly	8
88.	DK/refusal	421
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.10BG Attitude towards till Maria Wetterstrand

We use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just tell me.

Where would you place Maria Wetterstrand?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY LEADER AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	16
1.	-4	12
2.	-3	22
3.	-2	33
4.	-1	33
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	347
6.	+1	89
7.	+2	56
8.	+3	29
9.	+4	14
10.	+5 Like strongly	8
88.	DK/refusal	385
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	4
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1044 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.10BH Attitude towards Alf Svensson

We use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just tell me.

Where would you place Alf Svensson?

SHOW CARD 10. READ OUT ONE PARTY LEADER AT A TIME AND ASK QUESTION BELOW. Q.10 ASKED AS Q.60 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	-5 Dislike strongly	41
1.	-4	34
2.	-3	48
3.	-2	38
4.	-1	60
5.	0 Neither like nor dislike	129
6.	+1	142
7.	+2	163
8.	+3	171
9.	+4	119
10.	+5 Like strongly	77
88.	DK/refusal	23
90.	INAP	1576
96.	NA	3
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1045 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Göran Persson: Trustworthy

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Göran Persson, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Trustworthy

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	133
2.	Fairly appropriate	581
3.	Fairly inappropriate	247
4.	Very inappropriate	60
8.	DK/refusal	27
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Göran Persson: Inspiring

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Göran Persson, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Inspiring

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	63
2.	Fairly appropriate	323
3.	Fairly inappropriate	457
4.	Very inappropriate	167
8.	DK/refusal	38
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Göran Persson: Knowledgeable

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Göran Persson, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Knowledgeable

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	401
2.	Fairly appropriate	549
3.	Fairly inappropriate	58
4.	Very inappropriate	12
8.	DK/refusal	28
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Göran Persson: Friendly

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Göran Persson, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Friendly

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	118
2.	Fairly appropriate	479
3.	Fairly inappropriate	301
4.	Very inappropriate	112
8.	DK/refusal	38
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Göran Persson: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Göran Persson, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	75
2.	Fairly appropriate	450
3.	Fairly inappropriate	335
4.	Very inappropriate	110
8.	DK/refusal	78

0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Göran Persson: Speaks so that ordinary people can understand

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Göran Persson, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Speaks so that ordinary people can understand

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	237
2.	Fairly appropriate	641
3.	Fairly inappropriate	119
4.	Very inappropriate	30
8.	DK/refusal	21
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Göran Persson: Strong leader

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Göran Persson, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Strong leader

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	498
2.	Fairly appropriate	398
3.	Fairly inappropriate	100

4.	Very inappropriate	22
8.	DK/refusal	30
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Trustworthy

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Bo Lundgren, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Trustworthy

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	73
2.	Fairly appropriate	433
3.	Fairly inappropriate	301
4.	Very inappropriate	78
8.	DK/refusal	163
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Inspiring

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Bo Lundgren, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Inspiring

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	17
2.	Fairly appropriate	197

3.	Fairly inappropriate	464
4.	Very inappropriate	254
8.	DK/refusal	116
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Knowledgeable

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Bo Lundgren, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Knowledgeable

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	184
2.	Fairly appropriate	612
3.	Fairly inappropriate	88
4.	Very inappropriate	21
8.	DK/refusal	143
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Friendly

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Bo Lundgren, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Friendly

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	37

2.	Fairly appropriate	382
3.	Fairly inappropriate	381
4.	Very inappropriate	131
8.	DK/refusal	117
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Bo Lundgren, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	16
2.	Fairly appropriate	233
3.	Fairly inappropriate	441
4.	Very inappropriate	193
8.	DK/refusal	165
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Speaks so that ordinary people can understand

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Bo Lundgren, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Speaks so that ordinary people can understand

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	56
2.	Fairly appropriate	450
3.	Fairly inappropriate	337
4.	Very inappropriate	83
8.	DK/refusal	122
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11A Bo Lundgren: Strong leader

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of Bo Lundgren, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Strong leader

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	58
2.	Fairly appropriate	275
3.	Fairly inappropriate	436
4.	Very inappropriate	141
8.	DK/refusal	138
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Social Democrats: Trustworthy

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Social Democrats, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Trustworthy

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	100
2.	Fairly appropriate	533
3.	Fairly inappropriate	301
4.	Very inappropriate	70
8.	DK/refusal	44
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Social Democrats: Inspiring

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Social Democrats, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Inspiring

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	42
2.	Fairly appropriate	282
3.	Fairly inappropriate	525
4.	Very inappropriate	136
8.	DK/refusal	63
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Social Democrats: Friendly

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Social Democrats, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Friendly

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A

TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	71
2.	Fairly appropriate	474
3.	Fairly inappropriate	365
4.	Very inappropriate	66
8.	DK/refusal	72
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Social Democrats: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Social Democrats, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	114
2.	Fairly appropriate	555
3.	Fairly inappropriate	262
4.	Very inappropriate	49
8.	DK/refusal	68
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Social Democrats: Competent people

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Social Democrats, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Has competent people who can run the country

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	143
2.	Fairly appropriate	525
3.	Fairly inappropriate	225
4.	Very inappropriate	94
8.	DK/refusal	61
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Social Democrats: Clear policies

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Social Democrats, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Has clear policies

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	101
2.	Fairly appropriate	486
3.	Fairly inappropriate	326
4.	Very inappropriate	81
8.	DK/refusal	54
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Social Democrats: Keeps its election promises

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of

them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Social Democrats, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Mostly keeps its election promises

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	19
2.	Fairly appropriate	329
3.	Fairly inappropriate	414
4.	Very inappropriate	193
8.	DK/refusal	93
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Moderate Party: Trustworthy

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Moderate Party, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Trustworthy

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	61
2.	Fairly appropriate	464
3.	Fairly inappropriate	312
4.	Very inappropriate	72
8.	DK/refusal	139
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Moderate Party: Inspiring

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Moderate Party, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Inspiring

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	37
2.	Fairly appropriate	287
3.	Fairly inappropriate	463
4.	Very inappropriate	149
8.	DK/refusal	112
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Moderate Party: Friendly

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Moderate Party, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Friendly

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	27
2.	Fairly appropriate	286
3.	Fairly inappropriate	445
4.	Very inappropriate	171
8.	DK/refusal	119
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Moderate Party: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Moderate Party, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Knows the thoughts and feelings of ordinary people

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	13
2.	Fairly appropriate	225
3.	Fairly inappropriate	483
4.	Very inappropriate	205
8.	DK/refusal	122
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Moderate Party: Competent people

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Moderate Party, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Has competent people who can run the country

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	95
2.	Fairly appropriate	479
3.	Fairly inappropriate	238
4.	Very inappropriate	67
8.	DK/refusal	169
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Moderate Party: Clear policies

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Moderate Party, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Has clear policies

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	194
2.	Fairly appropriate	520
3.	Fairly inappropriate	188
4.	Very inappropriate	39
8.	DK/refusal	107
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.11B Moderate Party: Keeps its election promises

On this card there are a number of words and expressions that can be used to describe different characteristics of party leaders and parties. I would like you to tell me how appropriately you think that each of them describes Göran Persson, Bo Lundgren, the Social Democrats and the Moderate Party. We will only ask about the two biggest parties and their leaders.

Thinking of the Moderate Party, how appropriate do you think the following expression is: Mostly keeps its election promises

SHOW CARD 11. FOR EACH PARTY LEADER AND EACH PARTY, READ OUT ONE EXPRESSION AT A TIME AND LET R. CHOOSE ALTERNATIVE. NOTE RESPONSE NUMBER. Q.11A AND 11B ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very appropriate	18
2.	Fairly appropriate	234
3.	Fairly inappropriate	343
4.	Very inappropriate	124
8.	DK/refusal	329
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.12 Trust in Swedish politicians

Generally speaking, how much trust do you have in Swedish politicians? Do you have a great deal of trust, a fair amount of trust, not much trust or very little trust?

SHOW CARD 12

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Great deal of trust	59
2.	Fair amount of trust	1011
3.	Not much trust	1191
4.	Very little trust	321
8.	DK/refusal	42
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.13A Good-bad: Reduce the public sector

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to reduce the size of the public sector?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	157
2.	Fairly good proposal	354
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	337
4.	Fairly bad proposal	632
5.	Very bad proposal	512
8.	DK/refusal	99
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.13B Good-bad: Reduce defence spendings

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to reduce defence spendings?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	300
2.	Fairly good proposal	624
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	458
4.	Fairly bad proposal	489
5.	Very bad proposal	141
8.	DK/refusal	79
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13C Good-bad: Reduce social benefits

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to reduce social benefits?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	183
2.	Fairly good proposal	490
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	489
4.	Fairly bad proposal	595
5.	Very bad proposal	268
8.	DK/refusal	66
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13D Good-bad: Cut taxes

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to cut taxes?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	459
2.	Fairly good proposal	571
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	386
4.	Fairly bad proposal	431
5.	Very bad proposal	213
8.	DK/refusal	31
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13E Good-bad: Keep the property tax

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to keep the property tax?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	67
2.	Fairly good proposal	305
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	408
4.	Fairly bad proposal	523
5.	Very bad proposal	631
8.	DK/refusal	157
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13F Good-bad: Privatize state owned business and public utilities

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a

fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to sell off state owned businesses and public utilities to private interests?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	99
2.	Fairly good proposal	394
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	443
4.	Fairly bad proposal	575
5.	Very bad proposal	449
8.	DK/refusal	131
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13G Good-bad: Reduce income differences in society

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to reduce income differences in society?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	710
2.	Fairly good proposal	751
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	326
4.	Fairly bad proposal	194
5.	Very bad proposal	58
8.	DK/refusal	52
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13H Good-bad: Increase the proportion of health care run by private interests

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to increase the proportion of health care run by private interests?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	175
2.	Fairly good proposal	464
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	375
4.	Fairly bad proposal	596
5.	Very bad proposal	418
8.	DK/refusal	63
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13I Good-bad: Reduce the influence on politics by the financial markets

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to reduce the influence on politics by the financial markets?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	310
2.	Fairly good proposal	582
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	565
4.	Fairly bad proposal	246
5.	Very bad proposal	70
8.	DK/refusal	318
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13J Good-bad: Keep the maximum charge in child care

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to keep the maximum charge in child care nurseries?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	448
2.	Fairly good proposal	569
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	372
4.	Fairly bad proposal	215
5.	Very bad proposal	157
8.	DK/refusal	330
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13K Good-bad: Promote independent schools

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to do more to promote independent schools?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	200
2.	Fairly good proposal	518
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	460
4.	Fairly bad proposal	501
5.	Very bad proposal	255
8.	DK/refusal	157
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13L Good-bad: Introduce reports with grades at an earlier age

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to introduce reports with grades for school pupils at an earlier age than is the case today?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	609
2.	Fairly good proposal	645
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	179
4.	Fairly bad proposal	275
5.	Very bad proposal	313
8.	DK/refusal	70
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13M Good-bad: Increase financial support to sparsely populated areas

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to increase financial support to less densely populated areas of the country?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	532
2.	Fairly good proposal	907
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	357
4.	Fairly bad proposal	131
5.	Very bad proposal	38
8.	DK/refusal	126
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13N Good-bad: Introduce six hour working day for all gainfully employed

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to introduce six hour working day for all gainfully employed?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	575
2.	Fairly good proposal	533
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	337
4.	Fairly bad proposal	350
5.	Very bad proposal	217
8.	DK/refusal	79
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.130 Good-bad: Prohibit all forms of pornography

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to prohibit all forms of pornography?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	910
2.	Fairly good proposal	348
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	353
4.	Fairly bad proposal	285
5.	Very bad proposal	135
8.	DK/refusal	60
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13P Good-bad: Restrict the right to free abortion

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to restrict the right to free abortion?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	92
2.	Fairly good proposal	187
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	227
4.	Fairly bad proposal	495
5.	Very bad proposal	1002
8.	DK/refusal	88
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13Q Good-bad: Allow homosexual couples to adopt children

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to allow gay and lesbian couples to adopt children?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	196
2.	Fairly good proposal	344
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	349
4.	Fairly bad proposal	398
5.	Very bad proposal	680
8.	DK/refusal	124
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13R Good-bad: Raise the severity of prison sentences

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to significantly raise the severity of prison

sentences for criminals?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	704
2.	Fairly good proposal	604
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	353
4.	Fairly bad proposal	244
5.	Very bad proposal	124
8.	DK/refusal	62
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13S Good-bad: Strengthen animal rights

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to strengthen animal rights?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	727
2.	Fairly good proposal	700
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	450
4.	Fairly bad proposal	92
5.	Very bad proposal	40
8.	DK/refusal	82
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13T Good-bad: Abolish nuclear power in the long run

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal that Sweden should in the long run abolish nuclear power?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	471
2.	Fairly good proposal	561
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	337
4.	Fairly bad proposal	340
5.	Very bad proposal	267
8.	DK/refusal	115
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13U Good-bad: Stop private driving in inner cities

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to stop private driving in inner cities?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	185
2.	Fairly good proposal	634
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	392
4.	Fairly bad proposal	491
5.	Very bad proposal	290
8.	DK/refusal	99
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13V Good-bad: Increase the amount of labour immigrants

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to increase the amount of labour immigration to Sweden?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	105
2.	Fairly good proposal	429
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	546
4.	Fairly bad proposal	525
5.	Very bad proposal	360
8.	DK/refusal	126
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.13W Good-bad: Introduce language test as criterion for citizenship

I will now read to you a list of things which some people think ought to be implemented in Sweden. For each of them could you say if it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to introduce a language test as a criterion for Swedish citizenship?

SHOW CARD 13 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	390
2.	Fairly good proposal	416
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	159
4.	Fairly bad proposal	198
5.	Very bad proposal	136
8.	DK/refusal	533
0.	INAP	742
6.	NA	50
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 069

Summary Statistics: Valid 1832 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.14 Opinion on nuclear power

There are varying views about nuclear as energy source. What is your opinion? Are you, on the whole, in favour of or against nuclear power, or do you have no definite opinion on the issue?

SHOW CARD 14

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	On the whole in favour of nuclear power	943
3.	No definite opinion on the issue	457
5.	On the whole against nuclear power	665
8.	DK/refusal	26
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.15 Opinion on Swedish membership of the EU

Are you on the whole in favour of or against the Swedish membership of the EU, or do you have no definite opinion on the issue?

SHOW CARD 15

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	On the whole for Swedish membership of the EU	1099
3.	No definite opinion on the issue	373
5.	On the whole against Swedish membership of the EU	812
8.	DK/refusal	33
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.16 EU developing into a federal state

Do you think it is a positive or negative thing that the EU develops into a federal state, a kind of United States of Europe? You may answer using the scale on this card.

SHOW CARD 16

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Very negative	364
1.		111
2.		216
3.		269
4.		143
5.		334

6.		118
7.		151
8.		145
9.		27
10.	Very positive	51
88.	DK/refusal	162
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Foreign and security policy: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

What is your view on the parties' policies on a number of issues regarding Sweden's relations to other countries?

I begin with issues concerning Swedish foreign and security policy. Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning Swedish foreign and security policy?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	13
11.	Left Party (L)	43
12.	Social Democrats (S)	513
13.	Centre Party (C)	6
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	27
15.	Moderate Party (M)	134
20.	L-S	26
21.	L-C	1
24.	S-C	10
25.	S-P	16
26.	S-M	56
27.	C-P	1
29.	P-M	31
31.	L-S-P	1
32.	L-S-M	1
36.	S-C-P	14
38.	S-P-M	21
39.	C-P-M	8
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	34
50.	All five parties	62
95.	No parties	118
98.	DK/refusal	948
96.	NA	539
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2085 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Foreign and security policy: Good policy - new and smaller parties

I begin with issues concerning Swedish foreign and security policy. Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning Swedish foreign and security policy?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	859
61.	Green Party (G)	26
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	69
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	3
90.	All parties	61
95.	No parties	118
98.	DK/refusal	948
96.	NA	539
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2085 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Foreign and security policy: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning Swedish foreign and security policy?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	77
11.	Left Party (L)	323
12.	Social Democrats (S)	22
13.	Centre Party (C)	4
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	8
15.	Moderate Party (M)	89
20.	L-S	9
21.	L-C	11
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	12
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	5
28.	C-M	1
29.	P-M	19
30.	L-S-C	1
33.	L-C-P	2
35.	L-P-M	1

38.	S-P-M	3
39.	C-P-M	6
43.	S-C-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	29
95.	No parties	234
98.	DK/refusal	1224
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Foreign and security policy: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning Swedish foreign and security policy?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	374
61.	Green Party (G)	182
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	32
64.	Other nation-wide party	5
70.	CD-G	6
90.	All parties	28
95.	No parties	234
98.	DK/refusal	1225
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Aid to developing countries: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning Swedish aid to developing countries?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	92
11.	Left Party (L)	68
12.	Social Democrats (S)	301
13.	Centre Party (C)	13
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	133
15.	Moderate Party (M)	42
20.	L-S	50

22.	L-P	12
24.	S-C	2
25.	S-P	30
26.	S-M	7
27.	C-P	12
28.	C-M	1
29.	P-M	7
30.	L-S-C	2
31.	L-S-P	11
33.	L-C-P	1
36.	S-C-P	2
38.	S-P-M	4
39.	C-P-M	7
40.	L-S-C-P	6
43.	S-C-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	34
95.	No parties	160
98.	DK/refusal	1088
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Aid to developing countries: Good policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning Swedish aid to developing countries?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	588
61.	Green Party (G)	60
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	147
70.	CD-G	13
90.	All parties	33
95.	No parties	160
98.	DK/refusal	1088
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Aid to developing countries: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning Swedish aid to developing countries?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	34
11.	Left Party (L)	46
12.	Social Democrats (S)	64
13.	Centre Party (C)	3
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	13
15.	Moderate Party (M)	209
20.	L-S	14
22.	L-P	2
23.	L-M	1
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	8
28.	C-M	1
29.	P-M	6
32.	L-S-M	2
39.	C-P-M	10
40.	L-S-C-P	2
41.	L-S-C-M	1
42.	L-C-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	2
50.	All five parties	63
95.	No parties	195
98.	DK/refusal	1409
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Aid to developing countries: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning Swedish aid to developing countries?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	348
61.	Green Party (G)	34
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	29
64.	Other nation-wide party	4
70.	CD-G	6
90.	All parties	62
95.	No parties	195
98.	DK/refusal	1409

96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 EU: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the European Union EU?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	54
11.	Left Party (L)	125
12.	Social Democrats (S)	315
13.	Centre Party (C)	32
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	45
15.	Moderate Party (M)	114
20.	L-S	6
21.	L-C	8
22.	L-P	2
23.	L-M	2
24.	S-C	3
25.	S-P	23
26.	S-M	58
27.	C-P	2
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	55
36.	S-C-P	6
37.	S-C-M	2
38.	S-P-M	60
39.	C-P-M	11
42.	L-C-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	37
50.	All five parties	30
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
95.	No parties	133
98.	DK/refusal	963
96.	NA	534
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2090 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 EU: Good policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the European Union EU?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	737
61.	Green Party (G)	113
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	114
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
90.	All parties	30
95.	No parties	132
98.	DK/refusal	963
96.	NA	534
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2090 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 EU: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties*Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the European Union EU?*

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	112
11.	Left Party (L)	333
12.	Social Democrats (S)	40
13.	Centre Party (C)	20
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	6
15.	Moderate Party (M)	86
20.	L-S	11
21.	L-C	38
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	5
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	16
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	13
30.	L-S-C	1
31.	L-S-P	1
32.	L-S-M	2
35.	L-P-M	1
36.	S-C-P	1
38.	S-P-M	12
39.	C-P-M	7
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	23
50.	All five parties	48

95.	No parties	137
98.	DK/refusal	1170
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 EU: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the European Union EU?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	337
61.	Green Party (G)	349
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	47
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	3
90.	All parties	44
95.	No parties	137
98.	DK/refusal	1170
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 EMU: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the EMU?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	27
11.	Left Party (L)	123
12.	Social Democrats (S)	215
13.	Centre Party (C)	24
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	30
15.	Moderate Party (M)	129
20.	L-S	7
21.	L-C	8
24.	S-C	2
25.	S-P	8
26.	S-M	53
27.	C-P	3

29.	P-M	62
31.	L-S-P	1
33.	L-C-P	1
36.	S-C-P	2
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	45
39.	C-P-M	16
43.	S-C-P-M	26
50.	All five parties	16
73.	Bourgeois, bourgeois parties, non-socialist parties	1
92.	Supporters of nuclear power	1
95.	No parties	139
98.	DK/refusal	1148
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 EMU: Good policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the EMU?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	604
61.	Green Party (G)	84
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	96
70.	CD-G	1
90.	All parties	16
95.	No parties	140
98.	DK/refusal	1147
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 EMU: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the EMU?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	75
11.	Left Party (L)	268

12.	Social Democrats (S)	67
13.	Centre Party (C)	13
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	2
15.	Moderate Party (M)	74
20.	L-S	26
21.	L-C	26
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	2
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	19
29.	P-M	13
33.	L-C-P	1
34.	L-C-M	2
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	17
39.	C-P-M	6
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	23
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	44
91.	Nuclear power opponents	3
95.	No parties	119
98.	DK/refusal	1279
96.	NA	540
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2084 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 EMU: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the EMU?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	322
61.	Green Party (G)	266
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	48
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	5
90.	All parties	44
95.	No parties	119
98.	DK/refusal	1279
96.	NA	540
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2084 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Refugee/immigration issues: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on refugee/immigration issues?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	56
11.	Left Party (L)	83
12.	Social Democrats (S)	264
13.	Centre Party (C)	11
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	345
15.	Moderate Party (M)	82
20.	L-S	62
22.	L-P	11
24.	S-C	3
25.	S-P	28
26.	S-M	6
27.	C-P	11
28.	C-M	1
29.	P-M	49
30.	L-S-C	3
31.	L-S-P	10
36.	S-C-P	3
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	6
39.	C-P-M	15
40.	L-S-C-P	2
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	40
95.	No parties	221
98.	DK/refusal	771
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Refugee/immigration issues: Good policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on refugee/immigration issues?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	898

61.	Green Party (G)	29
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	105
64.	Other nation-wide party	14
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	3
70.	CD-G	5
79.	Other communist party-Other party	1
90.	All parties	39
95.	No parties	221
98.	DK/refusal	771
96.	NA	538
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2086 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Refugee/immigration issues: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on refugee/immigration issues?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	81
11.	Left Party (L)	66
12.	Social Democrats (S)	180
13.	Centre Party (C)	8
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	59
15.	Moderate Party (M)	182
20.	L-S	57
21.	L-C	1
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	6
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	10
27.	C-P	1
28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	32
31.	L-S-P	2
32.	L-S-M	1
34.	L-C-M	1
35.	L-P-M	1
36.	S-C-P	1
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	4
39.	C-P-M	10
40.	L-S-C-P	1
41.	L-S-C-M	6
42.	L-C-P-M	1

43.	S-C-P-M	7
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	96
95.	No parties	218
98.	DK/refusal	1050
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Refugee/immigration issues: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on refugee/immigration issues?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	551
61.	Green Party (G)	41
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	56
64.	Other nation-wide party	64
70.	CD-G	13
90.	All parties	95
95.	No parties	218
98.	DK/refusal	1050
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 US war on terrorism: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the US war on terrorism?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	7
11.	Left Party (L)	142
12.	Social Democrats (S)	387
13.	Centre Party (C)	2
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	8
15.	Moderate Party (M)	46
20.	L-S	20
22.	L-P	3
24.	S-C	1

25.	S-P	6
26.	S-M	43
29.	P-M	6
30.	L-S-C	2
33.	L-C-P	1
36.	S-C-P	1
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	22
39.	C-P-M	7
43.	S-C-P-M	65
44.	L-S-P-M	2
50.	All five parties	121
95.	No parties	171
98.	DK/refusal	1024
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 US war on terrorism: Good policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the US war on terrorism?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	642
61.	Green Party (G)	39
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	84
70.	CD-G	7
90.	All parties	121
95.	No parties	171
98.	DK/refusal	1024
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 US war on terrorism: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the US war on terrorism?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	24

11.	Left Party (L)	274
12.	Social Democrats (S)	48
13.	Centre Party (C)	5
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	3
15.	Moderate Party (M)	65
20.	L-S	7
21.	L-C	5
22.	L-P	2
23.	L-M	2
26.	S-M	17
27.	C-P	1
29.	P-M	6
33.	L-C-P	1
34.	L-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	7
39.	C-P-M	5
41.	L-S-C-M	1
42.	L-C-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	32
50.	All five parties	60
95.	No parties	251
98.	DK/refusal	1271
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 US war on terrorism: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the US war on terrorism?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	349
61.	Green Party (G)	92
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	49
63.	Other communist parties	1
64.	Other nation-wide party	2
70.	CD-G	14
90.	All parties	60
95.	No parties	251
98.	DK/refusal	1271
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Israel-Palestine conflict: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the conflict between Israel and Palestinians?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	31
11.	Left Party (L)	85
12.	Social Democrats (S)	335
13.	Centre Party (C)	1
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	28
15.	Moderate Party (M)	24
20.	L-S	36
24.	S-C	1
25.	S-P	10
26.	S-M	14
29.	P-M	3
32.	L-S-M	1
36.	S-C-P	3
38.	S-P-M	8
39.	C-P-M	4
42.	L-C-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	10
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	40
95.	No parties	222
98.	DK/refusal	1229
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Israel-Palestine conflict: Good policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning the conflict between Israel and Palestinians?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	507
61.	Green Party (G)	26
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	60
70.	CD-G	3

90.	All parties	40
95.	No parties	222
98.	DK/refusal	1229
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Israel-Palestine conflict: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the conflict between Israel and Palestinians?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	14
11.	Left Party (L)	122
12.	Social Democrats (S)	57
13.	Centre Party (C)	3
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	12
15.	Moderate Party (M)	55
20.	L-S	9
21.	L-C	1
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	4
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	4
27.	C-P	1
29.	P-M	8
38.	S-P-M	4
39.	C-P-M	12
41.	L-S-C-M	2
42.	L-C-P-M	2
43.	S-C-P-M	13
50.	All five parties	79
95.	No parties	223
98.	DK/refusal	1462
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 Israel-Palestine conflict: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning the conflict between Israel and Palestinians?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	240
61.	Green Party (G)	35
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	36
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	12
90.	All parties	79
95.	No parties	222
98.	DK/refusal	1463
96.	NA	536
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2088 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 NATO: Good policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning NATO?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	11
11.	Left Party (L)	61
12.	Social Democrats (S)	237
13.	Centre Party (C)	7
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	32
15.	Moderate Party (M)	154
20.	L-S	28
21.	L-C	2
24.	S-C	7
25.	S-P	7
26.	S-M	16
27.	C-P	2
29.	P-M	44
30.	L-S-C	5
36.	S-C-P	6
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	8
39.	C-P-M	14
40.	L-S-C-P	3
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	12
50.	All five parties	17
95.	No parties	106

98.	DK/refusal	1309
96.	NA	534
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2090 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 NATO: Good policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning NATO?

Variable Text: Parties with a good policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	572
61.	Green Party (G)	33
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	48
70.	CD-G	4
90.	All parties	17
95.	No parties	105
98.	DK/refusal	1311
96.	NA	534
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2090 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98

Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 NATO: Bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a bad policy on issues concerning NATO?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - traditional parliament parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	20
11.	Left Party (L)	196
12.	Social Democrats (S)	27
13.	Centre Party (C)	6
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	29
15.	Moderate Party (M)	147
20.	L-S	33
21.	L-C	3
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	2
26.	S-M	3
29.	P-M	35
30.	L-S-C	5
35.	L-P-M	1

38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	4
42.	L-C-P-M	3
43.	S-C-P-M	8
50.	All five parties	23
95.	No parties	114
98.	DK/refusal	1428
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.17 NATO: Bad policy - new and smaller parties

Is there in your opinion a party or parties with a good policy on issues concerning NATO?

Variable Text: Parties with a bad policy - new and smaller parties

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	391
61.	Green Party (G)	97
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	26
63.	Other communist parties	1
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
70.	CD-G	8
90.	All parties	23
95.	No parties	114
98.	DK/refusal	1428
96.	NA	535
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2089 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.18A Good-bad: Reduce development aid

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal to reduce development aid?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	88
2.	Fairly good proposal	283
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	481
4.	Fairly bad proposal	734
5.	Very bad proposal	451

8.	DK/refusal	54
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.18B Good-bad: Accept fewer refugees into Sweden

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal to accept fewer refugees into Sweden?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	295
2.	Fairly good proposal	599
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	472
4.	Fairly bad proposal	449
5.	Very bad proposal	224
8.	DK/refusal	52
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.18C Good-bad: Increase economic support to immigrants so they could maintain their own culture

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal to increase economic support to immigrants so that they can keep their own culture?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	60
2.	Fairly good proposal	219
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	554
4.	Fairly bad proposal	718
5.	Very bad proposal	459
8.	DK/refusal	81
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.18D Good-bad: Write off third world debt

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal to write off third world debt?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	282
2.	Fairly good proposal	661
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	522
4.	Fairly bad proposal	270
5.	Very bad proposal	129
8.	DK/refusal	227
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.18E Good-bad: Sweden should leave the EU

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal that Sweden should withdraw from the EU?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	345
2.	Fairly good proposal	247
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	294
4.	Fairly bad proposal	411
5.	Very bad proposal	660
8.	DK/refusal	134
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.18F Good-bad: Sweden should join the EMU

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion

about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal that Sweden should join the EMU?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	423
2.	Fairly good proposal	428
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	365
4.	Fairly bad proposal	274
5.	Very bad proposal	377
8.	DK/refusal	224
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.18G Good-bad: Sweden should apply for membership of NATO

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal that Sweden should apply for NATO membership?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	137
2.	Fairly good proposal	295
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	434
4.	Fairly bad proposal	430
5.	Very bad proposal	522
8.	DK/refusal	273
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.18H Good-bad: Promote increased free trade

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal that Sweden should strive to promote increased free trade across the whole world?

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

1.	Very good proposal	482
2.	Fairly good proposal	743
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	428
4.	Fairly bad proposal	126
5.	Very bad proposal	52
8.	DK/refusal	260
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.18I Good-bad: Political support to the US war on terrorism

I will now read to you a list of proposals regarding Sweden's relations with other countries. What is your opinion about each of them? For each proposal, could you say whether it is: a very good proposal, a fairly good proposal, neither a good nor a bad proposal, a fairly bad proposal, a very bad proposal

Using the answers on this card, what is your opinion about the proposal that Sweden should give political support to the US war on terrorism?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	299
2.	Fairly good proposal	536
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	434
4.	Fairly bad proposal	344
5.	Very bad proposal	337
8.	DK/refusal	141
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.19A State control over private business and industry

We have put together some opinions which sometimes appear in the political debate. I will read out these opinions one by one, and ask you to choose one of the answers on this card. Do you agree with this opinion or do you think it is wrong?

Leading banking and industry people will get far too much influence unless society is given the chance to control private business and industry.

SHOW CARD 19

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agree completely	395
2.	Agree on the whole	1005
3.	Disagree on the whole	284

4.	Disagree completely	102
8.	DK/refusal	305
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.19B Social reforms have gone too far

We have put together some opinions which sometimes appear in the political debate. I will read out these opinions one by one, and ask you to choose one of the answers on this card. Do you agree with this opinion or do you think it is wrong?

Social reforms in this country have gone so far that the state ought to reduce rather than increase social benefits and support for the citizens.

SHOW CARD 19

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agree completely	288
2.	Agree on the whole	782
3.	Disagree on the whole	596
4.	Disagree completely	223
8.	DK/refusal	202
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.20A The parties are only interested in people's votes

We have also put together some opinions concerning parties and politics. Some are rather critical. I will read out these opinions one by one and ask you to choose one of the answers on the card. Do you agree with this opinion or do you think it is wrong?

The parties are only interested in people's votes, not in what they think.

SHOW CARD 20

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agree completely	466
2.	Agree on the whole	933
3.	Disagree on the whole	493
4.	Disagree completely	123
8.	DK/refusal	76

6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.20B MPs do not pay much attention to the thoughts and views of ordinary people

We have also put together some opinions concerning parties and politics. Some are rather critical. I will read out these opinions one by one and ask you to choose one of the answers on the card. Do you agree with this opinion or do you think it is wrong?

Those who sit in parliament making decisions do not pay much attention to the thoughts and views of ordinary people.

SHOW CARD 20

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agree completely	394
2.	Agree on the whole	971
3.	Disagree on the whole	552
4.	Disagree completely	96
8.	DK/refusal	78
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.20C Parties do not keep their election promises

We have also put together some opinions concerning parties and politics. Some are rather critical. I will read out these opinions one by one and ask you to choose one of the answers on the card. Do you agree with this opinion or do you think it is wrong?

You can never trust any of the parties to keep their election promises.

SHOW CARD 20

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agree completely	745
2.	Agree on the whole	855
3.	Disagree on the whole	386
4.	Disagree completely	60
8.	DK/refusal	45
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.21A Most important goal how our society should develop

Now follows a question about how you think our society should develop in the next few years. On this card there are some goals which many think we should be working for in our society.

I would like to know which of the goals you consider as the most important?

SHOW CARD 21. NOTE! THE SAME RESPONSE ALTERNATIVE (1-4) MAY ONLY APPEAR ONCE IN QUESTIONS 21A TO 21C. QUESTION 21 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Maintain law and order	449
2.	Give individuals more possibility of influencing political decisions	312
3.	Fight rising prices	55
4.	Protect freedom of speech	212
8.	DK/refusal	20
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.21B Second most important goal how our society should develop

Now follows a question about how you think our society should develop in the next few years. On this card there are some goals which many think we should be working for in our society.

Which goal is, in your opinion, the second most important?

SHOW CARD 21. NOTE! THE SAME RESPONSE ALTERNATIVE (1-4) MAY ONLY APPEAR ONCE IN QUESTIONS 21A TO 21C. QUESTION 21 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Maintain law and order	280
2.	Give individuals more possibility of influencing political decisions	283
3.	Fight rising prices	145
4.	Protect freedom of speech	310
8.	DK/refusal	10
0.	INAP	1596
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1028 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
Variable Format: numeric

Q.21C Least important goal how our society should develop

Now follows a question about how you think our society should develop in the next few years. On this card there are some goals which many think we should be working for in our society.

Which goal is, in your opinion, the least important?

SHOW CARD 21. NOTE! THE SAME RESPONSE ALTERNATIVE (1-4) MAY ONLY APPEAR ONCE IN QUESTIONS 21A TO 21C. QUESTION 21 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Maintain law and order	59
2.	Give individuals more possibility of influencing political decisions	145
3.	Fight rising prices	561
4.	Protect freedom of speech	194
8.	DK/refusal	59
0.	INAP	1606
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
Summary Statistics: Valid 1018 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
Variable Format: numeric

Q.22A Society: Private enterprise and market economy

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society with more private enterprise and market economy?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	88
1.	1	48
2.	2	104
3.	3	119
4.	4	93
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	457
6.	6	180
7.	7	311
8.	8	307
9.	9	71
10.	10 Very good suggestion	212

88.	DK/refusal	101
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.22B Society: Socialist

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a socialist society?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	418
1.	1	100
2.	2	194
3.	3	191
4.	4	119
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	431
6.	6	131
7.	7	135
8.	8	114
9.	9	41
10.	10 Very good suggestion	69
88.	DK/refusal	148
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.22C Society: Economic growth and high productivity

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society with a high rate of economic growth and high productivity?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	13
1.	1	6
2.	2	22
3.	3	52
4.	4	55
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	263
6.	6	236
7.	7	344
8.	8	418
9.	9	152
10.	10 Very good suggestion	425
88.	DK/refusal	105
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.22D Society: Environmentally friendly

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards an environmentally friendly society, even if it means low or no economic growth?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	76
1.	1	54
2.	2	141
3.	3	193
4.	4	177
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	517
6.	6	221
7.	7	240
8.	8	194
9.	9	61
10.	10 Very good suggestion	121
88.	DK/refusal	96
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.22E Society: Advanced techniques

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society that utilises advanced technology, such as computers and industrial robots to make production efficient?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	84
1.	1	38
2.	2	92
3.	3	143
4.	4	136
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	453
6.	6	192
7.	7	289
8.	8	311
9.	9	98
10.	10 Very good suggestion	175
88.	DK/refusal	80
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.22F Society: Christian values

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society where Christian values play a more important role?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	279
1.	1	141

2.	2	229
3.	3	184
4.	4	93
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	494
6.	6	147
7.	7	144
8.	8	127
9.	9	45
10.	10 Very good suggestion	115
88.	DK/refusal	93
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.22G Society: Law and order

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society with more law and order?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	14
1.	1	18
2.	2	37
3.	3	56
4.	4	51
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	266
6.	6	218
7.	7	321
8.	8	425
9.	9	191
10.	10 Very good suggestion	448
88.	DK/refusal	46
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.22H Society: Equality between men and women

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society with better equality between men and women?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	5
1.	1	1
2.	2	7
3.	3	4
4.	4	11
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	154
6.	6	107
7.	7	208
8.	8	389
9.	9	211
10.	10 Very good suggestion	960
88.	DK/refusal	34
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.22I Society: Swedish values

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society that protects traditional Swedish values?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	54
1.	1	33
2.	2	62
3.	3	85
4.	4	73
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	421
6.	6	200

7.	7	309
8.	8	315
9.	9	124
10.	10 Very good suggestion	323
88.	DK/refusal	92
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.22J Society: Strengthen position of the family

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society that strengthens the position of the family?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	16
1.	1	17
2.	2	25
3.	3	30
4.	4	32
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	278
6.	6	165
7.	7	317
8.	8	432
9.	9	175
10.	10 Very good suggestion	530
88.	DK/refusal	74
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.22K Society: Multi cultural

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a multicultural society with much tolerance of people from other countries with other religions and lifestyles?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	64
1.	1	37
2.	2	85
3.	3	126
4.	4	116
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	416
6.	6	228
7.	7	253
8.	8	303
9.	9	111
10.	10 Very good suggestion	280
88.	DK/refusal	72
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.22L Society: Internationalism and less borders

On this card I have a list with proposals of different kinds of society some people think we should work towards in Sweden in the future. I would like to know what you think about these proposals. You may answer using the scale on this card. A high number means that you think that a proposal is good. A low number means that you think that a proposal is bad.

What do you think about the proposal to work towards a society with more emphasis on internationalism and less of borders between people and countries?

SHOW CARD 22

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Very bad suggestion	38
1.	1	22
2.	2	66
3.	3	101
4.	4	92
5.	5 Neither good nor bad suggestion	485
6.	6	204
7.	7	308
8.	8	343
9.	9	95
10.	10 Very good suggestion	221

88.	DK/refusal	116
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.23 Trust: People in general

In your opinion, to what extent can people in general be trusted? You may answer with the scale on the card.

SHOW CARD 23. Q.23 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 People in general cannot be trusted	18
1.	1	13
2.	2	23
3.	3	61
4.	4	54
5.	5	151
6.	6	77
7.	7	250
8.	8	244
9.	9	64
10.	10 People in general can be trusted	79
88.	DK/refusal	14
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.24A Party adherent

Many people consider themselves adherents of a specific party. But there are also many others who do not have any such attachments to any of the parties. Do you usually think of yourself as, for example, a folkpartist, socialdemokrat, moderat, centerpartist, vänsterpartist, miljöpartist, or kristdemokrat? Or do you have no such attachment to any of the parties?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, thinks of him/herself as an adherent of a specific party	931
3.	Does not know/hesitant	94
5.	No, does not think of him/herself as an adherent of a specific party	1273
8.	Does not want to answer	19
6.	NA	307

9. MD

1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.24B Party preference*Which party do you like best?*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	90
2.	Social Democrats	466
3.	Centre Party	56
4.	People's Party Liberals	71
5.	Moderate Party	154
6.	Christian Democrats	59
7.	Green Party	15
9.	Other party	6
88.	DK/refusal	14
0.	INAP	1386
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 931 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.24C Party identification*Some people are strongly convinced adherents of their party. Others are not so strongly convinced. Do you yourself belong to the strongly convinced adherents of your party?*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, strongly convinced	419
5.	No, not strongly convinced	479
6.	Other answer	13
7.	Does not want to answer	6
0.	INAP	1400
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-7
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 917 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 7
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.24D Closest party

Still, is there any party that you consider yourself closer to than any of the other parties? (Which party?)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	113
2.	Social Democrats	356
3.	Centre Party	66
4.	People's Party Liberals	153
5.	Moderate Party	123
6.	Christian Democrats	100
7.	Green Party	62
9.	Other party	9
55.	No party	349
88.	DK/refusal	55
0.	INAP	931
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1386 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.25 Second best party

Which party do you like second best?

IF POSSIBLE, ENTER ONLY ONE PARTY

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	293
2.	Social Democrats	217
3.	Centre Party	139
4.	People's Party Liberals	338
5.	Moderate Party	168
6.	Christian Democrats	178
7.	Green Party	134
9.	Other party	7
55.	No party	142
88.	DK/refusal	114
0.	INAP	361
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1730 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26AA Left-right scale: People's Party Liberals

The parties are sometimes thought of as being ordered from left to right according to their political outlook. On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to place the political parties on the scale.

We begin with the People's Party Liberals. Where on the scale would you place the People's Party Liberals?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	2
1.	1	1
2.	2	6
3.	3	21
4.	4	38
5.	5 Neither left nor right	207
6.	6	226
7.	7	284
8.	8	143
9.	9	19
10.	10 Far to the right	8
88.	DK/refusal	93
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26AB Left-right scale: Social Democrats

The parties are sometimes thought of as being ordered from left to right according to their political outlook. On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to place the political parties on the scale.

Where on the scale would you place the Social Democrats?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	35
1.	1	37
2.	2	135
3.	3	235
4.	4	231
5.	5 Neither left nor right	172
6.	6	64
7.	7	32
8.	8	18
9.	9	6
10.	10 Far to the right	4

88.	DK/refusal	79
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.26AC Left-right scale: Moderate Party

The parties are sometimes thought of as being ordered from left to right according to their political outlook. On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to place the political parties on the scale.

Where on the scale would you place the Moderate Party?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	1
1.	1	3
2.	2	8
3.	3	5
4.	4	7
5.	5 Neither left nor right	25
6.	6	32
7.	7	56
8.	8	219
9.	9	228
10.	10 Far to the right	379
88.	DK/refusal	85
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.26AD Left-right scale: Left Party

The parties are sometimes thought of as being ordered from left to right according to their political outlook. On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to place the political parties on the scale.

Where on the scale would you place the Left Party?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	325
1.	1	257

2.	2	204
3.	3	109
4.	4	27
5.	5 Neither left nor right	32
6.	6	5
7.	7	5
8.	8	2
9.	9	3
10.	10 Far to the right	3
88.	DK/refusal	76
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26AE Left-right scale: Centre Party

The parties are sometimes thought of as being ordered from left to right according to their political outlook. On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to place the political parties on the scale.

Where on the scale would you place the Centre Party?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	2
1.	1	1
2.	2	6
3.	3	27
4.	4	60
5.	5 Neither left nor right	340
6.	6	310
7.	7	142
8.	8	51
9.	9	9
10.	10 Far to the right	2
88.	DK/refusal	98
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26AF Left-right scale: Green Party

The parties are sometimes thought of as being ordered from left to right according to their political outlook. On

this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to place the political parties on the scale.

Where on the scale would you place the Green Party?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	21
1.	1	53
2.	2	111
3.	3	163
4.	4	182
5.	5 Neither left nor right	304
6.	6	55
7.	7	17
8.	8	6
9.	9	0
10.	10 Far to the right	3
88.	DK/refusal	133
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26AG Left-right scale: Christian Democrats

The parties are sometimes thought of as being ordered from left to right according to their political outlook. On this card there is a kind of scale. I would like you to place the political parties on the scale.

Where on the scale would you place the Christian Democrats?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	2
1.	1	3
2.	2	6
3.	3	13
4.	4	32
5.	5 Neither left nor right	106
6.	6	145
7.	7	238
8.	8	210
9.	9	109
10.	10 Far to the right	71
88.	DK/refusal	113

90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.26B Left-right scale: R's own position

Where on the scale would you place yourself?

EXPLAIN - IF NECESSARY - THAT THIS QUESTION CONCERNS R'S OWN POLITICAL OUTLOOK. SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	30
1.	1	27
2.	2	66
3.	3	125
4.	4	115
5.	5 Neither left nor right	255
6.	6	109
7.	7	132
8.	8	77
9.	9	23
10.	10 Far to the right	22
88.	DK/refusal	67
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.26C Left-right scale: Lars Leijonborg

We will use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just say so.

Where would you place Lars Leijonborg?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	0
1.	1	0
2.	2	6
3.	3	13

4.	4	35
5.	5 Neither left nor right	177
6.	6	217
7.	7	300
8.	8	113
9.	9	21
10.	10 Far to the right	18
88.	DK/refusal	148
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 2 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26C Left-right scale: Göran Persson

We will use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just say so.

Where would you place Göran Persson?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	35
1.	1	37
2.	2	105
3.	3	215
4.	4	208
5.	5 Neither left nor right	191
6.	6	85
7.	7	38
8.	8	27
9.	9	5
10.	10 Far to the right	10
88.	DK/refusal	92
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26C Left-right scale: Bo Lundgren

We will use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just say so.

Where would you place Bo Lundgren?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	2
1.	1	2
2.	2	5
3.	3	6
4.	4	12
5.	5 Neither left nor right	32
6.	6	32
7.	7	81
8.	8	202
9.	9	222
10.	10 Far to the right	326
88.	DK/refusal	126
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26C Left-right scale: Gudrun Schyman

We will use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just say so.

Where would you place Gudrun Schyman?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	284
1.	1	218
2.	2	203
3.	3	132
4.	4	61
5.	5 Neither left nor right	32
6.	6	11
7.	7	6
8.	8	3
9.	9	1
10.	10 Far to the right	2
88.	DK/refusal	95
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.26C Left-right scale: Maud Olofsson

We will use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just say so.

Where would you place Maud Olofsson?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	6
1.	1	2
2.	2	13
3.	3	21
4.	4	56
5.	5 Neither left nor right	289
6.	6	272
7.	7	164
8.	8	53
9.	9	11
10.	10 Far to the right	2
88.	DK/refusal	159
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.26C Left-right scale: Peter Eriksson

We will use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just say so.

Where would you place Peter Eriksson?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	14
1.	1	30
2.	2	68
3.	3	107
4.	4	110
5.	5 Neither left nor right	218

6.	6	41
7.	7	9
8.	8	5
9.	9	0
10.	10 Far to the right	0
88.	DK/refusal	446
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26C Left-right scale: Maria Wetterstrand

We will use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just say so.

Where would you place Maria Wetterstrand?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	16
1.	1	25
2.	2	65
3.	3	111
4.	4	116
5.	5 Neither left nor right	215
6.	6	41
7.	7	9
8.	8	4
9.	9	1
10.	10 Far to the right	1
88.	DK/refusal	444
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.26C Left-right scale: Alf Svensson

We will use the same scale for the party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know, or do not know enough about, just say so.

Where would you place Alf Svensson?

SHOW CARD 26. Q.26 ASKED AS Q.61 IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Far to the left	1
1.	1	4
2.	2	6
3.	3	19
4.	4	26
5.	5 Neither left nor right	97
6.	6	141
7.	7	239
8.	8	238
9.	9	112
10.	10 Far to the right	60
88.	DK/refusal	105
90.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.27AA EMU-opinion scale: Moderate Party

On the next scale we would like you to place the parties according to their positions on Swedish membership of the EMU. If you do not know the position of any of the parties, just say so.

We begin with the Moderate Party. Where on the scale would you place the Moderate Party?

SHOW CARD 27

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Negative to Swedish EMU membership	5
1.	1	4
2.	2	9
3.	3	9
4.	4	18
5.	5	41
6.	6	31
7.	7	64
8.	8	182
9.	9	178
10.	10 Positive to Swedish EMU membership	896
88.	DK/refusal	583
96.	NA	604
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2020 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.27AB EMU-opinion scale: Green Party

On the next scale we would like you to place the parties according to their positions on Swedish membership of the EMU. If you do not know the position of any of the parties, just say so.

Where on the scale would you place the Green Party?

SHOW CARD 27

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Negative to Swedish EMU membership	588
1.	1	159
2.	2	194
3.	3	129
4.	4	67
5.	5	85
6.	6	21
7.	7	12
8.	8	5
9.	9	0
10.	10 Positive to Swedish EMU membership	5
88.	DK/refusal	746
96.	NA	613
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2011 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.27AC EMU-opinion scale: Social Democrats

On the next scale we would like you to place the parties according to their positions on Swedish membership of the EMU. If you do not know the position of any of the parties, just say so.

Where on the scale would you place the Social Democrats?

SHOW CARD 27

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Negative to Swedish EMU membership	6
1.	1	4
2.	2	15
3.	3	27
4.	4	38
5.	5	241
6.	6	160
7.	7	270

8.	8	346
9.	9	119
10.	10 Positive to Swedish EMU membership	297
88.	DK/refusal	504
96.	NA	597
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2027 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.27AD EMU-opinion scale: People's Party Liberals

On the next scale we would like you to place the parties according to their positions on Swedish membership of the EMU. If you do not know the position of any of the parties, just say so.

Where on the scale would you place the People's Party Liberals?

SHOW CARD 27

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Negative to Swedish EMU membership	2
1.	1	2
2.	2	10
3.	3	14
4.	4	21
5.	5	104
6.	6	128
7.	7	243
8.	8	338
9.	9	174
10.	10 Positive to Swedish EMU membership	258
88.	DK/refusal	720
96.	NA	610
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2014 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.27AE EMU-opinion scale: Left Party

On the next scale we would like you to place the parties according to their positions on Swedish membership of the EMU. If you do not know the position of any of the parties, just say so.

Where on the scale would you place the Left Party?

SHOW CARD 27

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Negative to Swedish EMU membership	565
1.	1	229
2.	2	202
3.	3	128
4.	4	74
5.	5	66
6.	6	33
7.	7	21
8.	8	19
9.	9	12
10.	10 Positive to Swedish EMU membership	7
88.	DK/refusal	659
96.	NA	609
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2015 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.27AF EMU-opinion scale: Centre Party

On the next scale we would like you to place the parties according to their positions on Swedish membership of the EMU. If you do not know the position of any of the parties, just say so.

Where on the scale would you place the Centre Party?

SHOW CARD 27

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Negative to Swedish EMU membership	52
1.	1	25
2.	2	55
3.	3	95
4.	4	98
5.	5	332
6.	6	198
7.	7	174
8.	8	100
9.	9	27
10.	10 Positive to Swedish EMU membership	52
88.	DK/refusal	797
96.	NA	619
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2005 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.27AG EMU-opinion scale: Christian Democrats

On the next scale we would like you to place the parties according to their positions on Swedish membership of the EMU. If you do not know the position of any of the parties, just say so.

Where on the scale would you place the Christian Democrats?

SHOW CARD 27

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Negative to Swedish EMU membership	14
1.	1	16
2.	2	16
3.	3	28
4.	4	41
5.	5	153
6.	6	148
7.	7	237
8.	8	250
9.	9	113
10.	10 Positive to Swedish EMU membership	159
88.	DK/refusal	834
96.	NA	615
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2009 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.27B EMU-opinion scale: R's own position

Where on the scale would you place yourself?

EXPLAIN - IF NECESSARY - THAT THIS QUESTION CONCERNS R'S OWN VIEW ON THE EMU

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Negative to Swedish EMU membership	288
1.	1	96
2.	2	123
3.	3	111
4.	4	66
5.	5	311
6.	6	117
7.	7	165
8.	8	239
9.	9	97
10.	10 Positive to Swedish EMU membership	250

88.	DK/refusal	228
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.28A Voting intention in a referendum on Swedish EMU membership

Do you intend to vote if there is a referendum on Swedish EMU membership next year?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, intends to vote	2260
3.	Possibly, not sure	234
5.	No, does not intend to vote	101
8.	Does not want to answer	29
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.28B Vote in a referendum on Swedish EMU membership

Do you intend to vote yes to Swedish EMU membership, no to Swedish EMU membership or do you intend to vote blank?

SHOW CARD 28B

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Intends to vote yes to Swedish EMU membership	969
2.	Intends to vote no to Swedish EMU membership	667
3.	Intends to vote blank	30
4.	Not decided/DK	590
8.	Does not want to answer	4
0.	INAP	364
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2260 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.28C Possible alternative in a referendum on Swedish EMU membership

Are you leaning in any particular direction, are you leaning towards voting yes, leaning towards voting no or are you leaning towards voting blank?

SHOW CARD 28C

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Leans towards voting yes to Swedish EMU membership	257
2.	Leans towards voting no to Swedish EMU membership	112
3.	Leans towards voting blank	37
8.	DK/refusal	214
0.	INAP	2004
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 620 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.28A Alternative if voting in a referendum on Swedish EMU membership

If you were to vote, how would you vote?

SHOW CARD 28D

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Would vote yes to Swedish EMU membership	45
2.	Would vote no to Swedish EMU membership	58
3.	Would vote blank	49
4.	Not decided/DK	166
5.	Does not intend to vote in the referendum	31
8.	Does not want to answer	15
0.	INAP	2260
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 364 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.29A Good-bad: Accept new EU members as soon as possible

I will now read out a list of proposals that have appeared in the debate about the EU. What is your view on each of them?

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to accept countries such as Poland, the Czech Republic and Hungary as EU member nations as soon as possible?

SHOW CARD 29 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	187
2.	Fairly good proposal	563

3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	574
4.	Fairly bad proposal	352
5.	Very bad proposal	138
8.	DK/refusal	277
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.29B Good-bad: Participate in the EU defence co-operation

I will now read out a list of proposals that have appeared in the debate about the EU. What is your view on each of them?

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal that Sweden should participate fully in the EU defence co-operation?

SHOW CARD 29 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	243
2.	Fairly good proposal	580
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	398
4.	Fairly bad proposal	397
5.	Very bad proposal	253
8.	DK/refusal	220
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.29C Good-bad: Reduce the possibility to veto decisions by the Council of Ministers

I will now read out a list of proposals that have appeared in the debate about the EU. What is your view on each of them?

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to reduce the possibility for member nations to veto decisions by the Council of Ministers?

SHOW CARD 29 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	140
2.	Fairly good proposal	332
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	381

4.	Fairly bad proposal	488
5.	Very bad proposal	334
8.	DK/refusal	416
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.29D Good-bad: Stricter border controls between EU countries

I will now read out a list of proposals that have appeared in the debate about the EU. What is your view on each of them?

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to re-introduce stricter border controls between EU countries?

SHOW CARD 29 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	347
2.	Fairly good proposal	630
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	316
4.	Fairly bad proposal	473
5.	Very bad proposal	199
8.	DK/refusal	126
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.29E Good-bad: Reduce agricultural subsidies in the EU

I will now read out a list of proposals that have appeared in the debate about the EU. What is your view on each of them?

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to reduce the amount of agricultural subsidies in the EU?

SHOW CARD 29 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	321
2.	Fairly good proposal	503
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	412
4.	Fairly bad proposal	417

5.	Very bad proposal	168
8.	DK/refusal	270
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.29F Good-bad: Decriminalise use of hashish

I will now read out a list of proposals that have appeared in the debate about the EU. What is your view on each of them?

Using the answers on this card, what is your view on the proposal to decriminalise the use of hashish?

SHOW CARD 29 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good proposal	87
2.	Fairly good proposal	56
3.	Neither good nor bad proposal	87
4.	Fairly bad proposal	234
5.	Very bad proposal	1579
8.	DK/refusal	48
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.30A In favour of a Swedish EMU membership - traditional parliament parties

30A Vilket eller vilka partier är enligt Din uppfattning för ett svenskt medlemskap i EMU 30A(1) Partier för svenskt EMU-medlemskap - traditionella riksdagspartier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	1
11.	Left Party (L)	2
12.	Social Democrats (S)	145
13.	Centre Party (C)	2
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	3
15.	Moderate Party (M)	124
19.		1
20.	L-S	10
24.	S-C	3
25.	S-P	10
26.	S-M	197

28.	C-M	2
29.	P-M	151
30.	L-S-C	2
31.	L-S-P	2
32.	L-S-M	4
35.	L-P-M	1
36.	S-C-P	3
37.	S-C-M	18
38.	S-P-M	389
39.	C-P-M	53
40.	L-S-C-P	3
41.	L-S-C-M	3
43.	S-C-P-M	331
44.	L-S-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	23
88.	DK/refusal	600
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.30A In favour of a Swedish EMU membership - new and smaller parties

30A(2) Partier för svenskt EMU-medlemskap - nya och mindre partier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	747
61.	Green Party (G)	5
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	712
70.	CD-G	23
88.	DK/refusal	600
96.	NA	537
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2087 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.30B Against a Swedish EMU membership - traditional parliament parties

30B Vilket eller vilka partier är enligt Din uppfattning emot ett svenskt medlemskap i EMU 30B(1) Partier emot svenskt EMU-medlemskap - traditionella riksdagspartier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	107
11.	Left Party (L)	898

12.	Social Democrats (S)	10
13.	Centre Party (C)	31
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	4
15.	Moderate Party (M)	7
20.	L-S	19
21.	L-C	185
22.	L-P	4
23.	L-M	2
25.	S-P	1
27.	C-P	2
29.	P-M	1
30.	L-S-C	5
33.	L-C-P	2
34.	L-C-M	2
38.	S-P-M	1
39.	C-P-M	3
88.	DK/refusal	775
95.	No parties	16
96.	NA	549
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-95

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2075 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 95

Variable Format: numeric

Q.30B Against a Swedish EMU membership - new and smaller parties

30B(2) Partier emot svenskt EMU-medlemskap - nya och mindre partier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	266
61.	Green Party (G)	965
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	21
64.	Other nation-wide party	2
70.	CD-G	29
76.	G-Other party	1
88.	DK/refusal	775
95.	No parties	16
96.	NA	549
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-95

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2075 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 95

Variable Format: numeric

Q.31A View on the current economic situation in Sweden

What is your view on the current economic situation in Sweden? Would you say that the economic situation is very good, good, neither good nor bad, bad or very bad?

SHOW CARD 31A. QUESTIONS 31A-E ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good	22
2.	Good	398
3.	Neither good nor bad	362
4.	Bad	214
5.	Very bad	26
8.	DK/refusal	26
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.31B Changes in Sweden's economic situation last year

Would you say that the economic situation in Sweden has improved, remained the same or gone worse during the last 12 months?

SHOW CARD 31A. QUESTIONS 31A-E ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Improved	221
3.	Remained the same	373
5.	Gone worse	341
8.	DK/refusal	113
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.31C Changes in Sweden's economic situation coming year

How do you think the economic situation in Sweden will develop in the next 12 months? Will it improve, remain the same or will it go worse?

SHOW CARD 31A. QUESTIONS 31A-E ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Improve	332

3.	Remain the same	472
5.	Go worse	131
8.	DK/refusal	113
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.31D Changes in own economic situation last year

If you compare your own economic situation with what it was 12 months ago, has it improved, remained the same or has it gone worse?

SHOW CARD 31A. QUESTIONS 31A-E ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Improved	318
3.	Remained the same	490
5.	Gone worse	232
8.	DK/refusal	8
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.31E Changes in own economic situation coming year

Looking forward, how do you think your own economic situation will develop in the next 12 months? Will it improve, remain the same or will it go worse?

SHOW CARD 31A. QUESTIONS 31A-E ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A (PRE-ELECTION SURVEY)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Improve	359
3.	Remain the same	535
5.	Go worse	118
8.	DK/refusal	36
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.32A Party belonging - Karin Pilsäter

Here is a list with names of different persons. Could you tell me which party each of them belongs to?

I begin with Karin Pilsäter

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	16
2.	Social Democrats	19
3.	Center Party	42
4.	People's Party Liberals (correct party)	438
5.	Moderate Party	34
6.	Christian Democrats	3
7.	Green Party	79
9.	Other Party	2
88.	DK/refusal	1458
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.32B Party belonging - Bo Ringholm

Here is a list with names of different persons. Could you tell me which party each of them belongs to?

Bo Ringholm

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	3
2.	Social Democrats (correct party)	1638
3.	Center Party	9
4.	People's Party Liberals	20
5.	Moderate Party	74
6.	Christian Democrats	1
7.	Green Party	4
9.	Other Party	1
88.	DK/refusal	341
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.32C Party belonging - Lena Ek

Here is a list with names of different persons. Could you tell me which party each of them belongs to?

Lena Ek

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	12
2.	Social Democrats	25
3.	Center Party (correct party)	338
4.	People's Party Liberals	19
5.	Moderate Party	25
6.	Christian Democrats	13
7.	Green Party	33
9.	Other Party	4
88.	DK/refusal	1622
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.32D Party belonging - Gunilla Carlsson

Here is a list with names of different persons. Could you tell me which party each of them belongs to?

Gunilla Carlsson

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	9
2.	Social Democrats	25
3.	Center Party	25
4.	People's Party Liberals	16
5.	Moderate Party (correct party)	298
6.	Christian Democrats	10
7.	Green Party	5
9.	Other Party	6
88.	DK/refusal	1697
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.32E Party belonging - Åsa Domeij

Here is a list with names of different persons. Could you tell me which party each of them belongs to?

Åsa Domeij

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	6

2.	Social Democrats	20
3.	Center Party	8
4.	People's Party Liberals	3
5.	Moderate Party	8
6.	Christian Democrats	1
7.	Green Party (correct party)	1592
9.	Other Party	2
88.	DK/refusal	451
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.32F Party belonging - Johan Lönnroth

Here is a list with names of different persons. Could you tell me which party each of them belongs to?

Johan Lönnroth

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party (correct party)	730
2.	Social Democrats	54
3.	Center Party	12
4.	People's Party Liberals	36
5.	Moderate Party	30
6.	Christian Democrats	5
7.	Green Party	47
9.	Other Party	4
88.	DK/refusal	1172
96.	NA	534
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2090 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.32G Party belonging - Inger Davidsson

Here is a list with names of different persons. Could you tell me which party each of them belongs to?

Inger Davidsson

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	5
2.	Social Democrats	53
3.	Center Party	65
4.	People's Party Liberals	53
5.	Moderate Party	46

6.	Christian Democrats (correct party)	617
7.	Green Party	11
9.	Other Party	3
88.	DK/refusal	1238
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.32H Party belonging - Anna Lindh

Here is a list with names of different persons. Could you tell me which party each of them belongs to?

Anna Lindh

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	6
2.	Social Democrats (correct party)	1726
3.	Center Party	11
4.	People's Party Liberals	5
5.	Moderate Party	6
6.	Christian Democrats	1
7.	Green Party	13
9.	Other Party	2
88.	DK/refusal	321
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.33A Knowledge: Sickness benefit in relation to wage

On this card there are a number of statements. Could you say whether each of them is true or false. If you are not certain of the answer, you may say that you do not know whether the statement is true or false.

The sickness benefit from National Insurance is at the moment 90 per cent of the wage/salary from the first day of sick leave.

SHOW CARD 33. READ OUT ONE STATEMENT AT A TIME.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	True statement	164
5.	False statement (correct answer)	1689
8.	DK/refusal	238
6.	NA	533

9. MD 1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.33B Knowledge: Number of MPs

On this card there are a number of statements. Could you say whether each of them is true or false. If you are not certain of the answer, you may say that you do not know whether the statement is true or false.

The Swedish parliament has 349 members.

SHOW CARD 33. READ OUT ONE STATEMENT AT A TIME.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	True statement (correct answer)	1498
5.	False statement	203
8.	DK/refusal	390
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.33C Knowledge: Size of open unemployment

On this card there are a number of statements. Could you say whether each of them is true or false. If you are not certain of the answer, you may say that you do not know whether the statement is true or false.

Open unemployment in Sweden is less than 5 per cent.

SHOW CARD 33. READ OUT ONE STATEMENT AT A TIME.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	True statement (correct answer)	950
5.	False statement	928
8.	DK/refusal	213
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.33D Knowledge: Value of the Euro

On this card there are a number of statements. Could you say whether each of them is true or false. If you are not certain of the answer, you may say that you do not know whether the statement is true or false.

One Euro is at the moment worth more than ten Swedish kronor.

SHOW CARD 33. READ OUT ONE STATEMENT AT A TIME.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	True statement	303
5.	False statement (correct answer)	1616
8.	DK/refusal	172
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.33E Knowledge: Spain member of EU

On this card there are a number of statements. Could you say whether each of them is true or false. If you are not certain of the answer, you may say that you do not know whether the statement is true or false.

Spain is a member of the EU.

SHOW CARD 33. READ OUT ONE STATEMENT AT A TIME.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	True statement (correct answer)	1676
5.	False statement	171
8.	DK/refusal	244
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.33F Knowledge: Aid to developing countries in relation to GDI

On this card there are a number of statements. Could you say whether each of them is true or false. If you are not certain of the answer, you may say that you do not know whether the statement is true or false.

Swedish state aid to developing countries at the moment amounts to one per cent of the gross domestic income (GDI).

SHOW CARD 33. READ OUT ONE STATEMENT AT A TIME.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	True statement	637
5.	False statement (correct answer)	711

8.	DK/refusal	743
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.33G Knowledge: Number of people seeking asylum in Sweden 2001

On this card there are a number of statements. Could you say whether each of them is true or false. If you are not certain of the answer, you may say that you do not know whether the statement is true or false.

Last year just over 20,000 people applied for asylum in Sweden.

SHOW CARD 33. READ OUT ONE STATEMENT AT A TIME.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	True statement (correct answer)	871
5.	False statement	437
8.	DK/refusal	783
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.33H Knowledge: Type of government during the 1998-2002 election period

On this card there are a number of statements. Could you say whether each of them is true or false. If you are not certain of the answer, you may say that you do not know whether the statement is true or false.

During the 1998-2002 election period, Sweden had a single party Social Democratic government.

SHOW CARD 33. READ OUT ONE STATEMENT AT A TIME.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	True statement (correct answer)	993
5.	False statement	923
8.	DK/refusal	175
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.34A Intention to vote 2002

Do you intend to vote in this year's election?

NOT ASKED IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	959
3.	Maybe/DK	54
5.	No	33
8.	Does not want to answer	2
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34B Party R intend to vote on

(INTENDS TO VOTE) For which party do you intend to vote in the parliamnetary election? (HESITANT OR DOES NOT INTEND TO VOTE) If you were to vote, for which party would you then vote in the parliamentary election?

NOT ASKED IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	59
2.	Social Democrats	303
3.	Centre Party	56
4.	People's Party Liberals	50
5.	Moderate Party	101
6.	Christian Democrats	73
7.	Green Party	26
9.	Other party	11
10.	Blank	23
88.	Not decided/DK	346
0.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34FA Vote at a polling station or in a post office

How do you intend to vote in this year's election? Do you intend to go and vote at a polling station on 15th September, do you intend to vote in a post office or have you already voted in a post office?

SHOW CARD 34FA

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Intends to vote at a polling station on election day	683
2.	Intends to vote in post office	241
3.	Has already voted in post office	28
4.	Has already voted at care institution	1
5.	Does not intend to vote	33
8.	DK/not decided	62
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34A Voted in the 2002 election

Did you vote in this year's election?

NOT ASKED IN STAGE B

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	1400
2.	Yes, but not in all elections	2
5.	No, did not vote in any of the elections	171
8.	Refusal	3
0.	INAP	1048
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1576 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34B/MQ.1A Party voted for in the 2002 parliamentary election

As you know we had several elections at the same time this year. For which party did you vote in the parliamentary election?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	191
2.	Social Democrats	871
3.	Centre Party	128
4.	People's Party Liberals	355
5.	Moderate Party	304
6.	Christian Democrats	200

7.	Green Party	142
8.	Other party	32
10.	Blank	51
55.	Did not vote in parliamentary election	241
88.	Does not want to answer	75
98.	MD questionnaire	34
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9899

Summary Statistics: Valid 2590 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34C/MQ.2A Party voted for in the 2002 local government election

For which party did you vote in the local election?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	179
2.	Social Democrats	810
3.	Centre Party	191
4.	People's Party Liberals	286
5.	Moderate Party	354
6.	Christian Democrats	138
7.	Green Party	108
8.	Other party	91
10.	Blank	64
55.	Did not vote in local election	290
88.	Does not want to answer	79
98.	MD questionnaire	34
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9899

Summary Statistics: Valid 2590 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34D/MQ.3A Party voted for in the 2002 county council election

For which party did you vote in the regional election?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	181
2.	Social Democrats	798
3.	Centre Party	153
4.	People's Party Liberals	291
5.	Moderate Party	328
6.	Christian Democrats	164
7.	Green Party	100
8.	Other party	88

10.	Blank	73
55.	Did not vote in regional election	319
88.	Does not want to answer	83
0.	INAP	12
98.	MD questionnaire	34
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 98-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.34E/MQ.5 Time of party choice

For which party did you vote in the regional election?

QUESTION NOT ASKED IF R LIVES ON GOTLAND

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Last week before the election	802
2.	Earlier in autumn and summer	522
3.	R knew all along which party to vote for	1006
5.	DK/refusal	17
0.	INAP	243
8.	MD questionnaire	34
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2347 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.34F Postal vote in 2002

Did you vote in a post office in this year's election?

Q.34F NOT ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	368
5.	No	1034
8.	DK/refusal	3
0.	INAP	1219
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1405 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.34G Time of postal vote 2002

Did you vote in a post office during the last week before the election or did you vote in a post office earlier during the election campaign?

Q.34G NOT ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Voted in post office last week before election	206
2.	Voted in post office earlier during campaign	161
8.	DK/refusal	4
0.	INAP	2253
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 371 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34H Party if voted in parliamentary election

For which party would you have voted, if you had voted in the parliamentary election? (ADDED QUESTION IF R IS IN DOUBT) For which party are you most likely to have voted in the parliamentary election?

Q.34H NOT ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	10
2.	Social Democrats	54
3.	Centre Party	7
4.	People's Party Liberals	13
5.	Moderate Party	18
6.	Christian Democrats	6
7.	Green Party	4
9.	Other party	3
10.	Blank	15
88.	Does not want to answer	42
0.	INAP	2452
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 099

Summary Statistics: Valid 172 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34I Important reason for voting in the parliament election 1

34I (RÖSTADE RIKSDAGSVALET) Vilka var de viktigaste skälen till att Du deltog och röstade i riksdagsvalet?

34I(1) Viktigt skäl rösta i riksdagsvalet 1

Q.34I NOT ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Duty, citizens in a democracy should vote	561
2.	Proud to vote, happy to live in a country were you are entitled to vote	19
10.	Right	146
11.		1
20.	Custom, tradition	41
30.	Election is a feast	2
40.	Inflytande, vara med och påverka, allmänt	139
41.	Delaktig i demokratin, får deltaga och säga sin mening	105
42.	Bidra till förändring	22
43.	Valets utgång betydelsefull, vari ups röst betydelsefull	3
44.	Påverka regeringsbildningen, regeringsfrågan	80
45.	Påverka politiken, allmänt	31
46.	Påverka vem som blir vald	6
47.	Sakfrågegenererat röstande, allmänt	64
48.	Sakfrågegenererat röstande, nämner EU/EMU	1
50.	Taktik, allmänt	17
51.	Minska risken att små riksdagspartier faller ur riksdagen	1
52.	Minska risken att extrempartier blir representerade i riksdagen	5
60.	Intresse, politiskt intresserad allmänt	2
80.	Partireferenser: Politik, allmänt	21
81.	Partireferenser: Ideologi	4
82.	Partireferenser: Politik i enskilda sakfrågor	1
90.	Personreferenser: Partiledarreferenser	5
100.	Gruppreferenser: Sociala gruppreferenser, allmänt	1
101.	Group reference: Class, occupation	4
102.	Group reference: Age	5
104.	Group reference: Region/sparsely-populated areas	1
110.	Influenced by those around, generally	3
111.	Influenced by friends and acquaintances	1
112.	Influenced by family	5
449.	Up säger att han/hon röstar men anger inga tydliga skäl för	23
500.	Other reason	14
777.	Felkod, anger skäl till att ej röstat	23
888.	DK/refusal	45
0.	INAP	1218
996.	NA	4
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1402 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34I Important reason for voting in the parliament election 2*34I(2) Viktigt skäl rösta i riksdagsvalet 2*

Q.34I NOT ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Skyldighet, plikt, samhällsmedborgare i en demokrati bör rös	83
2.	Stolt att få rösta, glad att leva i ett land där man får rös	26
10.	Rättighet	74
20.	Vana, tradition	3
30.	Valet är en högtid	1
40.	Inflytande, vara med och påverka, allmänt	58
41.	Delaktig i demokratin, får deltaga och säga sin mening	49
42.	Bidra till förändring	6
43.	Valets utgång betydelsefull, vari ups röst betydelsefull	1
44.	Påverka regeringsbildningen, regeringsfrågan	7
45.	Påverka politiken, allmänt	19
47.	Sakfrågegenererat röstande, allmänt	33
48.	Sakfrågegenererat röstande, nämner EU/EMU	1
50.	Taktik, allmänt	2
51.	Minska risken att små riksdagspartier faller ur riksdagen	2
52.	Minska risken att extrempartier blir representerade i riksda	3
80.	Partireferenser: Politik, allmänt	9
82.	Partireferenser: Politik i enskilda sakfrågor	1
90.	Personreferenser: Partiledarreferenser	1
101.	Gruppreferenser: Klass, yrke	1
102.	Gruppreferenser: Ålder	2
103.	Gruppreferenser: Kön	3
110.	Påverkan av omgivningen, allmänt	1
112.	Påverkan av familjen	1
449.	Up säger att han/hon röstar men anger inga tydliga skäl för	3
777.	Felkod, anger skäl till att ej röstat	23
888.	DK/refusal	45
0.	INAP	2162
996.	NA	4
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 458 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34I Important reason for voting in the parliament election 3*34I(3) Viktigt skäl rösta i riksdagsvalet 3*

Q.34I NOT ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Skyldighet, plikt, samhällsmedborgare i en demokrati bör rösa	6
2.	Stolt att få rösta, glad att leva i ett land där man får rösa	6
40.	Inflytande, vara med och påverka, allmänt	3
41.	Delaktig i demokratin, får deltaga och säga sin mening	3
42.	Bidra till förändring	1
44.	Påverka regeringsbildningen, regeringsfrågan	4
47.	Sakfrågegenererat röstande, allmänt	18
48.	Sakfrågegenererat röstande, nämner EU/EMU	1
60.	Intresse, politiskt intresserad allmänt	1
80.	Partireferenser: Politik, allmänt	2
102.	Gruppreferenser: Ålder	1
103.	Gruppreferenser: Kön	1
500.	Övriga skäl	1
777.	Felkod, anger skäl till att ej röstat	23
888.	DK/refusal	45
0.	INAP	2504
996.	NA	4
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 116 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JA Reason not voting/voting blank: Not interested in politics

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?
(VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

Not interested in politics?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	34
2.	A fairly important reason	41
3.	Not a particularly important reason	36
4.	Not at all an important reason	80
8.	DK/refusal	8
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JB Reason not voting/voting blank: Not enough knowledge

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?
 (VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

Not enough knowledge of what the parliamentary election was about?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	27
2.	A fairly important reason	41
3.	Not a particularly important reason	33
4.	Not at all an important reason	91
8.	DK/refusal	7
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JC Reason not voting/voting blank: No trust in politicians

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?
 (VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

No trust in politicians?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	49
2.	A fairly important reason	60
3.	Not a particularly important reason	31
4.	Not at all an important reason	52
8.	DK/refusal	7
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JD Reason not voting/voting blank: Small differences between the parties

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?
 (VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

Small difference between the parties?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	23
2.	A fairly important reason	50
3.	Not a particularly important reason	38
4.	Not at all an important reason	77
8.	DK/refusal	11
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JE Reason not voting/voting blank: No party representing R's views

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?
 (VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

No party represents my views?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	29
2.	A fairly important reason	41
3.	Not a particularly important reason	36
4.	Not at all an important reason	77
8.	DK/refusal	16
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JF Reason not voting/voting blank: Not in agreement on key issues

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

(VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

Not in agreement on key issues with the party I otherwise like best?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	13
2.	A fairly important reason	32
3.	Not a particularly important reason	36
4.	Not at all an important reason	100
8.	DK/refusal	18
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JG Reason not voting/voting blank: Makes no difference

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?
(VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

My vote makes no difference?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	15
2.	A fairly important reason	24
3.	Not a particularly important reason	32
4.	Not at all an important reason	118
8.	DK/refusal	10
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JH Reason not voting/voting blank: Obvious who would be in government

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?
(VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

It was already obvious who would be in government?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	10
2.	A fairly important reason	20
3.	Not a particularly important reason	23
4.	Not at all an important reason	124
8.	DK/refusal	22
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.34JI Reason not voting/voting blank: Busy/away/ill/accident

(DID NOT VOTE) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?
(VOTED BLANK) How important were the following reasons for you not voting in the parliamentary election?

Busy/away/ill/accident?

FIRST QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO DID NOT VOTE IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. SECOND QUESTION ASKED TO R WHO VOTED BLANK IN PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION. (SEE Q. 34A-B). SHOW CARD 34J AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	61
2.	A fairly important reason	13
3.	Not a particularly important reason	4
4.	Not at all an important reason	116
8.	DK/refusal	5
0.	INAP	2425
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 199 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.35A Reason for choice of party: Favourable to R's occupational group

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that the party's policies are usually advantageous to my occupational group?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	220
2.	Fairly important reason	498
3.	Not very important reason	432
4.	Not at all an important reason	391
5.	DK/refusal	37
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Q.35B Reason for choice of party: Good party leader

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that the party has a good leader?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	375
2.	Fairly important reason	727
3.	Not very important reason	317
4.	Not at all an important reason	136
5.	DK/refusal	23
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Q.35C Reason for choice of party: Supporter of the party

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that I feel like an adherent of the party?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	215
2.	Fairly important reason	415
3.	Not very important reason	563
4.	Not at all an important reason	360
5.	DK/refusal	25
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Q.35D Reason for choice of party: Good policy in many issues in recent political debate

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that the party has good policies on many of the issues recently given attention in the public debate?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	521
2.	Fairly important reason	865
3.	Not very important reason	118
4.	Not at all an important reason	31
5.	DK/refusal	43
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Q.35E Reason for choice of party: Competent persons

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason it is that the party has competent people who can run the country?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	452
2.	Fairly important reason	783
3.	Not very important reason	179
4.	Not at all an important reason	52
5.	DK/refusal	112
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Q.35F Reason for choice of party: Good political ideology

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that the party has a good political ideology?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	676
2.	Fairly important reason	729
3.	Not very important reason	85
4.	Not at all an important reason	27
5.	DK/refusal	61
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Q.35G Reason for choice of party: Always vote for the party

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that I usually always vote for the party?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	252
2.	Fairly important reason	273
3.	Not very important reason	381

4.	Not at all an important reason	642
5.	DK/refusal	30
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.35H Reason for choice of party: Good candidates for the parliament

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that the party has good parliamentary candidates on the ballot paper in my constituency?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	132
2.	Fairly important reason	416
3.	Not very important reason	408
4.	Not at all an important reason	360
5.	DK/refusal	262
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.35I Reason for choice of party: Good policy in important issues

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that the party has good policies on issues I think are important?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	787
2.	Fairly important reason	687
3.	Not very important reason	47
4.	Not at all an important reason	26

5.	DK/refusal	31
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.35J Reason for choice of party: Good job in politics in recent years

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that the party has done a good job in politics in recent years?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	372
2.	Fairly important reason	857
3.	Not very important reason	212
4.	Not at all an important reason	76
5.	DK/refusal	61
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.35K Reason for choice of party: Good programme for the future

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that the party has a good programme for the future?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	503
2.	Fairly important reason	883
3.	Not very important reason	78
4.	Not at all an important reason	33
5.	DK/refusal	81

0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.35L Reason for choice of party: Big party with better chance to implement its politics

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that it is a big party, and therefore has a better chance than a smaller party to implement its policies?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	212
2.	Fairly important reason	498
3.	Not very important reason	383
4.	Not at all an important reason	439
5.	DK/refusal	46
0.	INAP	510
6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.35M Reason for choice of party: In danger of not reaching the four percent threshold

You say you are going to vote for (...PARTY, SEE Q.34B) in this year's parliamentary election. How important are the following reasons for your choice of party?

Using one of the answers on the card, how important a reason is it that it is a small party, and is in danger of not reaching the four percent threshold to parliamentary representation?

SHOW CARD 35 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. READ OUT ONE REASON AT A TIME

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	One of the absolutely most important reasons	92
2.	Fairly important reason	125
3.	Not very important reason	215
4.	Not at all an important reason	1067
5.	DK/refusal	79
0.	INAP	510

6.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.36A Social Democrats' proportion of the votes

How many per cent of the votes do you think the Social Democrats will get in this year's parliamentary election??

Q.36A-C ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
2.	2 percent of the votes	1
3.		2
5.		1
13.		1
20.		4
21.		1
25.		3
26.		1
27.		3
28.		3
30.		34
31.		5
32.		16
33.		6
34.		18
35.		75
36.		18
37.		48
38.		91
39.		43
40.		151
41.		28
42.		65
43.		45
44.		17
45.		55
46.		7
47.		17
48.		29
49.		10
50.		30
51.		10
52.		19

53.		5
54.		5
55.		6
56.		1
57.		4
58.		2
60.		10
65.		2
70.		2
72.		1
73.		1
75.		4
80.		2
85.	85 percent of the votes	1
88.	DK/refusal	145
0.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 2-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 2 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.36B Moderate Party's proportion of the votes

And how many per cent of the votes do you think the Moderate Party will get in this year's parliamentary election?

Q.36A-C ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	1 percent of the votes	1
2.		1
3.		2
5.		3
7.		1
8.		6
9.		1
10.		16
11.		3
12.		8
13.		2
14.		5
15.		29
16.		8
17.		22
18.		55
19.		49

20.		179
21.		55
22.		65
23.		41
24.		18
25.		68
26.		11
27.		26
28.		26
29.		7
30.		59
31.		4
32.		12
33.		1
34.		4
35.		21
36.		3
37.		4
38.		11
39.		3
40.		13
41.		1
42.		5
43.		1
45.		3
46.		1
48.		1
50.		3
51.		2
60.		3
64.		1
65.		3
70.	70 percent of the votes	2
88.	DK/refusal	179
0.	INAP	1576
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 099

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.36C Green Party at least 4 percent in the election

And how do you think the Green Party, the Centre Party and the People's Party Liberals will do?

Do you think that the Green Party will get at least 4 per cent in the election and thus be represented in the new parliament?

Q.36A-C ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	583
5.	No	399
8.	DK/refusal	66
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.36C Centre Party at least 4 percent in the election

And how do you think the Green Party, the Centre Party and the People's Party Liberals will do?

Do you think that the Centre Party will get at least 4 per cent in the election and thus be represented in the new parliament?

Q.36A-C ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	879
5.	No	92
8.	DK/refusal	77
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.36C People's Party at least 4 percent in the election

And how do you think the Green Party, the Centre Party and the People's Party Liberals will do?

Do you think that the People's Party Liberals will get at least 4 per cent in the election and thus be represented in the new parliament?

Q.36A-C ONLY ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	932
5.	No	48
8.	DK/refusal	68
0.	INAP	1576
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1048 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.37 Knowledge of parliament candidates in R's constituency

Do you know the name of one or more of the persons appearing on the party lists in your parliamentary constituency?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	735
5.	No	1172
8.	DK/refusal	184
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 1 - name

To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 1 - name

ENTER THE FIRST NAME MENTIONED BY R AND ASK THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION: DO NOT FORGET TO ENTER THE PARTY AND GENDER FOR THE CANDIDATES MENTIONED BY R.

Variable Text: Parliament candidate 1 - name

Value	Label	Frequency
10056.		1
10062.		1
10086.		1
10090.		1
10110.		1
10114.		1
10142.		1
10158.		1
10174.		2
10196.		1
10220.		1
10228.		1
10258.		1
10272.		1
10292.		2
10344.		1
10362.		1
10370.		1
10388.		3

10408.	1
10430.	1
10442.	1
10450.	1
10466.	2
10496.	1
10516.	7
10534.	1
10548.	1
10566.	1
10590.	2
10614.	1
10618.	1
20000.	3
20012.	1
20016.	1
20048.	1
20052.	1
20072.	2
20088.	11
20096.	1
20104.	2
20124.	1
20136.	3
20148.	1
20152.	2
20164.	2
20168.	1
20172.	1
20176.	1
20192.	3
20196.	1
20200.	2
20204.	2
20212.	1
20224.	1
20228.	1
20244.	1
20256.	1
20260.	6
20264.	4
20272.	2
20292.	3
20304.	1
20308.	5
20332.	1
20336.	3
20340.	1

20344.	3
20348.	1
20352.	1
20360.	3
20364.	2
20384.	7
20388.	1
20392.	2
20396.	1
20404.	1
20408.	2
20412.	1
20424.	3
20436.	2
20444.	2
20448.	2
20456.	1
20464.	2
20472.	1
20492.	3
20504.	17
20524.	1
20528.	7
20536.	1
20540.	1
20544.	4
20552.	3
20572.	3
20576.	1
20584.	1
20588.	4
20596.	1
20600.	2
20612.	2
20616.	2
20632.	3
20644.	1
20652.	1
20660.	2
20664.	2
20668.	2
20680.	1
20696.	1
20700.	2
20704.	5
30000.	1
30020.	1
30060.	1

30072.	2
30084.	1
30108.	1
30140.	6
30148.	1
30156.	1
30172.	1
30640.	1
30644.	1
30668.	2
30676.	1
30720.	1
30748.	2
30752.	1
30760.	1
30764.	1
30768.	1
30780.	1
30832.	2
30840.	1
30868.	1
30876.	1
30908.	2
30932.	5
30944.	1
31004.	2
31020.	1
31044.	1
31060.	1
31068.	2
31076.	2
40024.	1
40072.	3
40080.	1
40088.	4
40128.	2
40160.	2
40176.	2
40192.	2
40208.	2
40216.	1
40240.	3
40256.	1
40320.	1
40360.	2
40376.	1
40384.	4
40408.	3

40416.	1
40424.	5
40440.	1
40464.	1
40488.	1
40520.	1
40536.	2
40552.	2
40584.	1
40608.	1
40632.	3
40656.	2
40672.	2
50008.	1
50016.	1
50032.	1
50040.	2
50064.	2
50072.	5
50080.	4
50088.	1
50096.	2
50112.	3
50120.	2
50136.	2
50160.	1
50176.	3
50184.	1
50208.	1
50216.	2
50224.	1
50232.	2
50240.	1
50256.	1
50264.	1
50272.	1
50280.	2
50288.	1
50304.	3
50320.	4
50328.	1
50352.	1
50360.	4
50368.	2
50376.	7
50384.	1
50400.	1
50408.	1

50416.	3
50464.	2
50472.	5
50488.	2
50496.	3
50504.	3
50520.	2
50528.	4
50536.	3
50544.	2
50560.	2
50568.	3
50576.	1
50584.	1
50592.	1
51624.	3
54336.	2
60016.	1
60112.	2
60144.	1
60160.	1
60168.	1
60184.	1
60200.	3
60216.	2
60224.	1
60232.	1
60272.	1
60280.	1
60320.	1
60344.	2
60400.	2
60432.	1
60456.	2
60488.	1
60496.	1
60512.	1
60544.	2
60592.	16
60600.	2
60656.	2
60664.	1
70000.	1
70080.	1
70096.	2
70112.	3
70128.	2
70176.	1

70208.		1
70224.		1
70240.		1
70256.		1
70352.		2
70368.		1
70384.		1
70400.		1
70432.		2
90000.		16
90016.		13
90032.		12
90048.		18
90064.		12
90080.		6
90096.		4
90112.		2
90128.		1
90160.		1
90176.		2
90192.		7
90208.		14
90224.		16
90240.		16
90256.		10
90272.		1
90400.		3
90800.		1
91056.		2
91072.		2
91648.		1
92432.		1
95392.		2
98992.		1
99984.		51
0.	INAP	1356
99996.	NA	533
99999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 10056-99984

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 735 ; Min. 10056 ; Max. 99984 ; Mean 51878.327 ; StDev 30015.943

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 1 - party

Parliament candidate 1 - party

ENTER THE FIRST NAME MENTIONED BY R AND ASK THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION: DO NOT FORGET

TO ENTER THE PARTY AND GENDER FOR THE CANDIDATES MENTIONED BY R.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	53
2.	Social Democrats	286
3.	Centre Party	71
4.	People's Party Liberals	85
5.	Moderate Party	144
6.	Christian Democrats	56
7.	Green party	24
9.	Other party	9
88.	DK	7
0.	INAP	1356
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 735 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 1 - gender

Parliament candidate 1 - gender

ENTER THE FIRST NAME MENTIONED BY R AND ASK THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION: DO NOT FORGET TO ENTER THE PARTY AND GENDER FOR THE CANDIDATES MENTIONED BY R.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Male	483
2.	Female	245
0.	INAP	1356
8.	NA	540
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 728 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 1 - correct/incorrect name

Parliament candidate 1 - correct/incorrect name

ENTER THE FIRST NAME MENTIONED BY R AND ASK THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION: DO NOT FORGET TO ENTER THE PARTY AND GENDER FOR THE CANDIDATES MENTIONED BY R.

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

1.	Correct name	444
3.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in R's constituency, but on ballot in other constituency	69
5.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in any constituency	184
6.	DK	37
0.	INAP	1356
8.	NA	534
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 734 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 2 - name

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 2 - name

ENTER THE SECOND NAME MENTIONED BY R AND ASK THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION: DO NOT FORGET TO ENTER THE PARTY AND GENDER FOR THE CANDIDATES MENTIONED BY R.

Value	Label	Frequency
9001.		365
10036.		1
10204.		1
10218.		1
10254.		1
10376.		1
10452.		1
10456.		1
10460.		1
10466.		1
10516.		5
10566.		1
10590.		2
10618.		1
10634.		1
20004.		1
20016.		1
20036.		1
20048.		2
20060.		1
20072.		2
20088.		3
20096.		1
20140.		1
20152.		2
20192.		2

20200.	4
20204.	2
20244.	1
20252.	1
20260.	5
20284.	1
20292.	1
20308.	1
20320.	1
20336.	1
20340.	2
20344.	1
20348.	1
20380.	1
20384.	1
20388.	1
20392.	6
20396.	1
20424.	1
20444.	2
20452.	1
20460.	1
20472.	1
20504.	7
20528.	4
20536.	4
20544.	2
20588.	1
20600.	1
20612.	4
20624.	2
20664.	4
20668.	1
20680.	2
20700.	2
20704.	1
30072.	2
30120.	1
30152.	1
30172.	1
30668.	2
30684.	1
30740.	1
30756.	2
30768.	1
30780.	1
30800.	1
30932.	3

30944.	2
30952.	1
30984.	1
30988.	1
31000.	2
31032.	1
31048.	1
31060.	1
31076.	2
31104.	1
40008.	2
40032.	1
40040.	2
40072.	1
40192.	1
40240.	1
40272.	1
40360.	1
40368.	1
40384.	3
40408.	1
40416.	1
40424.	2
40464.	1
40496.	1
40520.	3
40592.	1
40600.	1
40656.	1
40672.	4
50008.	1
50016.	1
50040.	1
50048.	1
50056.	1
50064.	1
50072.	2
50080.	2
50136.	1
50152.	1
50224.	1
50240.	2
50256.	2
50272.	1
50280.	3
50288.	1
50312.	1
50360.	1

50376.	3
50400.	1
50408.	3
50448.	1
50456.	1
50464.	3
50472.	1
50488.	3
50520.	1
50536.	7
50544.	2
50552.	1
50560.	1
50568.	2
53432.	1
60032.	1
60056.	1
60088.	1
60192.	1
60256.	1
60320.	1
60360.	1
60424.	1
60488.	2
60552.	1
60560.	1
60592.	4
60616.	1
60656.	1
60672.	1
70096.	1
70112.	1
70128.	1
70192.	1
70288.	1
70368.	1
70384.	1
70432.	2
90032.	1
90064.	3
90080.	13
90096.	11
90128.	1
90144.	2
90160.	2
90176.	13
90192.	5
90208.	2

90256.		7
90272.		16
90288.		13
90400.		4
91072.		1
92416.		1
95392.		1
99984.		22
0.	INAP	1356
99996.	NA	533
99999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 9001-99984

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 735 ; Min. 9001 ; Max. 99984 ; Mean 31119.212 ; StDev 30673.848

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 2 - party

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 2 - party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	29
2.	Social Democrats	141
3.	Centre Party	41
4.	People's Party Liberals	37
5.	Moderate Party	69
6.	Christian Democrats	23
7.	Green party	12
9.	Other party	2
88.	DK	5
0.	INAP	1732
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 359 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 2 - gender

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 2 - gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Male	212
2.	Female	154
0.	INAP	1722

8.	NA	536
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 366 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 2 - correct/incorrect name

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 2 - correct/incorrect name

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Correct name	219
3.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in R's constituency, but on ballot in other constituency	34
5.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in any constituency	96
6.	DK	20
0.	INAP	1722
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 369 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 3 - name

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 3 - name

Value	Label	Frequency
9001.		573
10000.		1
10056.		1
10142.		1
10350.		1
10358.		1
10388.		1
10408.		1
10460.		1
10466.		1
20016.		1
20048.		2
20072.		2
20088.		2
20152.		1
20176.		1

20192.	1
20200.	1
20212.	1
20244.	1
20260.	1
20284.	1
20292.	1
20308.	2
20336.	1
20364.	1
20392.	1
20464.	1
20492.	1
20504.	1
20544.	2
20588.	4
20624.	2
20632.	1
20660.	1
20668.	1
20672.	1
20704.	1
30020.	1
30072.	1
30140.	1
30172.	1
30644.	1
30676.	1
30768.	1
30932.	2
30980.	1
31060.	1
40072.	1
40104.	1
40328.	1
40360.	2
40408.	1
40448.	1
40488.	1
40616.	1
40656.	1
40688.	1
50056.	1
50064.	2
50080.	2
50112.	1
50152.	2
50176.	2

50216.		2
50320.		2
50376.		1
50456.		1
50488.		1
50560.		2
54336.		1
60112.		2
60200.		1
60224.		1
60288.		1
60344.		1
60416.		1
60592.		2
60664.		1
70304.		1
80048.		1
90080.		1
90096.		8
90112.		4
90128.		4
90160.		4
90176.		2
90288.		6
90304.		16
90320.		4
92432.		1
99984.		12
0.	INAP	1356
99996.	NA	533
99999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 9001-99984

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 735 ; Min. 9001 ; Max. 99984 ; Mean 19402.08 ; StDev 24492.714

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 3 - party

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 3 - party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	14
2.	Social Democrats	65
3.	Centre Party	14
4.	People's Party Liberals	20
5.	Moderate Party	26
6.	Christian Democrats	9

7.	Green party	6
9.	Other party	1
88.	DK	1
0.	INAP	1935
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 156 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 3 - gender

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 3 - gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Male	102
2.	Female	59
0.	INAP	1929
8.	NA	534
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 161 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 3 - correct/incorrect name

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 3 - correct/incorrect name

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Correct name	87
3.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in R's constituency, but on ballot in other constituency	10
5.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in any constituency	53
6.	DK	10
0.	INAP	1929
8.	NA	535
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 160 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 4 - name

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 4 - name

Value	Label	Frequency
9001.		662
10210.		1
10388.		1
10516.		2
20016.		1
20060.		1
20072.		1
20192.		1
20196.		1
20252.		1
20264.		1
20336.		1
20352.		1
20384.		1
20452.		1
20504.		2
20528.		1
20532.		1
20536.		1
20624.		1
30108.		1
30660.		1
30668.		1
30796.		1
30856.		1
30980.		1
40208.		1
40520.		1
40696.		1
50040.		1
50104.		1
50120.		1
50152.		1
50368.		1
50376.		1
50528.		1
50544.		1
53128.		1
60032.		1
60088.		1
60112.		1
60168.		1
60344.		1
60400.		1

60656.		1
70080.		1
70128.		1
90112.		9
90144.		1
90320.		10
91648.		1
92432.		1
97392.		1
99984.		2
0.	INAP	1356
99996.	NA	533
99999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 9001-99984

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 735 ; Min. 9001 ; Max. 99984 ; Mean 13543.875 ; StDev 16684.974

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 4 - party

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 4 - party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	6
2.	Social Democrats	26
3.	Centre Party	9
4.	People's Party Liberals	7
5.	Moderate Party	15
6.	Christian Democrats	7
7.	Green party	2
9.	Other party	1
88.	DK	0
0.	INAP	2018
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 73 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 9

Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 4 - gender

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 4 - gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Male	44

2.	Female	28
0.	INAP	2019
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 72 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.37B Parliament candidate 4 - correct/incorrect name

Do you remember the name of any other person? To which party does he/she belong?

Parliament candidate 4 - correct/incorrect name

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Correct name	40
3.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in R's constituency, but on ballot in other constituency	9
5.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in any constituency	23
6.	DK	1
0.	INAP	2018
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 73 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election

38 I årets val är/var det möjligt att personrösta genom att sätta ett kryss framför en av kandidaterna på valsedeln. Tror Du att Du kommer personrösta/personröstade Du i riksdagsvalet? (OM JA) Vilken kandidat tror Du att Du i så fall kommer att kryssa för i riksdagsvalet?/Kommer Du ihåg namnet på den kandidat Du personröstade på i riksdagsvalet? NOTERA HELA NAMNET, BÅDE FÖR- OCH EFTERNAMN. NOTERA ÄVEN KANDIDATENS PARTITILLHÖRIGHET (ANVÄND PARTIFÖRKORTNINGARNA) OCH KÖN (M/K)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	521
3.	Yes, possibly	115
5.	No	1699
7.	Did not vote, pre-election interview	199
8.	DK/refusal	90
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election - name*38(1) Personrösta - Riksdagskandidat: Namn*

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	INAP	1789
10030.		1
10056.		1
10066.		1
10090.		1
10110.		1
10114.		1
10142.		1
10272.		1
10370.		1
10388.		1
10466.		1
10516.		10
10534.		1
10590.		1
20028.		1
20060.		1
20088.		3
20104.		1
20124.		1
20136.		1
20168.		1
20172.		1
20176.		1
20180.		1
20212.		1
20260.		2
20288.		1
20292.		1
20308.		2
20332.		1
20336.		1
20344.		1
20360.		3
20384.		8
20392.		1
20412.		2
20424.		2
20444.		1
20448.		1
20456.		1
20504.		9
20508.		1

20516.	1
20528.	1
20536.	2
20540.	2
20544.	3
20588.	4
20612.	1
20652.	1
20660.	3
20664.	2
20668.	1
20704.	2
30072.	2
30084.	1
30108.	1
30116.	1
30140.	1
30172.	2
30624.	1
30736.	1
30780.	1
30796.	1
30832.	2
30908.	1
30932.	5
30988.	1
31000.	1
31004.	1
31076.	2
31112.	1
40072.	1
40080.	1
40088.	3
40160.	1
40320.	1
40360.	1
40384.	2
40424.	4
40464.	1
40536.	2
40552.	1
40632.	1
40656.	1
50000.	1
50008.	2
50032.	1
50040.	1
50056.	1

50064.	1
50072.	2
50080.	2
50096.	1
50112.	2
50136.	1
50152.	1
50184.	1
50280.	2
50320.	1
50328.	1
50360.	1
50376.	7
50408.	1
50448.	1
50472.	2
50488.	1
50496.	1
50520.	1
50528.	2
50560.	1
50568.	1
51624.	1
60112.	1
60208.	1
60224.	1
60344.	1
60400.	1
60424.	1
60456.	1
60544.	2
60552.	1
60592.	24
60600.	1
60616.	1
60656.	1
60664.	1
70032.	1
70080.	1
70112.	1
70192.	1
70224.	1
70352.	1
70432.	1
80000.	1
90000.	2
90032.	2
90048.	1

90112.	1
90176.	1
90208.	1
90224.	1
90256.	3
90496.	5
90592.	5
90608.	2
90688.	4
90704.	2
91056.	1
92432.	1
92496.	1
99984.	369
99996. NA	203
99999. MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-99984

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99996-99996

Summary Statistics: Valid 2421 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 99984 ; Mean 19897.034 ; StDev 37346.322

Variable Format: numeric

Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election - party

38(2) Personrösta - Riksdagskandidat: Parti

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	51
2.	Social Democrats	176
3.	Centre Party	50
4.	People's Party Liberals	48
5.	Moderate Party	90
6.	Christian Democrats	62
7.	Green party	21
9.	Other party	9
88.	DK	129
0.	INAP	1988
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 099

Summary Statistics: Valid 636 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election - gender

38(3) Personrösta - Riksdagskandidat: Kön

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Male	266

2.	Female	182
6.	DK/refusal	184
0.	INAP	1789
8.	NA	203
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 632 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.38 Personal vote in the parliament election - correct/incorrect name

38(4) Personrösta - Riksdagskandidat: Rätt/fel namn

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Correct	38
5.	Incorrect	39
6.	DK/refusal	367
0.	INAP	1976
8.	NA	204
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 444 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.39 Opinion on personal vote

39 Allmänt sett, vad är Din åsikt om personval i samband med svenska riksdagsval? Du kan välja ett bland följande svarsalternativ. (VISA SVARSKORT 39)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	No personal vote should exist, only choice between parties	354
2.	There should be an element of personal voting, but to a lesser extent than in this year's parliamentary election	265
3.	There should be an element of personal voting, and the level used in this year's parliamentary election is about right	961
4.	The extent of personal voting should be further increased in future parliamentary elections	268
8.	DK/refusal	243
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.38B Reason personal vote, 1

**38B(1) (PERSONRÖSTADE) Vilka var de viktigaste skälen till att Du personröstade i riksdagsvalet? 38B(1)
Skäl personröstade 1**

Value	Label	Frequency
101.	Ärlig/hederlig	2
102.	Pålitlig	2
104.	Kunnig/kompetent	13
106.	Stark ledare/handlingskraftig	1
107.	Förtroendegivande	11
108.	Erfaren	2
110.	Gjort ett gott intryck i massmedierna, ospecificerat	6
111.	Engagerad, energisk, kreativ, ambitiös	2
119.	Övriga personliga egenskaper	1
122.	Kön	13
123.	Ålder	3
124.	Region	15
125.	Yrke/kompetensområde	5
126.	Övriga sociala egenskaper	1
133.	Kandidaten representerar en bra politik i enskilda sakfrågor	8
134.	Kandidaten har bra åsikter, ospecificerat	10
141.	Ospecificerat; kandidaten är bra, kandidaten är den bäste oc	31
142.	Känner kandidaten personligen	16
143.	Demokratisk rättighet att rösta. Viktigt, en plikt att rösta	2
145.	Personval är bra. ökar möjlighet till påverkan	13
146.	Kände till personen (känner personligen)	12
147.	Personval är bra, ökar representativet	4
148.	"En ny möjlighet, som jag tar tillvara"	4
149.	Övriga skäl till personröstning	16
150.	Kan ej ange sina skäl till val av kandidat	2
888.	DK/refusal	23
0.	INAP	2406
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 101-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 0999

Summary Statistics: Valid 218 ; Min. 101 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.38B Reason personal vote, 2

38B(2) Skäl personröstade, 2

Value	Label	Frequency
101.	Ärlig/hederlig	1
104.	Kunnig/kompetent	7
107.	Förtroendegivande	2
110.	Gjort ett gott intryck i massmedia, ospecificerat	3
119.	Övriga personliga egenskaper	1
122.	Kön	3

123.	Ålder	2
124.	Region	2
126.	Övriga sociala egenskaper	2
133.	Kandidaten representerar en bra politik i enskilda sakfrågor	4
134.	Kandidaten har bra åsikter, ospecificerat	3
141.	Ospecificerat; kandidaten är bra, kandidaten är den bästa oc	8
142.	Känner kandidaten personligen	2
146.	Kände till personen (känner personligen)	2
149.	Övriga skäl till personröstning	1
888.	DK	3
0.	INAP	2578
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 101-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 0999

Summary Statistics: Valid 46 ; Min. 101 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.38B Reason personal vote, 3

38B(3) Skäl personröstade, 3

Value	Label	Frequency
104.	Kunnig/kompetent	1
111.	Engagerad, energisk, kreativ, ambitiös	2
124.	Region	1
133.	Kandidaten representerar en bra politik i enskilda sakfrågor	2
141.	Ospecificerat; kandidaten är bra, kandidaten är den bästa oc	2
888.	DK	3
0.	INAP	2613
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 104-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 0999

Summary Statistics: Valid 11 ; Min. 104 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.38B Reason no personal vote, 1

38B(2) (PERSONRÖSTADE INTE) Vilka var de viktigaste skälen till att Du inte personröstade i riksdagsvalet? (TILLÄGGSFRÅGA) Är det ytterligare något skäl Du vill nämna? 38B(2.1) Skäl ej personröstat, 1

Value	Label	Frequency
301.	Inte intresserad av personval/personröstning	14
302.	Orkade inte engagera sig i personvalet, kände inte till/glöm	40
311.	Saknade kunskap om kandidaterna, osäker	222
312.	Dåligt informerad/dålig information om kandidaterna, kandida	82
315.	Ingen kandidat passade. Kan inte avgöra vilken kandidat som	42
327.	Fanns inga förtroendegivande kandidater att väljamellan, (al	1
343.	Referenser till ålder (t ex inga unga på listorna)	1

351.	Är emot personval, allmänt	20
352.	Är emot personval - partiet viktigare än person, spelar inge	41
354.	Nöjd med partiets val av kandidater och/eller rangordningen	51
355.	Är emot personval - orättvist (ekonomi - somliga har råd att	2
371.	Personvalet var krångligt/svårt	5
372.	Inga namn på postens valsedlar och andra typer av hinder	9
373.	Personvalet saknar betydelse. Måste till alltför många röste	21
390.	Other reasons	12
888.	DK	129
995.	No reason	9
996.	NA	1923
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 301-995

Range of Invalid Data Values: 996999

Summary Statistics: Valid 701 ; Min. 301 ; Max. 995

Variable Format: numeric

Q.38B Reason no personal vote, 2

38B(2.2) Skäl ej personröstat, 2

Value	Label	Frequency
301.	Inte intresserad av personval/personröstning	2
302.	Orkade inte engagera sig i personvalet, kände inte till/glöm	1
311.	Saknade kunskap om kandidaterna, osäker	8
312.	Dåligt informerad/dålig information om kandidaterna, kandida	7
346.	Övrigt	1
351.	Är emot personval, allmänt	5
352.	Är emot personval - partiet viktigare än person, spelar inge	8
354.	Nöjd med partiets val av kandidater och/eller rangordningen	3
371.	Personvalet var krångligt/svårt	1
373.	Personvalet saknar betydelse. Måste till alltför många röste	8
390.	Övriga skäl	2
654.		1
888.	DK	2
996.	NA	2575
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 301-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 996999

Summary Statistics: Valid 49 ; Min. 301 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.40A Read article or interview with an MP

I would now like to ask you a few questions about parliament and the members of parliament.

Have you in the past few years read an article by, or interview with, a member of parliament?

SHOW CARD 40 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	734
5.	No	279
6.	DK/refusal	30
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.40B Attended meeting where an MP appeared

I would now like to ask you a few questions about parliament and the members of parliament.

Have you in the past few years been to a meeting or event where a member of parliament has appeared?

SHOW CARD 40 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	169
5.	No	863
6.	DK/refusal	11
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.40C Written a letter or e-mail or other contact with an MP

I would now like to ask you a few questions about parliament and the members of parliament.

Have you in the past few years written a letter or email, or in some other way been in touch with a member of parliament?

SHOW CARD 40 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	75
5.	No	960
6.	DK/refusal	8
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533

9. MD 1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.40D Visited an MP's home page on the Internet

I would now like to ask you a few questions about parliament and the members of parliament.

Have you in the past few years visited the Internet homepage of a member of parliament?

SHOW CARD 40 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES ONE BY ONE.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	74
5.	No	960
6.	DK/refusal	9
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.41A Voted in the 1998 parliamentary election

Did you vote in the 1998 parliamentary election?

ONLY ASKED TO R BORN BEFORE 21 SEPTEMBER 1984

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	2210
3.	Does not remember for sure, but probably	15
5.	No, did not vote/R does not believe R voted	215
0.	INAP	168
6.	DK/refusal	16
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2440 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.41B Party voted for in the 1998 parliamentary election

Which party did you vote for in the 1998 parliamentary election?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	230
2.	Social Democrats	835
3.	Centre Party	113
4.	People's Party Liberals	136
5.	Moderate Party	414
6.	Christian Democrats	198
7.	Green Party	84
9.	Other party	8
10.	Voted blank	34
88.	DK/refusal	173
0.	INAP	399
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 099

Summary Statistics: Valid 2225 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43A Read election brochure or other printed material

Before this year's election, did you read any election brochure or other printed matter from any party?

Q.42-64 NOT ASKED IN STAGE A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	610
5.	No	422
6.	DK/refusal	11
9.	MD	1164
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-9

Range of Invalid Data Values: 08

Summary Statistics: Valid 2207 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 9

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43A Read election brochure - traditional parliament parties

43A(2) (OM JA:) Från vilket eller vilka partier? 43A(2.1) Läst valbroschyr - traditionella riksdagspartier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	33
11.	Left Party (L)	15
12.	Social Democrats (S)	38
13.	Centre Party (C)	14
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	21
15.	Moderate Party (M)	19

20.	L-S	18
21.	L-C	3
22.	L-P	2
23.	L-M	3
24.	S-C	5
25.	S-P	19
26.	S-M	17
27.	C-P	5
28.	C-M	5
29.	P-M	14
30.	L-S-C	1
31.	L-S-P	6
32.	L-S-M	12
33.	L-C-P	1
35.	L-P-M	2
36.	S-C-P	5
37.	S-C-M	6
38.	S-P-M	24
39.	C-P-M	9
40.	L-S-C-P	5
41.	L-S-C-M	2
42.	L-C-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	14
44.	L-S-P-M	4
50.	All five parties	237
88.	DK/refusal	47
95.	No parties	2
90.	INAP	1481
96.	NA	534
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-95

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 609 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 95

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43A Read election brochure - new and smaller parties

43A(2.2) Läst valbroschyr - nya och mindre partier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	159
61.	Green Party (G)	49
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	73
64.	Other nation-wide party	21
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	6
70.	CD-G	244
73.	CD-Other party	4
75.	G-Other communist party	1

81.	CD-G-Other communist party	1
82.	CD-G-Other party	2
88.	DK/refusal	47
93.	CD-G-Other communist party-Other party	2
900.	INAP	1481
996.	NA	534
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-93

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 609 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 93

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43B Visited party's home page

43B(1) Inför årets val, besökte Du något av partiernas hemsidor på Internet?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	88
5.	No	947
6.	DK/refusal	8
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43B Visited party's home page - traditional parliament parties

43B(2) (OM JA:) Vilket eller vilka partiers hemsidor? 43B(2.1) Besökt partis hemsida på Internet - traditionella riksdagspartier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	10
11.	Left Party (L)	6
12.	Social Democrats (S)	6
13.	Centre Party (C)	4
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	2
15.	Moderate Party (M)	9
20.	L-S	2
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	1
24.	S-C	1
25.	S-P	2
26.	S-M	8
29.	P-M	3
31.	L-S-P	2

32.	L-S-M	1
33.	L-C-P	1
38.	S-P-M	2
39.	C-P-M	1
40.	L-S-C-P	1
41.	L-S-C-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	2
50.	All five parties	18
88.	DK/refusal	4
90.	INAP	2003
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 88 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43B Visited party's home page - new and smaller parties

43B(2.2) Besökt partis hemsida på Internet - nya och mindre partier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	34
61.	Green Party (G)	13
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	12
63.	Other communist parties	1
64.	Other nation-wide party	2
70.	CD-G	20
73.	CD-Other party	1
76.	G-Other party	1
88.	DK/refusal	4
90.	INAP	2003
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 88 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43C Attended election meeting

43C(1) Inför årets val, var Du på något valmöte eller annat arrangemang som anordnats av något parti?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	102
5.	No	932
6.	DK/refusal	9

0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.43C Attended election meeting - traditional parliament parties

43C(2) (OM JA:) Vilket eller vilka partier? 43C(2.1) Besökt valmöte - traditionella riksdagspartier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	10
11.	Left Party (L)	13
12.	Social Democrats (S)	20
13.	Centre Party (C)	2
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	3
15.	Moderate Party (M)	14
20.	L-S	4
21.	L-C	1
23.	L-M	1
24.	S-C	4
25.	S-P	1
26.	S-M	11
30.	L-S-C	1
31.	L-S-P	1
32.	L-S-M	1
35.	L-P-M	1
36.	S-C-P	1
37.	S-C-M	1
38.	S-P-M	1
43.	S-C-P-M	3
44.	L-S-P-M	1
50.	All five parties	6
88.	DK/refusal	1
90.	INAP	1989
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 102 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.43C Attended election meeting - new and smaller parties

43C(2.2) Besökt valmöte - nya och mindre partier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	71
61.	Green Party (G)	7
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	13
63.	Other communist parties	1
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	3
70.	CD-G	6
88.	DK/refusal	1
90.	INAP	1988
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 103 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43D Personal visit or telephone call

43D(1) Inför årets val, hade Du personligt besök i hemmet eller blev Du uppringd från något parti? (VISA SVARSKORT 43D)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, personal visit in the home	12
2.	Yes, telephone call	25
5.	No	995
6.	DK/refusal	11
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43D Personal visit or telephone call - traditional parliament parties

43D(2) (OM JA:) Från vilket eller vilka partier? 43D(2.1) Besök av valarbetare - traditionella riksdagspartier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	4
12.	Social Democrats (S)	13
15.	Moderate Party (M)	11
20.	Left Party-Social Democrats	1
29.	People's Party Liberals-Moderate Party	1
50.	All five parties	1
88.	DK/refusal	6

90.	INAP	2054
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 37 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.43D Personal visit or telephone call - new and smaller parties

43D(2.2) Besök av valarbetare - nya och mindre partier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	26
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	2
64.	Other nation-wide party	1
65.	Other party, not nation-wide	1
70.	Christian Democrats-Green Party	1
88.	DK/refusal	6
90.	INAP	2054
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 37 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.43E Personal contact with campaigner at workplace

43E(1) Inför årets val, var Du i personlig kontakt med någon valarbetare på Din arbetsplats?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	64
5.	No	964
6.	DK/refusal	15
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.43E Personal contact with campaigner at workplace - traditional parliament parties

43E(2) (OM JA:) Från vilket eller vilka partier? 43E(2.1) Kontakt med valarbetare på arbetsplatsen - traditionella riksdagspartier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Only new and smaller parties mentioned	2
11.	Left Party (L)	7
12.	Social Democrats (S)	26
13.	Centre Party (C)	3
14.	People's Party Liberals (P)	4
15.	Moderate Party (M)	4
20.	L-S	1
21.	L-C	1
22.	L-P	1
23.	L-M	1
24.	S-C	1
27.	C-P	2
29.	P-M	1
32.	L-S-M	1
38.	S-P-M	2
50.	All five parties	4
88.	DK/refusal	2
90.	INAP	2027
96.	NA	534
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 63 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43E Personal contact with campaigner at workplace - new and smaller parties

43E(2.2) Kontakt med valarbetare på arbetsplatsen - nya och mindre partier

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No new or small parties mentioned	51
61.	Green Party (G)	3
62.	Christian Democrats (CD)	3
70.	CD-G	3
76.	G-Other party	1
88.	DK/refusal	2
90.	INAP	2027
96.	NA	534
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 63 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.43F Convinced people to vote for a particular party

Before this year's election, did you try to persuade any member of your family, friend or other person to vote for a particular party?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	158
5.	No	875
6.	DK/refusal	10
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1043 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.44/MQ.6 Watched the concluding party leader debate on national TV

Did you watch the concluding party leader debate on Swedish Television (SVT), on the Friday before the election on 15th September?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, saw the whole party leader debate	556
2.	Yes, saw part of the party leader debate	679
5.	No, did not watch the party leader debate	803
6.	DK/refusal	19
0.	MD questionnaire	34
8.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 2057 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Social Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Social Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Social Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 1 - Social Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	70
2.	Lower taxes	1
15.	Tax on real estate	2
20.	Swedish economy	23

22.	Wage level, wage policy	3
29.	Budget deficit, national debt	4
30.	Public sector	5
35.	Privatization	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	6
44.	Welfare society, social security	38
47.	Distribution policy	1
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	2
60.	Care of elderly	53
70.	Health care/health insurance	87
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	4
85.	Family policy/child care	30
97.	Child allowance	3
100.	Educational policy, research	110
109.	Shortage of teachers	1
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	1
120.	Housing policy in general	7
169.	Environment fees, environment taxes	1
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	1
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	85
244.	Work environment	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	21
249.	Other labour market issues	1
262.	Start of nuclear phase-out	1
270.	State influence on private enterprise/socialization issue	1
281.	Labour legislation	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	3
310.	Immigration policy	26
311.	Refugee issues	2
314.	Influx of foreign labour	2
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	2
344.	Justice	2
350.	Party politics (general opinion on parties)	1
360.	Confidence in parties and politics	10
370.	Party leader	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	6
800.	European Union in general	4
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	2
805.	European Union - Employment issues	1
836.	European Union - Enlargement	1
992.	Other/Bullying	9
8888.	DK/refusal	14
0.	INAP	1048
9996.	NA	924
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 652 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Social Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Social Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Social Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 2 - Social Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	17
2.	Lower taxes	2
20.	Swedish economy	11
21.	Financial and currency policy, interest levels, foreign loans	1
24.	Cut down public expenses. We have to save to be able to reduce the budget deficit.	1
30.	Public sector	5
35.	Privatization	2
44.	Welfare society, social security	19
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	1
60.	Care of elderly	68
70.	Health care/health insurance	91
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	1
76.	Queues to medical service	1
85.	Family policy/child care	29
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	1
97.	Child allowance	1
100.	Educational policy, research	68
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	1
120.	Housing policy in general	2
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	1
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	1
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	3
240.	Employment, unemployment	14
244.	Work environment	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	9
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	1
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	1
310.	Immigration policy	17
314.	Influx of foreign labour	1

335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	4
336.	Individualism vs collectivism	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	2
800.	European Union in general	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	5
830.	European Union - Immigrant issues	1
992.	Bullying	8
8888.	DK/refusal	15
0.	INAP	1291
9996.	NA	924
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 409 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Social Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Social Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Social Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 3 - Social Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	11
20.	Swedish economy	2
22.	Wage level, wage policy	1
29.	Budget deficit, national debt	1
30.	Public sector	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	2
44.	Welfare society, social security	7
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	2
60.	Care of elderly	67
70.	Health care/health insurance	35
76.	Queues to medical service	1
85.	Family policy/child care	12
100.	Educational policy, research	43
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	1
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	1
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	9
244.	Work environment	1
247.	Unemployment benefit fund	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	3

249.	Other labour market issues	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	3
310.	Immigration policy	9
800.	European Union in general	2
992.	Other/Bullying	3
8888.	DK/refusal	14
0.	INAP	1465
9996.	NA	924
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 235 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Social Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Social Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Social Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 4 - Social Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	8
2.	Lower taxes	1
20.	Swedish economy	1
35.	Privatization	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	1
60.	Care of elderly	16
70.	Health care/health insurance	12
85.	Family policy/child care	2
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
100.	Educational policy, research	7
240.	Employment, unemployment	4
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	2
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	1
311.	Refugee issues	1
800.	European Union in general	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	3
992.	Other/Bullying	2
8888.	DK/refusal	14
0.	INAP	1622

9996.	NA	924
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 78 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Social Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Social Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Social Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 5 - Social Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	1
30.	Public sector	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	1
60.	Care of elderly	2
70.	Health care/health insurance	1
85.	Family policy/child care	3
100.	Educational policy, research	1
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	1
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
271.	Market economy	1
8888.	DK/refusal	14
0.	INAP	1672
9996.	NA	924
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 28 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - People's Party Liberals

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the People's Party Liberals particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the People's Party Liberals emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 1 - People's Party Liberals

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	6
2.	Lower taxes	5
20.	Swedish economy	1
30.	Public sector	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	1
60.	Care of elderly	6
70.	Health care/health insurance	15
71.	Disability issues	1
85.	Family policy/child care	14
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
100.	Educational policy, research	121
102.	School reports	1
120.	Housing policy in general	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	5
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	2
250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	7
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	3
310.	Immigration policy	282
311.	Refugee issues	10
313.	Language test for immigrants	216
314.	Influx of foreign labour	2
316.	We are admitting too many foreigners	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	1
342.	Change of system	1
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	2
992.	Other/Bullying	4
8888.	DK/refusal	15
0.	INAP	1048
9996.	NA	850
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 726 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - People's Party Liberals

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

*Could you say which issues the People's Party Liberals particularly emphasised in this year's election?
(ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the People's Party Liberals emphasised?*

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R.
FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 2 - People's Party Liberals

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	5
2.	Lower taxes	2
15.	Tax on real estate	6
20.	Swedish economy	3
29.	Budget deficit, national debt	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	3
60.	Care of elderly	16
70.	Health care/health insurance	28
85.	Family policy/child care	6
95.	Economy of families with children	1
100.	Educational policy, research	59
102.	School reports	2
120.	Housing policy in general	1
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	1
183.	Law and order	3
227.	NATO	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	1
250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	3
271.	Market economy	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	1
310.	Immigration policy	54
311.	Refugee issues	5
313.	Language test for immigrants	45
314.	Influx of foreign labour	4
333.	Liberalism	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	1
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	2
360.	Confidence in parties and politics	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	2
992.	Other/Bullying	3
8888.	DK/refusal	15
0.	INAP	1493
9996.	NA	850
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 281 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - People's Party Liberals

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

*Could you say which issues the People's Party Liberals particularly emphasised in this year's election?
(ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the People's Party Liberals emphasised?*

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 3 - People's Party Liberals

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	2
2.	Lower taxes	3
15.	Tax on real estate	1
20.	Swedish economy	2
60.	Care of elderly	19
62.	Against an effort/increased effort on care of elderly	1
70.	Health care/health insurance	10
85.	Family policy/child care	6
86.	Child-care allowance	1
100.	Educational policy, research	19
102.	School reports	2
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	1
183.	Law and order	1
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	1
227.	NATO	2
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	2
310.	Immigration policy	10
313.	Language test for immigrants	5
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
800.	European Union in general	1
992.	Other/Bullying	3
8888.	DK/refusal	15
0.	INAP	1666
9996.	NA	850
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 108 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - People's Party Liberals

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the People's Party Liberals particularly emphasised in this year's election?

(ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the People's Party Liberals emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 4 - People's Party Liberals

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	3
15.	Tax on real estate	1
60.	Care of elderly	4
70.	Health care/health insurance	1
100.	Educational policy, research	4
102.	School reports	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	1
310.	Immigration policy	6
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
314.	Influx of foreign labour	1
334.	Conservatism, traditions, the old way	1
992.	Other/Bullying	2
8888.	DK/refusal	15
0.	INAP	1732
9996.	NA	850
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 42 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - People's Party Liberals

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

*Could you say which issues the People's Party Liberals particularly emphasised in this year's election?
(ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the People's Party Liberals emphasised?*

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 5 - People's Party Liberals

Value	Label	Frequency
20.	Swedish economy	1
60.	Care of elderly	2
70.	Health care/health insurance	1
85.	Family policy/child care	1
310.	Immigration policy	1
314.	Influx of foreign labour	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	1

338.	More democracy, against dictatorship	1
992.	Other/Bullying	1
8888.	DK/refusal	15
0.	INAP	1749
9996.	NA	850
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 20-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 25 ; Min. 20 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Moderate Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Moderate Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Moderate Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 1 - Moderate Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	237
2.	Lower taxes	347
3.	Higher taxes for rich people/high-income earners	1
15.	Tax on real estate	16
20.	Swedish economy	7
22.	Wage level, wage policy	1
26.	Private economy	1
30.	Public sector	1
35.	Privatization	5
42.	Social benefits	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	1
60.	Care of elderly	2
70.	Health care/health insurance	12
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	2
85.	Family policy/child care	4
86.	Child-care allowance	1
87.	For child-care allowance	1
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
100.	Educational policy, research	15
105.	Private/independent schools	1
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	9
183.	Law and order	11
227.	NATO	2
240.	Employment, unemployment	5
246.	Work ethic, responsibility	1

250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	12
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
310.	Immigration policy	11
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
336.	Individualism vs collectivism	1
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	6
360.	Confidence in parties and politics	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	4
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	2
992.	Other/Bullying	6
8888.	DK/refusal	13
0.	INAP	1048
9996.	NA	832
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 744 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Moderate Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Moderate Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Moderate Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 2 - Moderate Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	6
2.	Lower taxes	18
15.	Tax on real estate	16
20.	Swedish economy	5
26.	Private economy	1
35.	Privatization	12
42.	Social benefits	5
60.	Care of elderly	4
70.	Health care/health insurance	16
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	5
76.	Queues to medical service	1
85.	Family policy/child care	3
86.	Child-care allowance	2
100.	Educational policy, research	17
102.	School reports	1

105.	Private/independent schools	3
120.	Housing policy in general	1
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	9
183.	Law and order	7
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	3
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	3
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	15
271.	Market economy	1
300.	Community governed by law/rule of law	5
310.	Immigration policy	3
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	1
800.	European Union in general	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	1
992.	Other/Bullying	6
8888.	DK/refusal	13
0.	INAP	1604
9996.	NA	833
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 187 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Moderate Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Moderate Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Moderate Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 3 - Moderate Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	2
2.	Lower taxes	6
14.	Capital tax	1
35.	Privatization	1
60.	Care of elderly	5
70.	Health care/health insurance	4
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	2
85.	Family policy/child care	3
100.	Educational policy, research	7

102.	School reports	1
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	5
183.	Law and order	8
227.	NATO	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	1
249.	Other labour market issues	1
250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	2
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	2
271.	Market economy	1
310.	Immigration policy	1
314.	Influx of foreign labour	2
336.	Individualism vs collectivism	1
830.	European Union - Immigrant issues	1
8888.	DK/refusal	13
0.	INAP	1721
9996.	NA	832
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 71 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Moderate Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Moderate Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Moderate Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 4 - Moderate Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	1
2.	Lower taxes	2
15.	Tax on real estate	1
35.	Privatization	1
42.	Social benefits	1
60.	Care of elderly	1
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	1
85.	Family policy/child care	1
102.	School reports	1
183.	Law and order	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	2
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	1

8888.	DK/refusal	13
0.	INAP	1764
9996.	NA	832
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 28 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Moderate Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Moderate Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Moderate Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 5 - Moderate Party

Value	Label	Frequency
2.	Lower taxes	2
70.	Health care/health insurance	1
8888.	DK/refusal	13
0.	INAP	1776
9996.	NA	832
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 2-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 16 ; Min. 2 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Centre Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Centre Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Centre Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 1 - Centre Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	3
2.	Lower taxes	3
15.	Tax on real estate	1
20.	Swedish economy	1

26.	Private economy	1
30.	Public sector	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	1
51.	ATP-funds	1
60.	Care of elderly	5
70.	Health care/health insurance	20
85.	Family policy/child care	18
86.	Child-care allowance	1
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
91.	Paternity leave	1
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	1
97.	Child allowance	1
100.	Educational policy, research	21
120.	Housing policy in general	1
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	116
140.	Agricultural policy	28
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	14
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	7
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	1
249.	Other labour market issues	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	48
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	1
261.	Nuclear power in general	6
262.	Start of nuclear phase-out	2
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	9
310.	Immigration policy	2
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
330.	Ideology (general, other)	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	3
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	3
390.	Government issue, composition of government	23
800.	European Union in general	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	1
992.	Other/Bullying	7
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	22
0.	INAP	1048
9996.	NA	1194
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 382 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Centre Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Centre Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Centre Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 2 - Centre Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	3
2.	Lower taxes	1
20.	Swedish economy	3
22.	Wage level, wage policy	2
40.	Social policy/security issues	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	1
60.	Care of elderly	10
70.	Health care/health insurance	16
85.	Family policy/child care	5
100.	Educational policy, research	15
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	22
140.	Agricultural policy	6
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	5
165.	Sea and water pollution	1
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	2
176.	Petrol prices	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	3
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	15
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	3
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	2
310.	Immigration policy	2
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	1
342.	Change of system	1
344.	Justice	1
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	1
800.	European Union in general	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	1
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	1
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	2

8888.	DK/refusal	22
0.	INAP	1278
9996.	NA	1194
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 152 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Centre Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Centre Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Centre Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 3 - Centre Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	2
2.	Lower taxes	1
42.	Social benefits	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	1
60.	Care of elderly	14
70.	Health care/health insurance	5
85.	Family policy/child care	3
100.	Educational policy, research	5
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	1
224.	Aid to developing countries, issues on developing countries	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	3
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	4
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	2
314.	Influx of foreign labour	1
800.	European Union in general	1
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	1
992.	Other/Bullying	1
8888.	DK/refusal	22
0.	INAP	1360
9996.	NA	1194
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 70 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Centre Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Centre Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Centre Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 4 - Centre Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	1
42.	Social benefits	1
60.	Care of elderly	4
70.	Health care/health insurance	2
85.	Family policy/child care	1
100.	Educational policy, research	2
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	2
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	1
8888.	DK/refusal	22
0.	INAP	1392
9996.	NA	1194
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 38 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Centre Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Centre Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Centre Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 5 - Centre Party

Value	Label	Frequency
60.	Care of elderly	1

70.	Health care/health insurance	1
85.	Family policy/child care	1
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
8888.	DK/refusal	22
0.	INAP	1404
9996.	NA	1194
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 60-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 26 ; Min. 60 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Left Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Left Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Left Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 1 - Left Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	9
2.	Lower taxes	2
3.	Higher taxes for rich people/high-income earners	6
22.	Wage level, wage policy	12
30.	Public sector	7
35.	Privatization	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	4
42.	Social benefits	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	6
47.	Distribution policy	1
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	2
60.	Care of elderly	6
70.	Health care/health insurance	33
85.	Family policy/child care	6
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
91.	Paternity leave	2
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	24
100.	Educational policy, research	15
102.	School reports	2
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	1
120.	Housing policy in general	2
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	3
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	16
244.	Holiday	1

247.	Unemployment benefit fund	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	2
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
270.	State influence on private enterprise/socialization issue	2
281.	Labour legislation	2
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	219
296.	Equality of opportunity for women and men in working life	18
299.	Issues on homosexuality/issues on adoption	3
310.	Immigration policy	11
314.	Influx of foreign labour	1
331.	Socialism	3
332.	Communism, class struggle, revolution	1
334.	Conservatism, traditions, the old way	2
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	47
344.	Justice	7
390.	Government issue, composition of government	6
800.	European Union in general	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	2
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	5
992.	Other/Bullying	6
2391.	US war against terrorism	1
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	5
8888.	DK/refusal	20
0.	INAP	1048
9996.	NA	1046
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 530 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Left Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Left Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Left Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 2 - Left Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	11
2.	Lower taxes	1
15.	Tax on real estate	1
22.	Wage level, wage policy	10
30.	Public sector	6

35.	Privatization	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	3
60.	Care of elderly	15
70.	Health care/health insurance	25
76.	Queues to medical service	1
85.	Family policy/child care	10
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	13
100.	Educational policy, research	13
102.	School reports	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	3
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	1
191.	Fight against pornography and prostitution	1
227.	NATO	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	6
244.	Holiday	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	1
249.	Other labour market issues	1
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
270.	State influence on private enterprise/socialization issue	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	27
296.	Equality of opportunity for women and men in working life	7
310.	Immigration policy	9
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
330.	Ideology (general, other)	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	14
344.	Justice	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	1
800.	European Union in general	3
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	3
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	3
992.	Other/Bullying	4
2391.	US war against terrorism	2
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	2
8888.	DK/refusal	20
0.	INAP	1352
9996.	NA	1046
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 226 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Left Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Left Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Left Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 3 - Left Party

Value	Label	Frequency
3.	Higher taxes for rich people/high-income earners	1
22.	Wage level, wage policy	3
35.	Privatization	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	1
47.	Distribution policy	1
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	1
60.	Care of elderly	16
70.	Health care/health insurance	4
85.	Family policy/child care	2
91.	Paternity leave	1
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	1
100.	Educational policy, research	10
102.	School reports	2
120.	Housing policy in general	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	2
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	11
296.	Equality of opportunity for women and men in working life	1
298.	Equality of opportunity for women and men at home	1
310.	Immigration policy	3
311.	Refugee issues	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	4
344.	Justice	1
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
800.	European Union in general	2
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	2
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	1
992.	Other/Bullying	2
2391.	US war against terrorism	1
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	20
0.	INAP	1478
9996.	NA	1046
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 3-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 100 ; Min. 3 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Left Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Left Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Left Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 4 - Left Party

Value	Label	Frequency
22.	Wage level, wage policy	1
60.	Care of elderly	4
70.	Health care/health insurance	1
85.	Family policy/child care	1
100.	Educational policy, research	1
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	1
230.	International issues in general	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	6
310.	Immigration policy	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	3
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	1
992.	Other/Bullying	1
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	20
0.	INAP	1535
9996.	NA	1046
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 22-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 43 ; Min. 22 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Left Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Left Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Left Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 5 - Left Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	1
70.	Health care/health insurance	1
100.	Educational policy, research	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights,	1

	allocation of quotas, sexism	
310.	Immigration policy	1
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	20
0.	INAP	1551
9996.	NA	1046
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 27 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Green Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Green Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Green Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 1 - Green Party

Value	Label	Frequency
12.	Temporary austerity tax	1
13.	Balancing of one tax against another	12
20.	Swedish economy	3
80.	Alcohol/drugs, fight against abuse, better care, alcohol prices, fight against drug dealers, prohibit use of drugs	1
85.	Family policy/child care	2
89.	Parents' insurance	4
91.	Paternity leave	2
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	10
100.	Educational policy, research	6
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	7
145.	Fisheries (n.b. not related to environment)	36
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	284
162.	Traffic, motorism, exhaust emissions and unleaded petrol	1
165.	Sea and water pollution	3
169.	Environment fees, environment taxes	1
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	4
174.	Road tolls	1
176.	Petrol prices	5
177.	Crowding fee	10
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	1
222.	Defence policy/defence expenditure	1
230.	International issues in general	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	2

244.	Holiday	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	1
249.	Other labour market issues	8
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	13
261.	Nuclear power in general	19
262.	Start of nuclear phase-out	13
310.	Immigration policy	2
334.	Conservatism, traditions, the old way	1
343.	Green ideas, green ideology, non-growth, ecological balance	8
351.	Party-political collaboration	1
370.	Party leader	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	10
391.	Red-green government alternative	8
600.	Party did not emphasis any issue	1
800.	European Union in general	8
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	5
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	14
992.	Other/Bullying	11
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	9
8888.	DK/refusal	21
0.	INAP	1048
9996.	NA	1023
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 12-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 553 ; Min. 12 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Green Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Green Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Green Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 2 - Green Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	3
13.	Balancing of one tax against another	6
20.	Swedish economy	2
30.	Public sector	1
70.	Health care/health insurance	8
85.	Family policy/child care	3
89.	Parents' insurance	2

96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	4
100.	Educational policy, research	2
120.	Housing policy in general	1
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	1
140.	Agricultural policy	1
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	2
145.	Fisheries (n.b. not related to environment)	17
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	24
162.	Traffic, motorism, exhaust emissions and unleaded petrol	1
165.	Sea and water pollution	2
167.	Air pollution	2
169.	Environment fees, environment taxes	1
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	9
174.	Road tolls	2
176.	Petrol prices	5
177.	Crowding fee	4
223.	Arms export	1
224.	Aid to developing countries, issues on developing countries	1
227.	NATO	1
230.	International issues in general	2
240.	Employment, unemployment	1
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	1
249.	Other labour market issues	6
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	1
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	6
261.	Nuclear power in general	10
262.	Start of nuclear phase-out	4
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	3
310.	Immigration policy	1
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	2
343.	Green ideas, green ideology, non-growth, ecological balance	4
390.	Government issue, composition of government	4
391.	Red-green government alternative	1
600.	Party did not emphasis any issue	1
800.	European Union in general	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	3
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	4
992.	Other/Bullying	1
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	4
8888.	DK/refusal	21
0.	INAP	1412
9996.	NA	1024
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 188 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Green Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Green Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Green Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 3 - Green Party

Value	Label	Frequency
13.	Balancing of one tax against another	3
60.	Care of elderly	3
70.	Health care/health insurance	2
85.	Family policy/child care	2
88.	Maximum fee for daycare service	1
100.	Educational policy, research	2
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	1
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	2
145.	Fisheries (n.b. not related to environment)	2
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	7
165.	Sea and water pollution	1
170.	Communications, traffic, speed limits, Swedish state railways, airlines, low prices, public transportation	4
176.	Petrol prices	1
177.	Crowding fee	3
227.	NATO	1
249.	Other labour market issues	1
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	4
262.	Start of nuclear phase-out	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	1
310.	Immigration policy	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	1
600.	Party did not emphasis any issue	1
800.	European Union in general	2
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	1
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	2
992.	Other/Bullying	1
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	21

0.	INAP	1528
9996.	NA	1023
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 13-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 73 ; Min. 13 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Green Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Green Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Green Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 4 - Green Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	2
60.	Care of elderly	2
145.	Fisheries (n.b. not related to environment)	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	3
222.	Defence policy/defence expenditure	1
600.	Party did not emphasis any issue	1
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	21
0.	INAP	1569
9996.	NA	1023
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 32 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Green Party

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Green Party particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Green Party emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 5 - Green Party

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

141.		1
262.	Start of nuclear phase-out	1
600.	Party did not emphasis any issue	1
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	21
0.	INAP	1575
9996.	NA	1024
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 141-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 25 ; Min. 141 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 1 - Christian Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Christian Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Christian Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 1 - Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	6
2.	Lower taxes	6
15.	Tax on real estate	5
20.	Swedish economy	1
30.	Public sector	1
40.	Social policy/security issues	2
44.	Welfare society, social security	4
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	1
60.	Care of elderly	27
70.	Health care/health insurance	16
85.	Family policy/child care	265
86.	Child-care allowance	16
87.	For child-care allowance	2
92.	Daycare centre	1
100.	Educational policy, research	30
105.	Private/independent schools	2
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	2
183.	Law and order	2
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	44
191.	Fight against pornography and prostitution	1
192.	Abortion	10
222.	Defence policy/defence expenditure	1

224.	Aid to developing countries, issues on developing countries	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	4
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	4
249.	Other labour market issues	1
250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	4
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	2
298.	Equality of opportunity for women and men at home	1
299.	Issues on homosexuality/issues on adoption	75
310.	Immigration policy	9
311.	Refugee issues	1
313.	Language test for immigrants	1
334.	Conservatism, traditions, the old way	2
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	3
800.	European Union in general	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	1
992.	Other/Bullying	4
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	16
0.	INAP	1048
9996.	NA	998
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 578 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 2 - Christian Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Christian Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Christian Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 2 - Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	5
2.	Lower taxes	3
15.	Tax on real estate	1
20.	Swedish economy	2
40.	Social policy/security issues	3
41.	Social reforms	1
44.	Welfare society, social security	3

50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	2
60.	Care of elderly	29
70.	Health care/health insurance	21
73.	Sickness benefit/qualifying period (not qualifying period for care of child, see code 78)	1
74.	Private health care/privatization of health care	1
85.	Family policy/child care	38
86.	Child-care allowance	7
87.	For child-care allowance	1
89.	Parents' insurance	1
91.	Paternity leave	1
96.	Six hours workday - shorter workday	1
100.	Educational policy, research	21
102.	School reports	1
105.	Private/independent schools	1
183.	Law and order	2
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	19
192.	Abortion	5
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	3
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	1
296.	Equality of opportunity for women and men in working life	1
298.	Equality of opportunity for women and men at home	1
299.	Issues on homosexuality/issues on adoption	19
310.	Immigration policy	1
311.	Refugee issues	1
334.	Conservatism, traditions, the old way	1
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	1
992.	Other/Bullying	2
8888.	DK/refusal	16
0.	INAP	1408
9996.	NA	998
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 218 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 3 - Christian Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Christian Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Christian Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 3 - Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	1
2.	Lower taxes	1
15.	Tax on real estate	2
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	1
60.	Care of elderly	15
70.	Health care/health insurance	7
85.	Family policy/child care	11
86.	Child-care allowance	3
87.	For child-care allowance	1
100.	Educational policy, research	6
111.	Youth's and children's future and problems	1
140.	Agricultural policy	1
162.	Traffic, motorism, exhaust emissions and unleaded petrol	1
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	1
183.	Law and order	1
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	7
192.	Abortion	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	1
299.	Issues on homosexuality/issues on adoption	4
310.	Immigration policy	1
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	2
346.	Freedom of choice, liberty	1
390.	Government issue, composition of government	1
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	1
8888.	DK/refusal	16
0.	INAP	1537
9996.	NA	998
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 89 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 4 - Christian Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Christian Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Christian Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 4 - Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	2
2.	Lower taxes	1
20.	Swedish economy	1
22.	Wage level, wage policy	1
70.	Health care/health insurance	4
76.	Queues to medical service	1
85.	Family policy/child care	1
87.	For child-care allowance	1
100.	Educational policy, research	2
183.	Law and order	1
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	1
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas, sexism	1
299.	Homosexual couple's right to adopt children	2
8888.	DK/refusal	16
0.	INAP	1590
9996.	NA	998
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 36 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.45 Emphasised issue 5 - Christian Democrats

In this year's election, the parties brought up different issues that they thought were important.

Could you say which issues the Christian Democrats particularly emphasised in this year's election? (ADDED QUESTION FOR COMPLETE ANSWERS) Could you mention something else that the Christian Democrats emphasised?

ASK QUESTION BELOW FOR EACH PARTY AND ENTER THE ISSUES AND PROBLEMS GIVEN BY R. FOR EACH PARTY. QUESTION 45 ONLY ASKED IN STAGE B (POST-ELECTION SURVEY)

Variable Text: Emphasised issue 5 - Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	1
2.	Lower taxes	1
20.	Swedish economy	1
60.	Care of elderly	1
100.	Educational policy, research	1
299.	Homosexual couple's right to adopt children	1
8888.	DK/refusal	16
0.	INAP	1604
9996.	NA	998

9999. MD

1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 22 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.46A CSES - Convince other to vote for a particular party

Next follow some interview questions, which are included in a large international survey of voters from many different countries. Some of the questions are similar to the interview questions you have already answered, but we still ask you to answer them, so that the results can be compared with other countries.

We begin with various things people do in elections. Did you do any of the following in this year's election - talk to people to persuade them to vote for a particular party or candidate?

SHOW CARD 46AC

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	155
5.	No	1105
6.	DK/refusal	9
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.46B CSES - Convince other to vote for a particular party, frequency

How often did you do this - frequently, occasionally, rarely?

SHOW CARD 46BD

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Frequently	24
2.	Occasionally	76
3.	Rarely	55
0.	INAP	2162
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-3
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 155 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 3
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.46C CSES - Show support for particular party

Show your support for a particular party or a particular candidate by, for example, attending a meeting, putting up a poster or in some other way?

SHOW CARD 46AC

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	37
5.	No	1223
6.	DK/refusal	9
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.46D CSES - Show support for particular party, frequency

How often did you do this - frequently, occasionally, rarely?

SHOW CARD BD

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Frequently	15
2.	Occasionally	14
3.	Rarely	8
0.	INAP	2280
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-3

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 37 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 3

Variable Format: numeric

Q.47 CSES - Contacted by political party

In this year's election campaign, did a candidate or anyone from a political party contact you to persuade you to vote for them?

SHOW CARD 47

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	91
5.	No	1168
6.	DK/refusal	10

0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.48 CSES - Most important issue last four years

What do you think has been the most important issue facing Sweden over the last four years?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Taxes/payroll taxes	29
2.	Lower taxes	7
15.	Tax on real estate	2
20.	Swedish economy	119
21.	Financial and currency policy, interest levels, foreign loans	3
22.	Wage level, wage policy	2
29.	Budget deficit, national debt	6
30.	Public sector	5
31.	Reduction of public service	2
35.	Privatization	4
40.	Social policy/security issues	6
44.	Welfare society, social security	19
47.	Distribution policy	3
50.	Pensions - pensioner issues	2
53.	The new pension system	1
60.	Care of elderly	34
70.	Health care/health insurance	123
76.	Queues within the health care	2
85.	Family policy/child care	32
88.	Against child-care allowance	2
100.	Educational policy, research	49
120.	Housing policy in general	5
124.	Housing shortage	1
130.	Decentralisation - regional industrial location - regional policy, urban - rural, depopulation of the countryside, relocation	1
141.	Keeping livestock (n.b. not EU-related issues)	1
160.	Environment/environmental control/environment protection	10
180.	Treatment of offenders, police, criminality	2
183.	Law and order	1
190.	Religion, moral and ethics, christian upbringing in school, spiritual values, women priests	2
192.	Abortion	1
210.	Peace issue, international disarmament	8
220.	Swedish foreign and security policy	8
222.	Defence policy/defence expenditure	2

226.	Neutrality/non-alignment	3
227.	NATO	1
239.	Terrorism	1
240.	Employment, unemployment	248
244.	Work environment	3
248.	Sick leave, early retirement, occupational health service	9
249.	Other labour market issues	4
250.	Commercial policy, industrial policy, ship yards, textile, steel, forests	3
251.	Conditions for businesses (including small business)	12
260.	Energy policy, coal, oil, renewable sources of energy, energy-saving	2
261.	Nuclear power in general	1
281.	Labour legislation	1
295.	Equality of opportunity for women and men, women's rights, allocation of quotas	5
310.	Immigration policy	40
311.	Refugee issues	5
312.	Racism, hostility towards foreigners, segregation/integration	3
335.	Equality, equalization, solidarity	4
338.	More democracy, against dictatorship	1
342.	Change of system	1
343.	Green ideas, green ideology, non-growth, ecological balance	1
344.	Fairness	1
346.	Freedom of choice, freedom	2
350.	Party politics (general opinion on parties)	1
360.	Confidence in parties and politics	1
800.	European Union in general	83
803.	European Union - Economic and Monetary Union (EMU)	43
839.	Withdrawal from the EU/continue membership of the EU	13
849.	European Union - Other concrete issues	1
992.	Other/Bullying	7
2391.	US war against terrorism	1
8031.	European Union - No to EMU	1
8888.	DK/refusal	272
0.	INAP	1048
9996.	NA	308
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9996-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1268 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8888

Variable Format: numeric

Q.49A CSES - Government attending most important issue

And thinking about that issue, that is (... ISSUE IN Q.48...), how good or bad a job do you think the government has done pover the past four years - a very good job, a good job, a bad job or a very bad job?

SHOW CARD 49A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good job	54
2.	Good job	438
3.	Bad job	358
4.	Very bad job	119
6.	DK/refusal	30
0.	INAP	1318
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 999 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.49BA CSES - Influence development in most important issue: Government

To what extent is the government, the local councils, the regional councils, and the EU, respectively, able to influence the development in (...MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE IN W.48...)?

We begin with the government.

SHOW CARD 49B

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	To a very significant extent	401
2.	To a fairly significant extent	449
3.	Neither significant nor limited extent	56
4.	To a fairly limited extent	54
5.	To a very limited extent	11
6.	DK/refusal	28
0.	INAP	1318
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 999 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.49BB CSES - Influence development in most important issue: Local councils

To what extent is the government, the local councils, the regional councils, and the EU, respectively, able to influence the development in (...MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE IN W.48...)?

The local councils

SHOW CARD 49B

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

1.	To a very significant extent	164
2.	To a fairly significant extent	383
3.	Neither significant nor limited extent	174
4.	To a fairly limited extent	181
5.	To a very limited extent	55
6.	DK/refusal	42
0.	INAP	1318
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 999 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.49BC CSES - Influence development in most important issue: Regional councils

To what extent is the government, the local councils, the regional councils, and the EU, respectively, able to influence the development in (...MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE IN W.48...)?

The regional councils

SHOW CARD 49B

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	To a very significant extent	117
2.	To a fairly significant extent	279
3.	Neither significant nor limited extent	205
4.	To a fairly limited extent	206
5.	To a very limited extent	106
6.	DK/refusal	86
0.	INAP	1318
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 999 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.49BD CSES - Influence development in most important issue: EU

To what extent is the government, the local councils, the regional councils, and the EU, respectively, able to influence the development in (...MOST IMPORTANT ISSUE IN W.48...)?

The EU

SHOW CARD 49B

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	To a very significant extent	200

2.	To a fairly significant extent	279
3.	Neither significant nor limited extent	144
4.	To a fairly limited extent	147
5.	To a very limited extent	88
6.	DK/refusal	141
0.	INAP	1318
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 999 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.50 CSES - Opinion on government's performance in general

Now thinking of the performance in general, how good or bad a job do you think the government has done over the past four years, has it done a very good job, a good job, a bad job or a very bad job?

SHOW CARD 50

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good job	54
2.	Good job	884
3.	Bad job	237
4.	Very bad job	34
6.	DK/refusal	60
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.51 CSES - Opinion on the way democracy works in Sweden

On the whole, are you very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied with the way democracy works in Sweden?

SHOW CARD 51

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very satisfied	107
2.	Fairly satisfied	830
3.	Not very satisfied	265
4.	Not at all satisfied	36
6.	DK/refusal	31

0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.52 CSES - Makes a difference who is in power

Some people say it makes a difference who is in power. Others say that it does not make a difference who is in power. Using the scale on this card, what is your view?

SHOW CARD 52

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	1 It makes a difference who is in power	585
2.	2	340
3.	3	124
4.	4	128
5.	5 It does not make a difference who is in power	64
6.	DK/refusal	28
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.53 CSES - Importance of voting

Some people say that no matter who people vote for, it won't make any difference to what happens. Others say that who people vote for can make a difference to what happens. Using the scale on this card, what is your view?

SHOW CARD 53

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Who people vote for won't make a difference to what happens	32
2.		69
3.		152
4.		456
5.	Who people vote for can make a diference to what happens	527
6.	DK/refusal	33
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307

9. MD

1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.54 CSES - Opinion on democracy

Please tell me how strongly you agree or disagree with the following statement: Democracy may have its problems, but it is better than other forms of government. Do you agree strongly, agree, disagree or disagree strongly?

SHOW CARD 54

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agree strongly	657
2.	Agree	490
3.	Disagree	30
4.	Disagree strongly	7
6.	DK/refusal	85
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.55 CSES - Performance of R's party choice

How well did (...R's PARTY CHOICE IN 2002 PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION...) perform over the past four years - has it done a very good job, a good job, a bad job or a very bad job?

Q.55 ONLY ASKED TO R WHO HAVE GIVEN A PARTY IN Q.34B

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very good job	117
2.	Good job	833
3.	Bad job	65
4.	Very bad job	3
6.	DK/refusal	63
0.	INAP	1236
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1081 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
Variable Format: numeric

Q.56 CSES - Views of voters represented by MPs

Thinking of how elections in Sweden work in practice, how well do you think elections ensure that the views of voters are represented by MPs - very well, quite well, not very well, not well at all?

SHOW CARD 56

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very well	24
2.	Quite well	636
3.	Not very well	469
4.	Not well at all	31
6.	DK/refusal	109
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
Variable Format: numeric

Q.57A CSES - Existence of party representing R's views

Would you say that any of the parties in Sweden represents your views reasonably well?

SHOW CARD 57A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	931
5.	No	281
6.	DK/refusal	57
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
Variable Format: numeric

Q.57B CSES - Party representing R's views

Which party represents your views best?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	96

2.	Social Democrats	389
3.	Centre Party	46
4.	People's Party Liberals	154
5.	Moderate Party	113
6.	Christian Democrats	71
7.	Green Party	27
9.	Other party	9
88.	DK/refusal	26
0.	INAP	1386
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 931 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.58A CSES - Existence of party leader representing R's views

Regardless of how you feel about the parties, would you say that any of the individual party leaders represents your views reasonably well?

SHOW CARD 56A

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	717
5.	No	426
6.	DK/refusal	126
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.58B CSES - Party leader representing R's views

Which party leader represents your views best?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Gudrun Schyman	93
2.	Göran Persson	288
3.	Maud Olofsson	37
4.	Lars Leijonborg	100
5.	Bo Lundgren	57
6.	Alf Svensson	103
7.	Peter Eriksson	5

8.	Maria Wetterstrand	9
9.	Other party leader	3
88.	DK/refusal	22
0.	INAP	1600
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 717 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.59A CSES - Party adherent

Do you usually think of yourself as close to any particular party?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	589
5.	No	651
6.	DK/refusal	29
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.59B CSES - Party preference 1

Which party is that?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	73
2.	Social Democrats	281
3.	Centre Party	28
4.	People's Party Liberals	65
5.	Moderate Party	81
6.	Christian Democrats	36
7.	Green Party	13
9.	Other party	2
88.	DK/refusal	10
0.	INAP	1728
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 589 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.59B CSES - Party preference 2*Second mentioned party*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	52
2.	Social Democrats	39
3.	Centre Party	9
4.	People's Party Liberals	49
5.	Moderate Party	11
6.	Christian Democrats	25
7.	Green Party	16
9.	Other party	7
55.	No second party preference	371
0.	INAP	1738
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-55

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 579 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 55

Variable Format: numeric

Q.59B CSES - Party preference 3*Third mentioned party*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	8
2.	Social Democrats	10
3.	Centre Party	14
4.	People's Party Liberals	9
5.	Moderate Party	3
6.	Christian Democrats	17
7.	Green Party	11
9.	Other party	2
55.	No third party preference	505
0.	INAP	1738
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-55

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 579 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 55

Variable Format: numeric

Q.59C CSES - Closest party

Which party do you feel closest to?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	28
2.	Social Democrats	92
3.	Centre Party	8
4.	People's Party Liberals	26
5.	Moderate Party	30
6.	Christian Democrats	12
7.	Green Party	9
9.	Other party	0
88.	DK/refusal	3
0.	INAP	2109
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 208 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.59D CSES - Close to party but not party adherent*Is there still any party that you feel somewhat closer to than the other parties?*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	463
5.	No	189
6.	DK/refusal	28
9.	MD	1164
0.	INAP	1637
8.	NA	307

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-9

Range of Invalid Data Values: 08

Summary Statistics: Valid 1844 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 9

Variable Format: numeric

Q.59E CSES - Party preference for not party adherent*Which party is that?*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	47
2.	Social Democrats	152
3.	Centre Party	25
4.	People's Party Liberals	98
5.	Moderate Party	63
6.	Christian Democrats	39
7.	Green Party	27

9.	Other party	6
88.	DK/refusal	6
0.	INAP	1854
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 463 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.59F CSES - Party identification for not party adherent

Do you feel very close to this party, fairly close or not very close?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very close	139
2.	Somewhat close	705
3.	Not very close	182
6.	DK/refusal	10
0.	INAP	1281
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1036 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60AA CSES - Opinion of the Centre Party

I would like to know what you think of each of our political parties. Could you rate the parties on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike a party and 10 means that you strongly like a party. If I come to a party you have not heard of, or you fell you do not know enough about, just say so.

The first party is the Centre Party

SHOW CARD 60

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	22
1.	1	15
2.	2	76
3.	3	160
4.	4	168
5.	5	378
6.	6	143
7.	7	112
8.	8	62
9.	9	14
10.	10 Likes strongly	18

88.	DK/refusal	101
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.60AB CSES - Opinion of the Moderate Party

I would like to know what you think of each of our political parties. Could you rate the parties on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike a party and 10 means that you strongly like a party. If I come to a party you have not heard of, or you fell you do not know enough about, just say so.

Moderate Party

SHOW CARD 60

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	160
1.	1	113
2.	2	141
3.	3	146
4.	4	117
5.	5	149
6.	6	96
7.	7	99
8.	8	98
9.	9	50
10.	10 Likes strongly	35
88.	DK/refusal	65
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.60AC CSES - Opinion of the Left Party

I would like to know what you think of each of our political parties. Could you rate the parties on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike a party and 10 means that you strongly like a party. If I come to a party you have not heard of, or you fell you do not know enough about, just say so.

Left Party

SHOW CARD 60

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	158
1.	1	84
2.	2	132
3.	3	138
4.	4	99
5.	5	150
6.	6	94
7.	7	119
8.	8	122
9.	9	61
10.	10 Likes strongly	39
88.	DK/refusal	73
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60AD CSES - Opinion of the People's Party Liberals

I would like to know what you think of each of our political parties. Could you rate the parties on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike a party and 10 means that you strongly like a party. If I come to a party you have not heard of, or you felt you do not know enough about, just say so.

People's Party Liberals

SHOW CARD 60

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	38
1.	1	26
2.	2	56
3.	3	108
4.	4	135
5.	5	240
6.	6	159
7.	7	155
8.	8	156
9.	9	91
10.	10 Likes strongly	32
88.	DK/refusal	73
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.60AE CSES - Opinion of the Social Democrats

I would like to know what you think of each of our political parties. Could you rate the parties on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike a party and 10 means that you strongly like a party. If I come to a party you have not heard of, or you fell you do not know enough about, just say so.

Social Democrats

SHOW CARD 60

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	29
1.	1	30
2.	2	50
3.	3	70
4.	4	93
5.	5	196
6.	6	113
7.	7	144
8.	8	204
9.	9	150
10.	10 Likes strongly	139
88.	DK/refusal	51
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.60AF CSES - Opinion of the Green Party

I would like to know what you think of each of our political parties. Could you rate the parties on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike a party and 10 means that you strongly like a party. If I come to a party you have not heard of, or you fell you do not know enough about, just say so.

Green Party

SHOW CARD 60

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	113
1.	1	101
2.	2	133
3.	3	130

4.	4	128
5.	5	239
6.	6	127
7.	7	102
8.	8	65
9.	9	29
10.	10 Likes strongly	30
88.	DK/refusal	72
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60AG CSES - Opinion of the Christian Democrats

I would like to know what you think of each of our political parties. Could you rate the parties on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means that you strongly dislike a party and 10 means that you strongly like a party. If I come to a party you have not heard of, or you felt you do not know enough about, just say so.

Christian Democrats

SHOW CARD 60

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	106
1.	1	87
2.	2	131
3.	3	131
4.	4	150
5.	5	192
6.	6	115
7.	7	98
8.	8	99
9.	9	52
10.	10 Likes strongly	23
88.	DK/refusal	85
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60BA CSES - Opinion of Maud Olofsson

We stay with the same scale, but I would now like to know how much you like or dislike some of our party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know or that you do not know enough about, just tell me so.

The first party leader is Maud Olofsson

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	32
1.	1	35
2.	2	89
3.	3	125
4.	4	127
5.	5	266
6.	6	132
7.	7	119
8.	8	115
9.	9	44
10.	10 Likes strongly	24
88.	DK/refusal	161
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60BB CSES - Opinion of Bo Lundgren

We stay with the same scale, but I would now like to know how much you like or dislike some of our party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know or that you do not know enough about, just tell me so.

Bo Lundgren

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	142
1.	1	102
2.	2	180
3.	3	177
4.	4	154
5.	5	174
6.	6	88
7.	7	66
8.	8	41
9.	9	26
10.	10 Likes strongly	14
88.	DK/refusal	105
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.60BC CSES - Opinion of Gudrun Schyman

We stay with the same scale, but I would now like to know how much you like or dislike some of our party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know or that you do not know enough about, just tell me so.

Gudrun Schyman

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	98
1.	1	80
2.	2	82
3.	3	129
4.	4	122
5.	5	160
6.	6	124
7.	7	151
8.	8	130
9.	9	61
10.	10 Likes strongly	65
88.	DK/refusal	67
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.60BD CSES - Opinion of Lars Leijonborg

We stay with the same scale, but I would now like to know how much you like or dislike some of our party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know or that you do not know enough about, just tell me so.

Lars Leijonborg

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	52
1.	1	43
2.	2	83
3.	3	116
4.	4	128
5.	5	201
6.	6	180
7.	7	184
8.	8	121
9.	9	48
10.	10 Likes strongly	26

88.	DK/refusal	87
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60BE CSES - Opinion of Göran Persson

We stay with the same scale, but I would now like to know how much you like or dislike some of our party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know or that you do not know enough about, just tell me so.

Göran Persson

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	32
1.	1	39
2.	2	56
3.	3	74
4.	4	101
5.	5	180
6.	6	126
7.	7	196
8.	8	181
9.	9	123
10.	10 Likes strongly	109
88.	DK/refusal	52
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60BF CSES - Opinion of Peter Eriksson

We stay with the same scale, but I would now like to know how much you like or dislike some of our party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know or that you do not know enough about, just tell me so.

Peter Eriksson

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	64
1.	1	73
2.	2	109
3.	3	131
4.	4	118

5.	5	190
6.	6	99
7.	7	73
8.	8	50
9.	9	14
10.	10 Likes strongly	24
88.	DK/refusal	324
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60BG CSES - Opinion of Maria Wetterstrand

We stay with the same scale, but I would now like to know how much you like or dislike some of our party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know or that you do not know enough about, just tell me so.

Maria Wetterstrand

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	0 Dislikes strongly	63
1.	1	54
2.	2	95
3.	3	125
4.	4	149
5.	5	190
6.	6	102
7.	7	71
8.	8	48
9.	9	17
10.	10 Likes strongly	22
88.	DK/refusal	333
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.60BH CSES - Opinion of Alf Svensson

We stay with the same scale, but I would now like to know how much you like or dislike some of our party leaders. If I mention a party leader you do not know or that you do not know enough about, just tell me so.

Alf Svensson

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

0.	0 Dislikes strongly	65
1.	1	54
2.	2	91
3.	3	106
4.	4	129
5.	5	206
6.	6	128
7.	7	135
8.	8	148
9.	9	76
10.	10 Likes strongly	49
88.	DK/refusal	82
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.61A CSES - Left-right scale: R's placement

In politics people sometimes talk of left and right. Where would you place yourself on a scale between 0 and 10, where 0 means the left and 10 means the right?

SHOW CARD 61

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Left	63
1.	1	63
2.	2	95
3.	3	147
4.	4	129
5.	5	246
6.	6	114
7.	7	160
8.	8	120
9.	9	26
10.	10 Right	36
88.	DK/refusal	70
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.61BA CSES - Left-right scale: People's Party Liberals

Where would you place the parties on the same scale?

People's Party Liberals?

SHOW CARD 61

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Left	1
1.	1	1
2.	2	5
3.	3	14
4.	4	37
5.	5	160
6.	6	305
7.	7	375
8.	8	163
9.	9	33
10.	10 Right	30
88.	DK/refusal	112
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	340
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1236 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.61BB CSES - Left-right scale: Social Democrats

Where would you place the parties on the same scale?

Social Democrats?

SHOW CARD 61

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Left	96
1.	1	82
2.	2	158
3.	3	223
4.	4	255
5.	5	212
6.	6	75
7.	7	30
8.	8	18
9.	9	9

10.	10 Right	8
88.	DK/refusal	73
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	337
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1239 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.61BC CSES - Left-right scale: Moderate Party

Where would you place the parties on the same scale?

Moderate Party?

SHOW CARD 61

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Left	3
1.	1	2
2.	2	5
3.	3	9
4.	4	15
5.	5	28
6.	6	30
7.	7	65
8.	8	165
9.	9	284
10.	10 Right	551
88.	DK/refusal	82
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	337
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1239 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.61BD CSES - Left-right scale: Left Party

Where would you place the parties on the same scale?

Left Party?

SHOW CARD 61

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Left	416

1.	1	307
2.	2	217
3.	3	136
4.	4	43
5.	5	29
6.	6	4
7.	7	4
8.	8	1
9.	9	2
10.	10 Right	2
88.	DK/refusal	80
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	335
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1241 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.61BE CSES - Left-right scale: Centre Party

Where would you place the parties on the same scale?

Centre Party?

SHOW CARD 61

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Left	2
1.	1	1
2.	2	12
3.	3	27
4.	4	59
5.	5	406
6.	6	369
7.	7	183
8.	8	60
9.	9	10
10.	10 Right	8
88.	DK/refusal	101
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	338
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1238 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.61BF CSES - Left-right scale: Green Party

Where would you place the parties on the same scale?

Green Party

SHOW CARD 61

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Left	36
1.	1	63
2.	2	121
3.	3	210
4.	4	276
5.	5	312
6.	6	57
7.	7	12
8.	8	10
9.	9	3
10.	10 Right	9
88.	DK/refusal	129
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	338
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1238 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.61BG CSES - Left-right scale: Christian Democrats

Where would you place the parties on the same scale?

Christian Democrats?

SHOW CARD 61

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Left	4
1.	1	5
2.	2	11
3.	3	29
4.	4	33
5.	5	100
6.	6	180
7.	7	283
8.	8	266
9.	9	137
10.	10 Right	85

88.	DK/refusal	105
90.	INAP	1048
96.	NA	338
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 1238 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

CSES - Expressed political view: Contacted politician

Over the past five years, have you done any of the following things to express your political views?

Contacted a politician either in person, or in writing, or some other way?

SHOW CARD 62

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	165
5.	No	1095
6.	DK/refusal	9
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

CSES - Expressed political view: Demonstration

Over the past five years, have you done any of the following things to express your political views?

62B Deltagit i en protestaktion eller demonstration?

SHOW CARD 62

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	127
5.	No	1130
6.	DK/refusal	12
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

CSES - Expressed political view: Worked together with people who share the same concern

Over the past five years, have you done any of the following things to express your political views?

62C *Samarbetat med människor med samma övertygelse som Du själv?*

SHOW CARD 62

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	178
5.	No	1073
6.	DK/refusal	18
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

CSES - Respect for individual freedom and human rights

How much respect is there for individual freedom and human rights in Sweden? Do you feel there is a lot of respect for individual freedom and human rights, some respect, not much respect, or no respect at all?

SHOW CARD 63

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	A lot of respect	140
2.	Some respect	823
3.	Not much respect	242
4.	No respect at all	13
5.	DK/refusal	51
0.	INAP	1048
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

CSES - Corruption amongst politicians in Sweden

How widespread is corruption, such as bribe taking, amongst politicians in Sweden - very widespread, fairly widespread, not very widespread, it hardly happens at all?

SHOW CARD 64

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very widespread	37
2.	Fairly widespread	240
3.	Not very widespread	637
4.	It hardly happens at all	201
5.	DK/refusal	154
0.	INAP	1048
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

CSES - Accepts responses communicated over the Internet

That was the final question in the set of international questions (questions 46-64). Responses to these questions will be used in international comparative research and will among other things be communicated via the Internet. All responses are de-identified. It will not be possible to find out the responses of any individual person. For formal legal reasons we must ask if you accept that your responses to these international questions, together with the responses of all other interviewees, are communicated over the Internet?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	1193
5.	No	76
0.	INAP	1048
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65A Labour market group

Finally a few questions about yourself.

I will begin with some questions about employment and work. To which of the groups on this card do you belong?

SHOW CARD 65 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Gainfully employed	1491
2.	In relief work/youth training/unemployment programme	9
3.	In labour market training course organised by AMS (Labour Market Board)	4
4.	In education supported by 'kunskapslyftet' (government-sponsored project)	3

5.	Unemployed	96
6.	Old age pensioner	386
7.	Pre-retirement age pensioner	107
8.	Domestic worker (housewife)	14
9.	Student	205
96.	NA	309
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-9
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2315 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 9
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65B Gainfully employed before

Have you been gainfully employed in the past?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	704
5.	No	86
6.	Does not want to answer	8
0.	INAP	1519
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 798 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65C Occupation

What is/was your occupation? (ADDED QUESTION TO CLARIFY OCCUPATION/TYPE OF WORK) What type of work do/did you mainly do?

QUESTION IS ASKED BOTH TO WORK ACTIVE AS WELL AS RESPONDENTS WHO HAVE BEEN WORK ACTIVE IN THE PAST. IF R HAS BEEN ACTIVE IN THE PAST, USE THE WORDS "WAS" AND "DID"

Value	Label	Frequency
11.	Tekniskt, kemiskt och fysikaliskt arbete: företagare/företag	14
14.	Tekniskt, kemiskt och fysikaliskt arbete: arkitekt- eller "	6
15.	Tekniskt, kemiskt och fysikaliskt arbete: övrigt arkitekt-,	77
16.	Tekniskt, kemiskt och fysikaliskt arbete: tekniker (närmast	95
17.	Tekniskt, kemiskt och fysikaliskt arbete: biträdespersonal (4
21.	Hälso- och sjukvårdsarbete: företagare med apoteksrörelse	3
22.	Hälso- och sjukvårdsarbete: övriga företagare - sjukgymnast	5
25.	Hälso- och sjukvårdsarbete: kvalificerat farmakologiskt, häl	4
26.	Hälso- och sjukvårdsarbete: ""sjukvårdstekniskt"" arbete när	46
27.	Hälso- och sjukvårdsarbete: biträdespersonal med rutinmässig	87
28.	Hälso- och sjukvårdsarbete: biträdespersonal vid sjukvårdsan	62

33.		1
34.	Pedagogiskt arbete: arbete som innefattar chefskap för under	9
35.	Pedagogiskt arbete: ämneslärare med akademisk eller motsvara	106
36.	Pedagogiskt arbete: klasslärare och övriga lärare	40
38.		1
42.	Litterärt och konstnärligt arbete: företagare (som själva de	3
43.	Litterärt och konstnärligt arbete: utövare av konstnärligt e	4
44.	Litterärt och konstnärligt arbete: yrkesutövare med ställnin	3
45.	Litterärt och konstnärligt arbete: övriga	6
47.	Litterärt och konstnärligt arbete: rutinmässigt tekniskt (bi	2
51.	Biologiskt arbete: företagare med kvalificerad biologisk utb	1
52.	Biologiskt arbete: kvalificerat arbete - forskning, rådgivni	4
56.	Biologiskt arbete: arbete på teknikernivå närmast under före	3
61.	Medicinskt och psykologiskt arbete, socialvårdsarbete: föret	8
65.	Medicinskt och psykologiskt arbete, socialvårdsarbete: Övrig	28
66.	Medicinskt och psykologiskt arbete, socialvårdsarbete: socia	33
75.	Personalmän m fl: personalman i företag eller myndighet, som	6
76.	Personalmän m fl: personalman som är assistent eller kurator	14
81.	Revisionsarbete, ekonomiskt-statistiskt utredningsarbete, ra	11
84.	Revisionsarbete, ekonomiskt-statistiskt utredningsarbete, ra	1
85.	Revisionsarbete, ekonomiskt-statistiskt utredningsarbete, ra	35
86.	Revisionsarbete, ekonomiskt-statistiskt utredningsarbete, ra	21
91.	Domstolsjurister, åklagare, advokater, högre polistjänstemän	1
94.	Domstolsjurister, åklagare, advokater, högre polistjänstemän	1
95.	Domstolsjurister, åklagare, advokater, högre polistjänstemän	3
115.	Religiöst arbete: övriga präster i statskyrkan	1
116.	Religiöst arbete: pastorer/predikanter i frikyrkosamfund/off	6
121.	Journalister, programtjänstemän i radio/TV: företagare inom	5
124.	Journalister, programtjänstemän i radio/TV: journalistisk yr	2
125.	Journalister, programtjänstemän i radio/TV: övriga med arbet	17
133.	Forsknings- och kvalificerat beräkningsarbete, biblioteks- a	2
134.	Forsknings- och kvalificerat beräkningsarbete, biblioteks- a	1
135.	Forsknings- och kvalificerat beräkningsarbete, biblioteks-,	10
136.	Forsknings- och kvalificerat beräkningsarbete, biblioteks-,	1
137.	Forsknings- och kvalificerat beräkningsarbete, biblioteks-,	4
155.	Administrativt arbete i statlig eller kommunal förvaltning i	15
156.	Administrativt arbete i statlig eller kommunal förvaltning i	22
164.	Administrativt arbete i statlig eller kommunal förvaltning i	6
165.	Administrativt arbete i statlig eller kommunal förvaltning i	20
166.	Administrativt arbete i statlig eller kommunal förvaltning i	13
171.	Administrativt arbete inom enskilt näringsliv och organisati	7
174.	Administrativt arbete inom enskilt näringsliv och organisati	19
175.	Administrativt arbete inom enskilt näringsliv och organisati	27
212.	Bokförings- och kassaarbete: företagare	2
216.	Bokförings- och kassaarbete: kvalificerat kontorsarbete; för	26
217.	Bokförings- och kassaarbete: maskinskrivning och annat allmä	35
226.	Stenografi- och maskinskrivningsarbete: kvalificerat kontors	5
227.	Stenografi- och maskinskrivningsarbete: maskinskrivning och	12

236.	Annat kontorsarbete: kvalificerat kontorsarbete; förmansfunk	32
237.	Annat kontorsarbete: maskinskrivning och annat allmänt konto	20
311.	Parti- och detaljhandelsföretag: företagare, företagsledare	5
312.	Parti- och detaljhandelsföretag: företagare/företagsledare m	29
314.	Parti- och detaljhandelsföretag: försäljnings/inköpsarbete s	16
315.	Parti- och detaljhandelsföretag: försäljnings/inköps/marknad	7
316.	Parti- och detaljhandelsföretag: arbetsledning som förman el	21
317.	Parti- och detaljhandelsföretag: bokhandelsmedhjälpare, deta	9
318.	Parti- och detaljhandelsföretag: biträdesarbete i affär	26
326.	Affärsbiträden m fl: arbetsledning som förman eller motsvara	2
327.	Affärsbiträden m fl: bokhandelsmedhjälpare, detaljhandelsför	3
328.	Affärsbiträden m fl: biträdesarbete i affär	53
332.	Partiförsäljning genom kundbesök, agenturarbete: företagare/	2
334.	Partiförsäljning genom kundbesök, agenturarbete: försäljning	1
336.	Partiförsäljning genom kundbesök, agenturarbete: arbetsledni	3
337.	Partiförsäljning genom kundbesök, agenturarbete: bokhandelsm	2
341.	Övrigt försäljningsarbete: företagare/företagsledare med stö	1
342.	Övrigt försäljningsarbete: företagare/företagsledare med min	13
344.	Övrigt försäljningsarbete: försäljnings-, inköpsarbete som c	10
345.	Övrigt försäljningsarbete: försäljnings/inköps/marknadsförin	17
346.	Övrigt försäljningsarbete: arbetsledning som förman eller mo	12
347.	Övrigt försäljningsarbete: bokhandelsmedhjälpare, detaljhand	10
348.	Övrigt försäljningsarbete: biträdesarbete i affär	8
412.	Lantbruks-, skogs- och trädgårdsledning: företagare/företags	23
415.	Lantbruks-, skogs- och trädgårdsledning: kvalificerat jordbr	2
416.	Lantbruks-, skogs- och trädgårdsledning: arbetsledare/teknik	1
418.	Lantbruks-, skogs- och trädgårdsledning: manuellt arbete	3
422.	Jordbruks- och trädgårdsarbete, djurskötsel: företagare/före	16
426.	Jordbruks- och trädgårdsarbete, djurskötsel: arbetsledare/te	2
428.	Jordbruks- och trädgårdsarbete, djurskötsel: manuellt arbete	11
442.	Skogsarbete: företagare/företagsledare	3
448.	Skogsarbete: manuellt arbete	9
452.	Fiskeriarbete: företagare/företagsledare	2
508.	Gruv- och stembrytningsarbete: manuellt arbete	2
612.	Motorfordonsförare, spårvägsförare: företagare med mindre fö	12
616.	Motorfordonsförare, spårvägsförare: förman	1
618.	Motorfordonsförare, spårvägsförare: övriga	51
635.	Sjöbefälsarbete, däck- och maskinmanskapsarbete: sjöbefäl m	4
638.	Sjöbefälsarbete, däck- och maskinmanskapsarbete: däcksmansk	4
644.	Transport- och kommunikationsarbete inom post, telegraf, jär	3
646.	Transport- och kommunikationsarbete inom post, telegraf, jär	12
647.	Transport- och kommunikationsarbete inom post, telegraf, jär	21
648.	Transport- kommunikationsarbete inom post, telegraf, järnväg	15
652.	Övrigt transport- och kommunikationsarbete: företagare med m	7
656.	Övrigt transport- och kommunikationsarbete: förman/arbetsled	4
658.	Övrigt transport- och kommunikationsarbete: manuellt arbete	3
712.	Textil- och sömnadsarbete: företagare med mindre företag	3
718.	Textil- och sömnadsarbete: manuellt arbete	11

728.	Sko- och läderarbete: manuellt arbete	2
732.	Järnbruks-, metallverks-, smides- och gjuteriarbete: företag	4
736.	Järnbruks-, metallverks-, smides- och gjuteriarbete: förman/	3
738.	Järnbruks-, metallverks-, smides- och gjuteriarbete: manuell	34
742.	Verkstads- och byggnadsmetallarbete: företagare med mindre f	8
746.	Verkstads- och byggnadsmetallarbete: förman/arbetsledare	13
748.	Verkstads- och byggnadsmetallarbete: manuellt arbete	84
752.	Elektroarbete: företagare med mindre företag	4
756.	Elektroarbete: förman/arbetsledare	3
758.	Elektroarbete: manuellt arbete	23
762.	Träarbete: företagare med mindre företag	5
766.	Träarbete: förman/arbetsledare	3
768.	Träarbete: manuellt arbete	33
772.	Målnings- och lackeringsarbete: företagare med mindre företa	5
776.	Målnings- och lackeringsarbete: förman/arbetsledare	1
778.	Målnings- och lackeringsarbete: manuellt arbete	7
782.	Mureri- och betongarbete: företagare med mindre företag	7
786.	Mureri- och betongarbete: förman/arbetsledare	4
788.	Mureri- och betongarbete: manuellt arbete	11
802.	Livsmedelsarbete: företagare med mindre företag	2
806.	Livsmedelsarbete: förman/arbetsledare	1
808.	Livsmedelsarbete: manuellt arbete	17
816.	Kemiskt och cellulosatekniskt arbete: förman/arbetsledare	2
818.	Kemiskt och cellulosatekniskt arbete: manuellt arbete	12
822.	Övrigt tillverkningsarbete: företagare med mindre företag	1
826.	Övrigt tillverkningsarbete: förman/arbetsledare	1
828.	Övrigt tillverkningsarbete: manuellt arbete	4
838.	Grov- och diversearbete: manuellt arbete	9
842.	Maskin- och motorskötsel: företagare med mindre företag	6
846.	Maskin- och motorskötsel: förman/arbetsledare	4
848.	Maskin- och motorskötsel: manuellt arbete	30
852.	Finmekaniskt arbete: företagare med mindre företag	2
856.	Finmekaniskt arbete: förman/arbetsledare	1
858.	Finmekaniskt arbete: manuellt arbete	1
862.	Grafiskt arbete: företagare med mindre företag	1
866.	Grafiskt arbete: förman/arbetsledare	3
876.	Paketerings- och emballagearbete samt stuveri-, lager- och f	3
878.	Paketerings- och emballagearbete samt stuveri-, lager- och f	17
916.	Civilt bevaknings- och skyddsarbete: förman/arbetsledare	2
917.	Civilt bevaknings- och skyddsarbete: brandman	3
918.	Civilt bevaknings- och skyddsarbete: övrigt bevakningspersona	5
922.	Husligt arbete, portierarbete: företagare med mindre företag	9
926.	Husligt arbete, portierarbete: förman/arbetsledare	3
927.	Husligt arbete, portierarbete: portier, trafik/flygvärdinna,	16
928.	Husligt arbete, portierarbete: (manuellt) biträdesarbete	52
932.	Fastighetsskötsel, städning: företagare med mindre företag	1
936.	Fastighetsskötsel, städning: förman/arbetsledare	3
938.	Fastighetsskötsel, städning: (manuellt) servicearbete	47

942.	Övrigt servicearbete: företagare med mindre företag	7
946.	Övrigt servicearbete: förman/arbetsledare; även sportledare	2
947.	Övrigt servicearbete: fotograf	5
948.	Övrigt servicearbete: övrigt (manuellt) servicearbete	34
956.	Polismän, tullbevakningspersonal, vaktkonstaplar m fl: övrig	5
957.	Polismän, tullbevakningspersonal, vaktkonstaplar m fl: polis	7
958.	Polismän, tullbevakningspersonal, vaktkonstaplar m fl: vaktp	4
966.	Hemsysstrar m fl: föreståndare	3
967.	Hemsysstrar m fl: hemsysstrar, barnsköterska vid daghem/barnhe	26
968.	Hemsysstrar m fl: hemsamarit, åldringsvårdarinna	59
976.	Serveringsarbete: förman/arbetsledare	1
978.	Serveringsarbete: annan serveringspersonal, även barbiträde	20
985.	Militärt arbete: övriga officerare (befattning under överste	3
986.	Militärt arbete: underofficerare	1
988.	DK/refusal	1
996.	NA	402
999.	MD	1163

Range of Valid Data Values: 11-988

Range of Invalid Data Values: 996999

Summary Statistics: Valid 2223 ; Min. 11 ; Max. 988

Variable Format: numeric

Q.65D Full time or part time work

On average, how many hours do/did you work per week?

SHOW CARD 65D

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Full time	1773
2.	Part time, at least 15 hours a week	403
3.	Part time, less than 15 hours a week	45
6.	Does not want to answer	1
0.	INAP	94
8.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 2222 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.65E Main activity at workplace

What is/was the main activity at your workplace?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agriculture, hunting	53

2.	Forestry	15
3.	Fiske, fiskevård	2
11.	Mining of coal	3
12.	Råpetroleum- och naturgasutvinning	3
13.	Mining of ores	2
19.	Other mining and quarrying	2
21.	Manufacture of food products, beverages and tobacco products	32
22.	Manufacture of textiles, wearing apparel, tanning and dressi	18
23.	Manufacture of wood and wood products	52
24.	Manufacture of paper and paper products	19
25.	Publishing, printing and reproduction of recorded media	26
26.	Tillverkning av kemiska produkter, petroleumprodukter, gummi	37
33.	Verkstadsvarutillverkning	161
34.	Manufacture of electrical machinery and apparatus	32
35.	Byggande och reparation av fartyg, båtar	6
39.	Other manufacture	8
41.	Electricity and gas supply	21
42.	Water supply	1
51.	Construction	87
52.	Värme-, ventilations- och sanitetsarbeten	13
53.	Elektrisk installation	23
61.	Partihandel och varuförmedling	61
62.	Partihandel med transportmedel, bränsle och drivmedel, varuf	3
63.	Detaljhandel	124
64.	Bil- och drivmedelsdetaljhandel	25
65.	Hotels and restaurants	62
71.	Samfärdsel	64
72.	Personbilstransport, lastbils- och annan vägtransport	29
73.	Water transport	19
74.	Air transåport	12
75.	Post and telecommunications	46
81.	Bank- och annan finansverksamhet	33
82.	Försäkringsverksamhet	12
83.	Fastighetsförvaltning och -förmedling, uppdragsverksamhet	109
85.	Teknisk uppdragsverksamhet	37
87.	Offentlig förvaltning och brandskyddsverksamhet	114
88.	Försvarsverksamhet, polisverksamhet	28
89.	Renings-, renhållnings- och rengöringsverksamhet	24
90.	Undervisning, forskning, sjukvård mm	202
91.	Research and development	4
92.	Naturvetenskaplig forskning, teknologisk forskning- och utve	31
93.	Hälso- och sjukvård, veterinärverksamhet, socialvård	431
94.	Intresseorganisationer, ideella och kulturella organisatione	26
95.	Arbetsgivare- och arbetstagarorganisationer	2
96.	Politiska organisationer	1
97.	Recreational, cultural and sporting activities	48
98.	Reparations-, tvätteri- och annan serviceverksamhet	30
0.	INAP	94

996.	NA	337
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-98
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 996-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2193 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 98
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65F Occupational group

Could you tell me which of the following groups you belong/belonged to?

SHOW CARD 65F. THE WORDS "YOU BELONGED TO" ARE USED IF R HAS BEEN WORK ACTIVE IN THE PAST. THE QUESTION SHOULD THEN REFER TO R'S MOST RECENT OCCUPATION

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Salaried employee	658
2.	Salaried employee with supervisory responsibilities	295
3.	Salaried employee at managerial level	40
4.	Worker	826
5.	Worker with supervisory responsibilities	144
6.	Self-employed worker	11
7.	Farmer: no employees	28
8.	Farmer: one or more employees	7
9.	Self-employed: no employees	94
10.	Self-employed: 1-9 employees	77
11.	Self-employed: 10 or more employees	20
88.	Refusal	23
0.	INAP	86
96.	NA	315
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2223 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65F Permanently employed

Are/were you permanently employed?

ADDED QUESTION FOR EMPLOYEES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	1661
5.	No	287
6.	Other answer	8
7.	DK/refusal	2
0.	INAP	346

8.	NA	320
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-7
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1958 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 7
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65F Own business beside employment

Do/did you have your own business besides your employment?

ADDED QUESTION FOR EMPLOYEES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	127
5.	No	1829
6.	DK/refusal	2
0.	INAP	346
8.	NA	320
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1958 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65F Other work beside regular employment

Do/did you regularly work with something else besides your regular employment?

ADDED QUESTION FOR EMPLOYEES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	226
5.	No	1730
6.	DK/refusal	2
0.	INAP	346
8.	NA	320
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1958 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65G Employed by public or private employer

Are/were you employed by the state (central government), local government, regional government or by a

private employer?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	State/central government	241
2.	Local government	521
3.	Regional government	115
4.	Private	1336
6.	Does not want to answer	10
0.	INAP	86
8.	NA	315
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 2223 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.65H Assessment of personal health*In general, how would you assess your personal health? You may answer using the scale on this card*

SHOW CARD 65H

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Very poor	14
1.		23
2.		34
3.		77
4.		77
5.		186
6.		82
7.		239
8.		587
9.		399
10.	Very good	582
88.	DK/refusal	16
96.	NA	308
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.65I On sick leave past 12 months*Have you been on sick leave from work at any time during the past 12 months?*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	721

2.	No	1108
8.	DK/refusal	6
5.	INAP	789
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 59
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1835 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.65J Total length of sick leave past 12 months

For how long have you been on sick leave from work during the past 12 months?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Altogether for a few days up to 1 week	310
2.	Altogether for 1 week to 1 month	214
3.	Altogether for 1 to 6 months	115
4.	Altogether for 6 to 12 months	37
5.	For more than 12 months	40
8.	DK/refusal	5
0.	INAP	1903
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 721 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.66A Student

Are you currently studying for a degree or a vocational qualification?

NB! R WHO ANSWERED "STUDENT" ON Q.63A SHOULD ONLY BE ASKED THE ADDED QUESTION

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	309
5.	No	2000
8.	Does not want to answer	8
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.66A Full time or part time studies

Are you studying full time or part time?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, full time	207
2.	Yes, part time	99
6.	Does not want to answer	3
0.	INAP	2008
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 309 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.66B Education*66B Vilken skolutbildning har Du genomgått/Vilken skolutbildning genomgår Du? (TILLÄGGSPRÅGA:) Har Du någon annan praktisk eller teoretisk utbildning?*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Primary school: 6 or 7 year primary/secondary school (old system)	272
2.	Comprehensive school: 8 or 9 year comprehensive school (current system)	185
3.	Vocational school: Various forms of vocational and apprentice education received in publicly organised schools, 1 year trade college	182
4.	Secondary school: 2 year secondary school (old system), total: 9 years education	102
5.	Secondary/high school: 2 year secondary school (current system), total: 11 years education	339
6.	Upper secondary/high school: 3 or 4 year secondary school (current system), total: 12 years education. No degree, studying at this level	126
7.	Upper secondary/high school: As above. Completed degree	392
8.	University: No degree, studying at this level	181
9.	University: Completed degree/continued education	529
88.	DK/refusal	9
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.67A Member of trade union*Are you a member of any trade union, organisation for business owners or any other professional, vocational or business organisation or association?*

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

1.	Yes	1486
5.	No	819
8.	Refusal	12
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.67A Trade union

(ADDED QUESTION IF R ANSWERS YES) Of which organisation are you a member?

DO NOT ENTER ABBREVIATIONS, BUT THE WHOLE NAME OF THE ORGANISATION. IF R MENTIONS THE NAME OF A PEAK LEVEL TRADE UNION CONFEDERATION (LO, TCO OR SACO), ASK FOR AND ENTER THE NAME OF THE UNION. FARMERS ORGANISATIONS TO BE COUNTED AS BUSINESS ASSOCIATION.

Value	Label	Frequency	
81.	Swedish Air Line Pilots Association	1	
82.	Fackförbundet Ledarna (Tidigare TCO)	18	
100.	LO, utan uppgift om förbund (även FCO)	9	
101.	Swedish Industrial Workers' Union	39	
103.	Building Workers' Union	46	The Building Workers' Union was set up in 1949 by the merger of several smaller professional national unions. Organises workers within the building sector, for example carpenters, concrete workers and wood workers at building sites.
104.	Electricians' Union	12	The Electricians' Union was founded in 1906 and organises, above all, those employed by electric installation companies, electric contractors and building companies as well as electricians within private energy companies.
106.	Building Maintenance Workers' Union	9	The Building Maintenance Workers' Union was founded in 1936 and organises, in the first place, cleaners and caretakers in private cleaning companies and those employed by different private landlords.
107.	Försäkringsanställdas förbund	6	
108.	Graphic Workers' Union	4	The Graphic Workers' Union was founded in 1973 by merging several

- smaller professional unions. The members work in printing offices, composing rooms, reproduction companies and newspapers.
109. Commercial Employees' Union 53 The Commercial Employees' Union organises, among others, those working in shops, department stores and stock rooms.
110. Hotel and Restaurant Workers' Union 19 The Hotel and Restaurant Workers' Union was founded in 1918 and organises employees within hotels, restaurants and the tourist industry.
111. Municipal Workers' Union 227 The Municipal Workers' Union was founded in 1910 and organises those working within the municipalities and county councils. Here you find employees within care and treatment, for example assistant nurses and childminders, as well as those caring for parks and streets, public baths and sports grounds, public purification and sewerage plants. The Municipal Workers' Union is the biggest union within the LO and the majority of the members are women.
113. Food Workers' Union 17 The Food Workers' Union was founded in 1896 and organises employees in different food manufacturing industries, for example slaughterhouses, mills and deep-frozen food production.
114. Swedish Metalworkers' Union 107
115. Musicians' Union 1 The Musicians' Union was founded in 1907 and has members at many different kinds of workplaces, for example musicians in symphony orchestras and dance orchestras, but also employees within culture activities and show business.
116. Painters' Union 6
117. Paper Workers' Union 9 The Paper Workers' Union was founded in 1920 and organises workers in paper and pulp mills.
118. Forest and Wood Workers' Union 14 The Forest and Wood Workers' Union which has members within the forest and wood technological industries, was founded in 1998 and is a merger between the Wood Workers' Union and the Forest Workers Union.
120. Union for Service and Communications Employees 40 The Union for Service and Communications Employees is the third biggest LO union and organises employees of nine different sectors within the private as well as the public sectors. Among those sectors are telecommunications/IT, postal

		service, public administration, transport (shipping), correctional treatment, energy, defence and roads and railways.
121.	Transport Workers' Union	23 The Transport Workers' Union was founded in 1897 and organises groups within the transport sector, among others truck drivers, taxi drivers and bus drivers.
198.	Hamnarbetarförbundet	1
199.	Sveriges arbetares centralorganisation (SAC); även syndikalisterna, lokala samorganisationer, LS eller federationer inom SAC	4
200.	TCO, utan uppgift om förbund	3
201.	(Sveriges arbetsledarförbund)	1
202.	Union of Financial Sector Employees	5 The Union of Financial Sector Employees organises employees in the banking and finance sectors.
203.	Union of Chemist's Employees	2 The Union of Chemist's Employees organises all personnel working at chemists shops.
206.	Union of Civilian Employees in the Defence Forces	1 The Union of Civilian Employees in the Defence Forces organises non-military personnel working for authorities and organisations relating to the defence forces.
207.	Union of Insurance Employees	4 The Union of Insurance Employees organises employees in insurance companies and closely-related banks.
208.	Salaried Employees Union	71 The Salaried Employees Union HTF organises white-collar workers in the private trade, transport and service sectors.
209.	Swedish Association of Health Officers	29 The Swedish Association of Health Officers organises nurses, midwives, radiological nurses and biomedical analysts.
210.	Swedish Union of Clerical and Technical Employees in Industry	156 The Swedish Union of Clerical and Technical Employees in Industry organises qualified employees in the industrial, construction, property, IT, media, energy and consulting sectors, and also has some self-employed members.
211.	Swedish Union of Journalists	6 The Swedish Union of Journalists organises newspaper, magazine, radio and television journalists.
212.	Swedish Union of Local Government Officers	94 The Swedish Union of Local Government Officers organises white-collar workers employed by the municipalities and county councils (or companies owned by them) or the

		church.
213.	Swedish Teachers' Union	92 The Swedish Teachers' Union organises teachers throughout the education system from preschool to university level.
214.	Union of Swedish Policemen	10 The Union of Swedish Policemen organises police officers.
216.	Union of Civil Servants	40 The Union of Civil Servants organises employees in the civil service, public utilities and corporations and state-funded foundations.
217.	Swedish Union of Theatrical Employees	5 The Swedish Union of Theatrical Employees organises actors, artists, technicians and administrative personnel working in the theatre, film, radio and television fields and other closely-related areas.
218.	Swedish Union of Customs' and Coastguards Officers	3 The Swedish Union of Customs' and Coastguards Officers organises employees working for the Swedish Customs and Swedish Coastguards.
300.	SACO/SR, utan uppgift om förbund	10
301.	Agrifack - Academics in agriculture, forestry, garden, environment and nutrition	3
302.	Swedish Association of Architects	1 The Swedish Association of Architects is a professional organization for architects, interior architects, landscape architects and spatial planners.
303.	Civilekonomerna	9
304.	DIK Association	9 The DIK Association is a professional association and a trade union for university graduates in the fields of documentation, information and culture.
305.	(tidigare föreningen Sveriges fögderichefer)	1
306.	Ingenjörssförbundet	5
307.	Jusek	32 Jusek is a Swedish Association of Graduates in Law, Business Administration and Economics, Computer and Systems Science, Personnel Management and Social Science.
308.	Swedish Association of Registered Physiotherapists	3
309.	National Union of Teachers in Sweden	31 The National Union of Teachers in Sweden founded in 1884 organizes teachers employed in primary, secondary and adult education.
311.	SRAT	1

312.	Swedish Association of School Principals and Directors of Education	2	
315.	Association of Church of Sweden Employees	2	
316.	Swedish Association of Graduate Engineers	23	
317.	Swedish Pharmaceutical Association	2	
320.	Swedish Medical Association	14	The Swedish Medical Association is the union and professional organisation for medical practitioners.
321.	Swedish Association of Scientists	6	The members of the Swedish Association of Scientists represent a wide range of professions. For example: biologists, chemists, forestry graduates, geologists, mathematicians, meteorologists, physicists, and statisticians. Other members work with computer science, environmental issues and life science.
322.	Swedish Psychological Association	4	
323.	Akademikerförbundet SSR	17	
324.	Swedish Dental Association	5	
325.	Swedish Association of University Teachers	6	
326.	Swedish Veterinary Association	1	
327.	(Lotsförbundet)	1	
328.	Swedish Association of Occupational Therapists	3	
331.	Officersförbundet (obs se 83)	2	
400.	Företagare - eller arbetsgivareorganisationer (med eller uta	55	
500.	Icke-facklig organisation för fria yrkesutövare och/eller pe	6	
610.	LRF; även: Jordbrukareungdomens förbund (JUF)	20	
776.	Fristående arbetstagarorganisation	4	
777.	Other organisation	7	
888.	Does not want to answer	23	
992.	No trade union organisation	819	
996.	NA	310	
999.	MD	1164	

Range of Valid Data Values: 81-992
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 996999
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2314 ; Min. 81 ; Max. 992
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.68 Member of trade union - other person in household

Is any other person in your household a member of a trade union?

Q.68 NOT ASKED IN STAGE A.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	626
5.	No	475
6.	No, lives alone	136
7.	Refusal	32
0.	INAP	1048
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-7
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1269 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 7
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.69A Member of political party or organisation

Are you a member of a political party or any other political organisation or association?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	171
5.	No	2134
8.	DK/refusal	12
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.69A Political party or organisation

(ADDED QUESTION IF YES) Of which party or political organisation or association are you a member?

ENTER THE NAMES OF THE PARTY/ORGANISATIONS MENTIONED BY R. MORE THAN ONE ORGANISATION POSSIBLE.

Value	Label	Frequency
10.	Local party	1

11.	Left Party	8
12.	Social Democrats	65
13.	Centre Party	27
14.	People's Party Liberals	6
15.	Moderate party	35
16.	Christian Democrats	10
17.	Green Party	3
20.	Other non-communist party	4
23.	Center Women	1
31.	Young Left	1
70.	Other organizations	7
74.	Campaign organization No to EU	1
0.	INAP	2146
96.	NA	309
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 10-74

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 169 ; Min. 10 ; Max. 74

Variable Format: numeric

Q.69B Active member of political party or organisation

Are you an active member of (... PARTY/POLITICAL ORGANISATION/ASSOCIATION)? By active I mean attending meetings a few times per year.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, active	72
5.	No, not active	98
6.	DK/refusal	1
0.	INAP	2146
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 171 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.70A Church attendance

How often do you usually attend services or meetings in the Church of Sweden, a Free Church or any other church or denomination?

SHOW CARD 70A AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES. RADIO, TV, HOSPITAL SERVICES, ETC., ALSO TO BE COUNTED AS SERVICES.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Every week	53
2.	2 or more times a month	59

3.	Once a month	63
4.	2-11 times a year	565
5.	Once a year	578
6.	Never	988
7.	DK/refusal	11
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-7
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 89
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 7
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.70B Church or denomination

Do you usually go to the Church of Sweden, to a Free Church or to any other church or denomination?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Church of Sweden	1142
2.	Free Church	98
3.	Other church or denomination	41
5.	DK/refusal	6
7.	Other answer	31
0.	INAP	999
8.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-7
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1318 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 7
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.71 Religious faith

Generally speaking, how religious would you say you are?

SHOW CARD 71

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very religious	78
2.	Fairly religious	424
3.	Not particularly religious	1133
4.	Have no religious faith	648
8.	DK/refusal	34
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
Variable Format: numeric

Q.72 Marital status

Concerning your marital status, which of the designations on this card describes your situation most adequately?

SHOW CARD 72 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Married/living permanently with partner	1538
2.	Single: widowed	105
3.	Single: divorced	205
4.	Single: never married	454
5.	Other answer: live-aparts	10
8.	DK/refusal	5
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
Variable Format: numeric

Q.73 Partner: Occupational group

Could you tell me to which of the following groups your husband/wife/partner belongs/belonged to?

USE THE EXPRESSION "YOUR HUSBAND/WIFE/PARTNER BELONGED TO" IF HUSBAND/WIFE/PARTNER HAS BEEN WORK ACTIVE IN THE PAST. Q. SHOULD THEN REFER TO THE MOST RECENT OCCUPATION. SHOW CARD 73

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Salaried employee	430
2.	Salaried employee with supervisory responsibilities	208
3.	Salaried employee at managerial level	37
4.	Worker	587
5.	Worker with supervisory responsibilities	68
6.	Self-employed worker	12
7.	Farmer: no employees	24
8.	Farmer: one or more employees	5
9.	Self-employed: no employees	78
10.	Self-employed: 1-9 employees	74
11.	Self-employed: 10 or more employees	18
20.	Other answer: Never gainfully employed	2
25.	Other answer: Unemployed	6
26.	Other answer: Retired	15
27.	Other answer: Early retirement pension	12

28.	Other answer: Working ain the home	6
29.	Other answer: Student	46
88.	Refusal	25
0.	INAP	664
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 1653 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.74 Subjective class belonging

If you were to describe your current family, i.e. the household to which you belong, which of the following categories would you say is the most appropriate?

SHOW CARD 74 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Working class family	1076
2.	Salaried employee family	612
3.	Well off salaried employee family/university scholar family	290
4.	Farming family	62
5.	Business family	181
8.	DK/refusal	96
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Q.75A Place where respondent lived as a child

Where did you for the most part live as a child? You may answer using the alternatives on this card.

SHOW CARD 75 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Countryside in Sweden	587
2.	Built up area in Sweden	623
3.	Town or city (except three cities below) in Sweden	618
4.	Stockholm, Göteborg or Malmö	355
5.	Othre Nordic country	21
6.	Other European country outside the Nordic region	49
7.	Country outside of Europe	52
88.	DK/refusal	12

96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.75B Place where father lived as a child

Where did your father for the most part live as a child. You may answer using the alternatives on this card.

OTHER PERSON IN THE ROLE OF FATHER TO BE REGARDED AS FATHER. SHOW CARD 75 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Countryside in Sweden	921
2.	Built up area in Sweden	477
3.	Town or city (except three cities below) in Sweden	403
4.	Stockholm, Göteborg or Malmö	244
5.	Othre Nordic country	55
6.	Other European country outside the Nordic region	104
7.	Country outside of Europe	76
88.	DK/refusal	37
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.75C Place where mother lived as a child

Where did your mother for the most part live as a child. You may answer using the alternatives on this card.

OTHER PERSON IN THE ROLE OF MOTHER TO BE REGARDED AS MOTHER. SHOW CARD 75 AND READ OUT ALTERNATIVES

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Countryside in Sweden	901
2.	Built up area in Sweden	520
3.	Town or city (except three cities below) in Sweden	403
4.	Stockholm, Göteborg or Malmö	238
5.	Othre Nordic country	76
6.	Other European country outside the Nordic region	90
7.	Country outside of Europe	76
88.	DK/refusal	13

96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.76A Current home

R's current home

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Farm or isolated countryside house	307
2.	Detached house or row house in residential area	1050
3.	Flat in high rise area	272
4.	Flat in non-high rise area	665
5.	Other residence	20
8.	Refusal	3
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.76B Rents or owns current home

Do you, or someone else in your household own or rent the property where you currently live?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Rents the property	712
2.	Owns the property (including membership of property association, bostadsrätt)	1588
8.	Does not want to answer	16
6.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.77A Language spoken in home

What language is usually spoken in your household?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Swedish	2222
2.	Other language (state which)	86

8.	DK/refusal	8
6.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.77B Language skills: English

Here is a question about language skills. Could you read a simple text in any of the following languages?

Could you read a simple text English?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, without difficulty	1772
2.	Yes, with some difficulty	285
5.	No	249
8.	DK/refusal	10
6.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.77B Language skills: German

Here is a question about language skills. Could you read a simple text in any of the following languages?

Could you read a simple text German?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, without difficulty	546
2.	Yes, with some difficulty	679
5.	No	1078
8.	DK/refusal	13
6.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.77B Language skills: French

Here is a question about language skills. Could you read a simple text in any of the following languages?

Could you read a simple text French?

Value	Label	Frequency
-------	-------	-----------

1.	Yes, without difficulty	115
2.	Yes, with some difficulty	301
5.	No	1888
8.	DK/refusal	12
6.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.77B Language skills: Spanish

Here is a question about language skills. Could you read a simple text in any of the following languages?

Could you read a simple text Spanish?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, without difficulty	90
2.	Yes, with some difficulty	207
5.	No	2005
8.	DK/refusal	14
6.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Children living in the household

Are there any children below 18 living in your household?

IF R ANSWERS YES, ASK FOR THE AGE AND GENDER OF EACH CHILD, AND ENTER THE DETAILS

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	790
5.	No	1520
8.	Does not want to answer	308
6.	NA	6
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2618 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Number of children living in the household

Number of children in the household

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No	1520
1.	Yes, 1 child	305
2.	Yes, 2 children	324
3.	Yes, 3 children	121
4.	Yes, 4 children	29
5.	Yes, 5 children	8
6.	Yes, 6 children	1
7.	Does not want to answer	6
8.	NA	310
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-7

Range of Invalid Data Values: 89

Summary Statistics: Valid 2314 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 7

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 1 living in household: Gender*Child 1 living in household: Gender*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Boy	438
2.	Girl	350
6.	Refusal	6
0.	INAP	1520
8.	NA	310
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 794 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 1 living in household: Age*Child 1 living in household: Age*

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Less than 1 year	19
1.	1 year	39
2.	2 years	34
3.	3 years	23
4.	4 years	27
5.	5 years	32
6.	6 years	33
7.	7 years	38

8.	8 years	29
9.	9 years	33
10.	10 years	39
11.	11 years	55
12.	12 years	75
13.	13 years	46
14.	14 years	59
15.	15 years	61
16.	16 years	67
17.	17 years	78
88.	Refusal	6
90.	INAP	1520
96.	NA	311
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 793 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 2 living in household: Gender

Child 2 living in household: Gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Boy	243
2.	Girl	240
6.	Refusal	6
0.	INAP	1520
8.	NA	615
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 489 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 2 living in household: Age

Child 2 living in household: Age

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Less than 1 year	14
1.	1 year	14
2.	2 years	25
3.	3 years	29
4.	4 years	23
5.	5 years	28
6.	6 years	24
7.	7 years	12

8.	8 years	36
9.	9 years	38
10.	10 years	44
11.	11 years	43
12.	12 years	36
13.	13 years	35
14.	14 years	37
15.	15 years	25
16.	16 years	11
17.	17 years	8
88.	Refusal	6
90.	INAP	1520
96.	NA	616
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 488 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 3 living in household: Gender

Child 3 living in household: Gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Boy	73
2.	Girl	86
6.	Refusal	6
0.	INAP	1520
8.	NA	939
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 165 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 3 living in household: Age

Child 3 living in household: Age

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Less than 1 year	3
1.	1 year	11
2.	2 years	6
3.	3 years	13
4.	4 years	10
5.	5 years	12
6.	6 years	14
7.	7 years	12

8.	8 years	7
9.	9 years	13
10.	10 years	17
11.	11 years	11
12.	12 years	7
13.	13 years	7
14.	14 years	3
15.	15 years	4
16.	16 years	2
17.	17 years	7
88.	Refusal	6
90.	INAP	1520
96.	NA	939
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 165 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 4 living in household: Gender

Child 4 living in household: Gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Boy	15
2.	Girl	23
6.	Refusal	6
0.	INAP	1520
8.	NA	1060
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 44 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 4 living in household: Age

Child 4 living in household: Age

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Less than 1 year	2
1.	1 year	2
2.	2 years	2
3.	3 years	0
4.	4 years	3
5.	5 years	4
6.	6 years	3
7.	7 years	2

8.	8 years	4
9.	9 years	3
10.	10 years	4
11.	11 years	1
12.	12 years	2
13.	13 years	2
14.	14 years	1
15.	15 years	3
16.	16 years	0
17.	17 years	0
88.	Refusal	6
90.	INAP	1520
96.	NA	1060
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 44 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 5 living in household: Gender

Child 5 living in household: Gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Boy	6
2.	Girl	3
6.	Refusal	6
0.	INAP	1520
8.	NA	1089
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 15 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 5 living in household: Age

Child 5 living in household: Age

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Less than 1 year	2
1.	1 year	0
2.	2 years	0
3.	3 years	1
4.	4 years	0
5.	5 years	1
6.	6 years	2
7.	7 years	0

8.	8 years	0
9.	9 years	0
10.	10 years	0
11.	11 years	1
12.	12 years	1
13.	13 years	0
14.	14 years	0
15.	15 years	0
16.	16 years	1
17.	17 years	0
88.	Refusal	6
90.	INAP	1520
96.	NA	1089
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 15 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 6 living in household: Gender

Child 6 living in household: Gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Boy	1
2.	Girl	0
6.	Refusal	6
0.	INAP	1520
8.	NA	1097
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 7 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Q.78 Child 6 living in household: Age

Child 6 living in household: Age

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Less than 1 year	1
1.	1 year	0
2.	2 years	0
3.	3 years	0
4.	4 years	0
5.	5 years	0
6.	6 years	0
7.	7 years	0

8.	8 years	0
9.	9 years	0
10.	10 years	0
11.	11 years	0
12.	12 years	0
13.	13 years	0
14.	14 years	0
15.	15 years	0
16.	16 years	0
17.	17 years	0
88.	Refusal	6
90.	INAP	1520
96.	NA	1097
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 7 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Place of living

Where does R live?

INTERVIEWER'S NOTE AFTER COMPLETED INTERVIEW

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Countryside	381
2.	Small town or village	516
3.	Suburb of large town or city	437
4.	Large town or city	978
8.	Refuse	4
6.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Length of interview

Length of interview in minutes

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	Less than 1 minute	3
2.		4
3.		96
4.		70

5.	68
6.	22
7.	21
8.	12
9.	1
10.	15
11.	1
13.	1
14.	2
15.	22
16.	5
17.	10
18.	11
19.	1
20.	58
22.	3
23.	3
25.	46
26.	6
27.	2
28.	3
29.	1
30.	34
34.	3
35.	28
36.	2
38.	5
39.	1
40.	46
41.	3
42.	5
43.	6
44.	4
45.	116
46.	3
47.	5
48.	2
49.	4
50.	166
51.	2
52.	6
53.	7
54.	3
55.	162
56.	9
57.	13
58.	15
59.	5

60.	309
61.	6
62.	12
63.	13
64.	4
65.	161
66.	3
67.	6
68.	16
69.	3
70.	209
71.	6
72.	5
73.	1
74.	1
75.	161
76.	1
77.	3
78.	6
80.	112
82.	5
83.	3
84.	1
85.	52
87.	2
89.	1
90.	147
92.	2
93.	4
95.	32
96.	2
97.	1
98.	1
100.	37
102.	1
104.	1
105.	27
107.	3
109.	1
110.	28
115.	16
118.	1
120.	28
121.	1
123.	1
125.	7
130.	11
135.	6

140.		4
145.		1
150.		6
155.		2
165.		2
170.		2
175.		1
180.		2
210.	210 minutes	1
996.	NA	1
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-210
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 996999
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2623 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 210
 Variable Format: numeric

Wishes to receive 'ACCOUNTS OF 1998 STUDY'

Wishes to receive 'ACCOUNTS OF 1998 STUDY'

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, R wishes to receive "1998 ELECTION STUDY REPORT"	363
5.	Not mentioned	2260
6.	NA	1
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2623 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Wishes to receive 'ACCOUNTS OF 2002 STUDY'

Wishes to receive 'ACCOUNTS OF 2002 STUDY'

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, R wishes to receive "2002 ELECTION STUDY REPORT"	1040
5.	Not mentioned	1583
6.	NA	1
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2623 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.1B Personal vote in the parliament election 2002

As you know we had three elections at the same time this year, that is parliamentary, local and regional election. (Except on the island of Gotland, where no regional election was held.) Our questions concern how

you voted in the different elections. We would also like to ask when you decided how to vote, whether you had the chance to watch the concluding party leader debate, and what you thought of the election campaign. The questions are answered by putting crosses in the appropriate boxes.

In this year's election it was possible to cast a personal vote, by putting a cross before one of the candidates on the ballot paper. Did you use the possibility to cast a personal vote in the parliamentary election?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes	223
5.	No	731
0.	INAP	1610
8.	DK/refusal	60
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 954 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.1B Personal vote in the parliament election 2002 - name

If you cast a personal vote, can you recall the name of the candidate you voted for?

Value	Label	Frequency
10090.		1
10142.		1
10152.		1
10228.		1
10264.		1
10358.		1
10516.		2
10534.		2
20020.		1
20088.		3
20136.		1
20164.		1
20172.		1
20196.		1
20200.		1
20244.		1
20292.		2
20308.		1
20336.		1
20348.		1
20364.		1
20384.		1
20392.		1
20396.		1
20400.		1
20404.		1

20412.	1
20424.	1
20444.	1
20456.	1
20504.	2
20528.	1
20544.	1
20552.	1
20576.	1
20584.	1
20588.	1
20612.	1
20632.	2
20660.	3
20664.	1
20692.	1
30012.	1
30140.	1
30172.	1
30628.	1
30680.	1
30712.	1
30832.	1
30932.	3
30968.	1
31000.	1
31076.	1
40088.	2
40176.	1
40320.	1
40360.	1
40384.	1
40424.	1
40488.	1
40520.	1
40552.	1
40568.	1
40672.	1
40696.	1
50072.	1
50080.	1
50096.	1
50144.	1
50152.	1
50160.	1
50232.	1
50240.	1
50256.	1

50264.		1
50304.		1
50352.		1
50408.		1
50504.		1
50528.		1
50568.		2
60080.		1
60200.		2
60328.		1
60360.		1
60368.		1
60376.		1
60408.		1
60592.		10
60656.		2
70080.		1
70176.		1
70336.		1
70352.		1
70432.		4
80000.		1
90000.		2
90048.		2
90800.		11
91056.		1
9998.	NA	2485
9999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 9998-91056

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99989999

Summary Statistics: Valid 139 ; Min. 10090 ; Max. 91056 ; Mean 43319.64 ; StDev 24715.003

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.1B Personal vote in the parliament election 2002 - gender

Personal vote in the parliament election 2002 - gender

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Man	76
2.	Woman	63
0.	INAP	2485
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 139 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.1B Personal vote in the parliament election 2002 - correct/incorrect name*Personal vote in the parliament election 2002 - correct/incorrect name*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Correct name	117
3.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in R's constituency, but on ballot in other constituency	7
5.	Incorrect name, not on ballot in any constituency	14
0.	INAP	2486
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 138 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.4 Time for postal vote

Did you vote in a post office in this year's election? If you voted in a post office, did you do so during the last week before the election, or did you vote in a post office earlier in the campaign?

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	I voted at a polling station	632
2.	I voted in a post office the last week before the election	178
3.	I voted in a post office earlier in the campaign	83
5.	I did not vote in this year's election	88
0.	INAP	1610
6.	NA	33
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 981 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - No access to the Internet

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Do not have access to Internet

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Mentioned	334
5.	Not mentioned	680
0.	INAP	1610
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of morning newspaper

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of a morning newspaper

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	89
3.	Yes, on occasion	117
5.	No, never	420
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	54
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 626 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of evening newspaper

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of an evening newspaper

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	110
3.	Yes, on occasion	128
5.	No, never	392
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	50
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 630 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of TV channel

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of a TV channel

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	51
3.	Yes, on occasion	99
5.	No, never	461
0.	INAP	1944

6.	NA	69
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 611 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Swedish Parliament

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of the Swedish Parliament

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	10
3.	Yes, on occasion	35
5.	No, never	561
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	74
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 606 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the election authority

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of election authority

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	7
3.	Yes, on occasion	28
5.	No, never	569
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	76
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 604 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Left Party

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of the Left Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	7
3.	Yes, on occasion	32
5.	No, never	567
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	74
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 606 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Social Democrats

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of the Social Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	10
3.	Yes, on occasion	45
5.	No, never	554
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	71
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 609 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Centre Party

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of the Centre Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	3
3.	Yes, on occasion	25
5.	No, never	573
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	79
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 601 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the People's Party Liberals

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of the People's Party Liberals

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	8
3.	Yes, on occasion	38
5.	No, never	558
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	76
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 604 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Moderate Party

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of the Moderate Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	12
3.	Yes, on occasion	42
5.	No, never	552
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	74
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 606 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Christian Democrats

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of the Christian Democrats

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	9
3.	Yes, on occasion	24
5.	No, never	567
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	80
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 600 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of the Green Party

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of the Green Party

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	3
3.	Yes, on occasion	34
5.	No, never	565
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	78
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 602 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.7 Political information - Visited home page of one or more MPs

Have you in 2002 visited any of the following homepages on the Internet to get information about politics or find out about the positions of the political parties?

Have visited homepage of one or more members of parliament

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Yes, many times	4
3.	Yes, on occasion	24
5.	No, never	565
0.	INAP	1944
6.	NA	87
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 593 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Interesting and exciting

How would you characterise this year's election campaign?

Interesting and exciting

Mark the characterisations that best describe your view. You may indicate more than one characterisation

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Mentioned	192
5.	Not mentioned	822
0.	INAP	1610
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Too much quarreling among parties

How would you characterise this year's election campaign?

Too much quarreling among parties

Mark the characterisations that best describe your view. You may indicate more than one characterisation

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Mentioned	469
5.	Not mentioned	545
0.	INAP	1610
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Too conflictual and aggressive

How would you characterise this year's election campaign?

Too conflictual and aggressive

Mark the characterisations that best describe your view. You may indicate more than one characterisation

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Mentioned	89
5.	Not mentioned	925
0.	INAP	1610
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09

Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Clear differences between the parties

How would you characterise this year's election campaign?

Clear differences between the parties

Mark the characterisations that best describe your view. You may indicate more than one characterisation

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Mentioned	138
5.	Not mentioned	876
0.	INAP	1610
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Too much focus on the party leaders

How would you characterise this year's election campaign?

Too much focus on the party leaders

Mark the characterisations that best describe your view. You may indicate more than one characterisation

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Mentioned	374
5.	Not mentioned	640
0.	INAP	1610
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Objective and informative

How would you characterise this year's election campaign?

Objective and informative

Mark the characterisations that best describe your view. You may indicate more than one characterisation

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Mentioned	52
5.	Not mentioned	962
0.	INAP	1610
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.8 Election campaign 2002: Difficult to understand the election debate in media

How would you characterise this year's election campaign?

The election debate in the press and on radio and TV was difficult to understand

Mark the characterisations that best describe your view. You may indicate more than one characterisation

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Mentioned	159
5.	Not mentioned	855
0.	INAP	1610
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 09
 Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Left Party

Has your view on the parties changed in this year's election campaign? For each party have you become:

Left Party

For each party - put a cross in the relevant box.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	23
2.	Somewhat more positive	123
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	552
4.	Somewhat more negative	162
5.	Much more negative	99
0.	INAP	1610
6.	NA	55
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 959 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Social Democrats

Has your view on the parties changed in this year's election campaign? For each party have you become:

Social Democrats

For each party - put a cross in the relevant box.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	65
2.	Somewhat more positive	245
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	498
4.	Somewhat more negative	106
5.	Much more negative	58
0.	INAP	1610
6.	NA	42
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 972 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Centre Party

Has your view on the parties changed in this year's election campaign? For each party have you become:

Centre Party

For each party - put a cross in the relevant box.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	33
2.	Somewhat more positive	197
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	518
4.	Somewhat more negative	147
5.	Much more negative	58
0.	INAP	1610
6.	NA	61
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 953 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of People's Party Liberals

Has your view on the parties changed in this year's election campaign? For each party have you become:

People's Party Liberals

For each party - put a cross in the relevant box.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	110
2.	Somewhat more positive	293

3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	378
4.	Somewhat more negative	106
5.	Much more negative	66
0.	INAP	1610
6.	NA	61
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 953 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Moderate Party

Has your view on the parties changed in this year's election campaign? For each party have you become:

Moderate Party

For each party - put a cross in the relevant box.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	15
2.	Somewhat more positive	58
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	432
4.	Somewhat more negative	240
5.	Much more negative	212
0.	INAP	1610
6.	NA	57
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 957 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Christian Democrats

Has your view on the parties changed in this year's election campaign? For each party have you become:

Christian Democrats

For each party - put a cross in the relevant box.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	24
2.	Somewhat more positive	123
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	517
4.	Somewhat more negative	181
5.	Much more negative	107
0.	INAP	1610

6.	NA	62
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 952 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.9 Election campaign 2002: View of Green Party

Has your view on the parties changed in this year's election campaign? For each party have you become:

Green Party

For each party - put a cross in the relevant box.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	39
2.	Somewhat more positive	193
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	472
4.	Somewhat more negative	132
5.	Much more negative	119
0.	INAP	1610
6.	NA	59
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 955 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Gudrun Schyman

And has your view of the party leaders changed in this year's election campaign?

Gudrun Schyman

For each party leader - put a cross in the relevant box

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	48
2.	Somewhat more positive	168
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	480
4.	Somewhat more negative	156
5.	Much more negative	108
0.	INAP	1618
6.	NA	46
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 960 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
Variable Format: numeric

MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Göran Persson

And has your view of the party leaders changed in this year's election campaign?

Göran Persson

For each party leader - put a cross in the relevant box

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	136
2.	Somewhat more positive	269
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	401
4.	Somewhat more negative	101
5.	Much more negative	60
0.	INAP	1618
6.	NA	39
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
Summary Statistics: Valid 967 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
Variable Format: numeric

MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Maud Olofsson

And has your view of the party leaders changed in this year's election campaign?

Maud Olofsson

For each party leader - put a cross in the relevant box

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	92
2.	Somewhat more positive	208
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	421
4.	Somewhat more negative	140
5.	Much more negative	64
0.	INAP	1645
6.	NA	54
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
Summary Statistics: Valid 925 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
Variable Format: numeric

MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Lars Leijonborg

And has your view of the party leaders changed in this year's election campaign?

Lars Leijonborg

For each party leader - put a cross in the relevant box

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	167
2.	Somewhat more positive	280
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	336
4.	Somewhat more negative	111
5.	Much more negative	54
0.	INAP	1625
6.	NA	51
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 948 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Bo Lundgren

And has your view of the party leaders changed in this year's election campaign?

Bo Lundgren

For each party leader - put a cross in the relevant box

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	21
2.	Somewhat more positive	71
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	425
4.	Somewhat more negative	257
5.	Much more negative	182
0.	INAP	1618
6.	NA	50
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 956 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Alf Svensson

And has your view of the party leaders changed in this year's election campaign?

Alf Svensson

For each party leader - put a cross in the relevant box

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	50
2.	Somewhat more positive	151
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	525
4.	Somewhat more negative	137
5.	Much more negative	85
0.	INAP	1618
6.	NA	58
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 948 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Peter Eriksson

And has your view of the party leaders changed in this year's election campaign?

Peter Eriksson

For each party leader - put a cross in the relevant box

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	69
2.	Somewhat more positive	165
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	412
4.	Somewhat more negative	88
5.	Much more negative	68
0.	INAP	1772
6.	NA	50
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 802 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

MQ.10 Election campaign 2002: View of Maria Wetterstrand

And has your view of the party leaders changed in this year's election campaign?

Maria Wetterstrand

For each party leader - put a cross in the relevant box

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Much more positive	82
2.	Somewhat more positive	191
3.	Neither more positive nor more negative	394

4.	Somewhat more negative	78
5.	Much more negative	65
0.	INAP	1760
6.	NA	54
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 6-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 810 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Mail questionnaire - date of arrival

Mail questionnaire - date of arrival

Value	Label	Frequency
20920.	20 September 2002	63
20923.	23 September 2002	248
20924.	24 September 2002	188
20925.	25 September 2002	59
20927.	27 September 2002	79
20930.	30 September 2002	26
21001.	1 October 2002	21
21002.	2 October 2002	10
21003.	3 October 2002	8
21004.	4 October 2002	15
21007.	7 October 2002	26
21008.	8 October 2002	21
21009.	9 October 2002	8
21010.	10 October 2002	7
21011.	11 October 2002	3
21014.	14 October 2002	5
21015.	15 October 2002	5
21016.	16 October 2002	9
21017.	17 October 2002	17
21018.	18 October 2002	15
21021.	21 October 2002	13
21022.	22 October 2002	7
21024.	24 October 2002	8
21025.	25 October 2002	2
21028.	28 October 2002	4
21029.	29 October 2002	1
21030.	30 October 2002	1
21031.	31 October 2002	2
21104.	4 November 2002	17
21105.	5 November 2002	10
21106.	6 November 2002	2
21107.	7 November 2002	3
21111.	11 November 2002	7

21112.	12 November 2002	4
21115.	15 November 2002	2
21126.	26 November 2002	1
21205.	5 December 2002	97
0.	INAP	1610
99999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 20920-21205

Range of Invalid Data Values: 099999

Summary Statistics: Valid 1014 ; Min. 20920 ; Max. 21205

Variable Format: numeric

Interviewed in 1998

Interviewed in 1998

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Interviewed in 1998	1114
5.	Not interviewed in 1998	2674

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Age - three categories

Age - three categories

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	18-30 years	810
2.	31-60 years	2100
3.	61-80 years	878

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-3

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 3

Variable Format: numeric

Age - seven categories

Age - seven categories

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	18-21 years (first time voters)	238
2.	21-30 years	572
3.	31-40 years	728
4.	41-50 years	660
5.	51-60 years	712
6.	61-70 years	462
7.	71-80 years	416

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-7

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 7
Variable Format: numeric

Born in Sweden or abroad

Born in Sweden or abroad

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Born in Sweden	3454
2.	Born outside of Sweden	324
9.	NA	10

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-2
Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
Summary Statistics: Valid 3778 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 2
Variable Format: numeric

Marital status (SCB)

Marital status (SCB)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Not married	1518
2.	Married	1652
3.	Divorced	432
4.	Widow/widower	185
5.	Registred partner	1

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
Variable Format: numeric

Place of living - four categories

Place of living - four categories

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Countryside	381
2.	Town or village	516
3.	Large town or city	1087
4.	Stockholm, Goteborg or Malmo	328
8.	DK/refusal	4
6.	NA	308
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
Summary Statistics: Valid 2316 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
Variable Format: numeric

H-region

Main region (H-region)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Stockholm and suburbs	711
3.	Municipalities (MC) with more than 90 000 inhabitants within an area of 30 kilometres radius from the MC centre	1386
4.	MCs with more than 27 000 inhabitants and less than 90 000 inhabitants within an area of 30 kilometres radius from the MC centre and with more than 300 000 inhabitants within 100 kilometres radius of the MC centre	726
5.	MCs with more than 27 000 inhabitants and less than 90 000 inhabitants within an area of 30 kilometres radius from the MC centre and with less than 300 000 inhabitants within 100 kilometres radius of the MC centre	197
6.	MCs with less than 27 000 inhabitants within an area of 30 kilometres radius of the MC centre	203
8.	Goteborg and suburbs	359
9.	Malmo and suburbs	204
96.	NA	2

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-9

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96

Summary Statistics: Valid 3786 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 9

Variable Format: numeric

County*County*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Stockholm county	729
3.	Uppsala county	121
4.	Sodermanland county	115
5.	Ostergotland county	176
6.	Jonkoping county	146
7.	Kronoberg county	79
8.	Kalmar county	106
9.	Gotland county	21
10.	Blekinge county	68
12.	Skane county	463
13.	Halland county	117
14.	Vastra Gotaland county	644
17.	Varmland county	134
18.	Orebro county	116
19.	Vastmanland county	114
20.	Kopparberg county	125
21.	Gavleborg county	122
22.	Vasternorrland county	116
23.	Jamtland county	56
24.	Vasterbotten county	100

25.	Norrbottnen county	118
96.	NA	2

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-25

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96

Summary Statistics: Valid 3786 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 25

Variable Format: numeric

Constituency parliamentary election

Constituency parliamentary election

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Stockholm municipality	314
2.	Stockholm county	415
3.	Uppsala county	121
4.	Sodermanland county	115
5.	Ostergotland county	176
6.	Jonkoping county	146
7.	Kronoberg county	79
8.	Kalmar county	106
9.	Gotland county	21
10.	Blekinge county	68
11.	Malmo municipally	93
12.	Skane county west	108
13.	Skane county south	140
14.	Skane county north and east	122
15.	Halland county	117
16.	Goteborg municipality	200
17.	Vastra Gotaland county west	137
18.	Vastra Gotaland county north	107
19.	Vastra Gotaland county south	84
20.	Vastra Gotaland county east	116
21.	Varmland county	134
22.	Orebro county	116
23.	Vastmanland county	114
24.	Dalarna county	125
25.	Gavleborg county	122
26.	Vasternorrland county	116
27.	Jamtland county	56
28.	Vasterbotten county	100
29.	Norrbottnen county	118
96.	NA	2

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-29

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96

Summary Statistics: Valid 3786 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 29

Variable Format: numeric

Education - three categories*Education - three categories. Built on question 66B (V500)*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Low education (1-3 in Q.66B)	457
2.	Neither low nor high education (4-7 in Q.66B)	1141
3.	High education (8-9 in Q.66B)	710
6.	NA	316
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-3

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2308 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 3

Variable Format: numeric

Occupational sector*Occupational sector*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agriculture	78
2.	Production: Manufacture, etc.	509
3.	Circulation: Trade, communications, etc.	600
4.	Reproduction: Care, education, culture, etc.	574
5.	Administration	332
6.	Student	193
0.	INAP	25
8.	NA	313
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 2286 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Occupational sector, maximized from 1998*Occupational sector, maximized with information from the 1998 Swedish Election Study*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Agriculture	79
2.	Production: Manufacture, etc.	538
3.	Circulation: Trade, communications, etc.	623
4.	Reproduction: Care, education, culture, etc.	589
5.	Administration	340
6.	Student	193
8.	NA	262
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 89
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2362 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Occupational belonging

Occupational belonging

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Industrial workers	233
2.	Other workers	481
3.	Salaried employees, lower position	224
4.	Salaried employees, middle position	572
5.	Salaried employees, higher position and large-scale entrepreneur	391
6.	Small entrepreneurs	150
7.	Farmers	42
8.	Students	193
0.	INAP	25
96.	NA	313
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-0
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2286 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Occupational belonging, maximized from 1998

Occupational belonging, maximized with information from the 1998 Swedish Election Study

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Industrial workers	252
2.	Other workers	497
3.	Salaried employees, lower position	233
4.	Salaried employees, middle position	585
5.	Salaried employees, higher position and large-scale entrepreneur	402
6.	Small entrepreneurs	157
7.	Farmers	43
8.	Students	193
96.	NA	262
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2362 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8
 Variable Format: numeric

Public or private employer

Public or private employer. Built on question 65G (V494)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Public	877
2.	Private	1336
5.	Refusal	10
0.	INAP	86
8.	NA	315
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-0

Summary Statistics: Valid 2223 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Assessed income

The variable is built on the total sample (including non-response individuals) and has five categories according to following principle of distribution: 15-20-30-20-15

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very low income (15% lowest incomes)	556
2.	Low income (16-35 percentile)	758
3.	Middle income (36-65 percentile)	1141
4.	High income (66-85 percentile)	758
5.	Very high income (15% highest incomes)	570
9.	MD	5

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9

Summary Statistics: Valid 3783 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Disposable income

The variable is built on the total sample (including non-response individuals) and has five categories according to following principle of distribution: 15-20-30-20-15

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very low income (15% lowest incomes)	561
2.	Low income (16-35 percentile)	759
3.	Middle income (36-65 percentile)	1139
4.	High income (66-85 percentile)	761
5.	Very high income (15% highest incomes)	566
9.	MD	2

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9

Summary Statistics: Valid 3786 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Knowledge index 1: Number of correct answers*Knowledge index 1: Number of correct answers on questions 32A-H*

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No correct answer	216
1.	One correct answer	178
2.	Two correct answers	240
3.	Three correct answers	529
4.	Four correct answers	311
5.	Five correct answers	199
6.	Six correct answers	175
7.	Seven correct answers	101
8.	Eight correct answers	142
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Knowledge index 2: Number of correct answers*Knowledge index 2: Number of correct answers on questions 33A-H*

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No correct answer	29
1.	One correct answer	72
2.	Two correct answers	108
3.	Three correct answers	263
4.	Four correct answers	382
5.	Five correct answers	460
6.	Six correct answers	424
7.	Seven correct answers	269
8.	Eight correct answers	84
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric

Knowledge index 1+2: Number of correct answers*Knowledge index 1+2: Number of correct answers on questions 32A-H and 33A-H*

Value	Label	Frequency
0.	No correct answer	22

1.	One correct answer	29
2.	Two correct answers	49
3.	Three correct answers	83
4.	Four correct answers	106
5.	Five correct answers	127
6.	Six correct answers	186
7.	Seven correct answers	246
8.	Eight correct answers	284
9.	Nine correct answers	245
10.	Ten correct answers	186
11.	Eleven correct answers	141
12.	Twelve correct answers	109
13.	Thirteen correct answers	100
14.	Fourteen correct answers	80
15.	Fifteen correct answers	76
16.	Sixteen correct answers	22
96.	NA	533
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 0-16

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 0 ; Max. 16

Variable Format: numeric

Knowledge index - five categories

Knowledge index - five categories. The index is constructed on all 16 knowledge questions. All respondents answering at least one of the 14 questions, correct or incorrect, are part of the index. Only respondents answering "does not know" or who refused to answer all 16 questions, are not included in the index.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Very low knowledge	289
2.	Fairly low knowledge	313
3.	Neither low nor high knowledge	775
4.	Fairly high knowledge	436
5.	Very high knowledge	278
6.	NA	533
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69

Summary Statistics: Valid 2091 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Reason to vote 1, controlled

Reason to vote 1, controlled (question 34I - compare V310)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Skyldighet, plikt, samhällsmedborgare i en demokrati bör rös	541

2.	Stolt att få rösta, glad att leva i ett land där man får rös	19
10.	Rättighet	143
11.		1
20.	Vana, tradition	40
30.	Valet är en högtid	1
40.	Inflytande, vara med och påverka, allmänt	136
41.	Delaktig i demokratin, får deltaga och säga sin mening	103
42.	Bidra till förändring	22
43.	Valets utgång betydelsefull, vari ups röst betydelsefull	3
44.	Påverka regeringsbildningen, regeringsfrågan	76
45.	Påverka politiken, allmänt	29
46.	Påverka vem som blir vald	6
47.	Sakfråga-generat röstande, allmänt	58
48.	Sakfråga-generat röstande, nämner EU/EMU	1
50.	Taktik, allmänt	17
51.	Minska risken att små riksdagspartier faller ur riksdagen	1
52.	Minska risken att extrempartier blir representerade i riksda	5
60.	Intresse, politiskt intresserad allmänt	2
80.	Partiets politik, allmänt	20
81.	Partiets ideologi	4
82.	Partiets politik i enskilda sakfrågor	1
90.	Partiledarreferenser	5
100.	Sociala gruppreferenser, allmänt	1
101.	Class, occupation	4
102.	Age	5
104.	Region/glesbygd	1
110.	Påverkan av omgivningen, allmänt	3
111.	Påverkan av vänner och bekanta	1
112.	Påverkan av familjen	5
449.	Up säger att han/hon röstar men anger inga tydliga skäl för	22
500.	Other reason	13
885.	Did not vote	207
886.	Voted blank	27
888.	DK/refusal	53
0.	INAP	1048
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 0999

Summary Statistics: Valid 1576 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Reason to vote 2, controlled

Skäl till röstning 2, kontrollerad (Fråga 34I - jämför V311)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Skyldighet, plikt, samhällsmedborgare i en demokrati bör rös	83
2.	Stolt att få rösta, glad att leva i ett land där man får rös	26

10.	Rättighet	73
20.	Vana, tradition	2
30.	Valet är en högtid	1
40.	Inflytande, vara med och påverka, allmänt	57
41.	Delaktig i demokratin, får deltaga och säga sin mening	48
42.	Bidra till förändring	6
43.	Valets utgång betydelsefull, vari ups röst betydelsefull	1
44.	Påverka regeringsbildningen, regeringsfrågan	7
45.	Påverka politiken, allmänt	18
47.	Sakfråga-generat röstande, allmänt	29
48.	Sakfråga-generat röstande, nämner EU/EMU	1
50.	Taktik, allmänt	2
51.	Minska risken att små riksdagspartier faller ur riksdagen	2
52.	Minska risken att extrempartier blir representerade i riksda	3
80.	Partiets politik, allmänt	9
82.	Partiets politik i enskilda sakfrågor	1
90.	Partiledarreferenser	1
101.	Class, occupation	1
102.	Age	2
103.	Gender	3
110.	Påverkan av omgivningen, allmänt	1
449.	Up säger att han/hon röstar men anger inga tydliga skäl för	3
885.	Did not vote	207
886.	Voted blank	27
888.	DK/refusal	53
0.	INAP	1957
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 0999

Summary Statistics: Valid 667 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Reason to vote 3, controlled

Skäl till röstning 3, kontrollerad (Fråga 341 - jämför V312)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Skyldighet, plikt, samhällsmedborgare i en demokrati bör rös	6
2.	Stolt att få rösta, glad att leva i ett land där man får rös	6
40.	Inflytande, vara med och påverka, allmänt	3
41.	Delaktig i demokratin, får deltaga och säga sin mening	3
42.	Bidra till förändring	1
44.	Påverka regeringsbildningen, regeringsfrågan	4
47.	Sakfråga-generat röstande, allmänt	16
48.	Sakfråga-generat röstande, nämner EU/EMU	1
60.	Intresse, politiskt intresserad allmänt	1
80.	Partiets politik, allmänt	2
102.	Age	1

103.	Gender	1
500.	Other reason	1
885.	Did not vote	207
886.	Voted blank	27
888.	DK/refusal	53
0.	INAP	2291
999.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-888

Range of Invalid Data Values: 0999

Summary Statistics: Valid 333 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 888

Variable Format: numeric

Party identification: direction - summary

PIPA Partiidentifikationens riktning - sammanfattning. Bygger på frågorna 24A-D (V235-V238)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	203
2.	Social Democrats	822
3.	Centre Party	122
4.	People's Party Liberals	224
5.	Moderate Party	277
6.	Christian Democrats	159
7.	Green Party	77
9.	Other party	15
55.	No party	349
88.	DK/refusal	69
96.	NA	307
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Party identification: strength - summary

PISA Partiidentifikationens styrka - sammanfattning. Bygger på frågorna 24A-D (V235-V238)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Strong party identification	419
2.	Weak party identification	512
3.	Only party preference	982
4.	No party preference	404
6.	NA	307
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-4

Range of Invalid Data Values: 69
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2317 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 4
 Variable Format: numeric

Time of party choice - controlled

Tidpunkt för röstningsbeslut - kontrollerad

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Last week before election	786
2.	Earlier during autumn and summer	503
3.	R knew all along which party to vote for	979
5.	Did not vote	306
6.	NA	28
8.	MD in questionnaire	22
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 8-6
 Summary Statistics: Valid 2574 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Voted in the 2002 parliament election - controlled

Röstat i riksdagsvalet 2002

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Voted in the 2002 parliament election	3141
5.	Did not vote in the 2002 parliament election	637
9.	NA	10

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3778 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Voted in the 2002 county council election - controlled

Röstat i landstingsvalet 2002

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Voted in the 2002 county council election	3059
5.	Did not vote in the 2002 county council election	719
9.	NA	10

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5
 Range of Invalid Data Values: 9
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3778 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5
 Variable Format: numeric

Voted in the 2002 local parliament election - controlled

Röstat i kommunfullmäktigevalet 2002

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Voted in the 2002 local parliament election	3092
5.	Did not vote in the 2002 local parliament election	686
9.	NA	10

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-5

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9

Summary Statistics: Valid 3778 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 5

Variable Format: numeric

Voted in the 1999 EU parliament election 1998 - controlled*Röstat i Europaparlamentsvalet 1999*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Voted in the 1999 EU parliamentary election	1389
5.	Did not vote in the 1999 EU parliamentary election	2088
6.	Not entitled to vote in the 1999 EU parliamentary election	311

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Voted in the 1998 parliament election - controlled*Valdeltagande riksdagsvalet 1998 - kontrollerad*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Voted in the 1998 parliament election	2946
5.	Did not vote in the 1998 parliament election	545
6.	Not entitled to vote in the 1998 parliament election	297

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Voted in the 1998 county council election - controlled*Valdeltagande landstingsvalet 1998 - kontrollerad*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Voted in the 1998 county council election	2864
5.	Did not vote in the 1998 county council election	627
6.	Not entitled to vote in the 1998 county council election	297

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6

Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6

Variable Format: numeric

Voted in the 1998 local election - controlled*Valdeltagande kommunfullmäktigevalet 1998 - kontrollerad*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Voted in the 1998 local election	2900
5.	Did not vote in the 1998 local election	591
6.	Not entitled to vote in the 1998 local election	297

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Postal vote in the 2002 election - controlled*Poströstning 2002*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Postal vote in the 2002 election	868
5.	Not postal vote in the 2002 election	2277
6.	Did not vote	643

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Postal vote in the 1999 EU parliamentary election - controlled*Poströstning EU-parlamentsvalet 1999 - kontrollerad*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Postal vote in 1999 EU parliamentary election	409
5.	Not postal vote in the 1999 EU parliamentary election	3068
6.	Not entitled to vote in the 1999 EU parliamentary election	311

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Postal vote in the 1998 election - controlled*Poströstning valet 1998 - kontrollerad*

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Postal vote in the 1998 parliamentary election	814
5.	Not postal vote in the 1998 parliamentary election	2677
6.	Not entitled to vote in the 1998 parliamentary election	297

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-6
 Summary Statistics: Valid 3788 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 6
 Variable Format: numeric

Vote in the 2002 parliamentary election - summary

Röstning i riksdagsvalet 2002-sammanfattning. Bygger på intervjufråga 34B/E:1A (V303). Korrigerad med uppgift om valdeltagande från röstlängden (V610)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	188
2.	Social Democrats	849
3.	Centre Party	127
4.	People's Party Liberals	351
5.	Moderate Party	296
6.	Christian Democrats	202
7.	Green Party	140
8.	Other party	33
85.	Did not vote	306
86.	Voted blank	45
88.	DK/refusal	87
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99

Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Vote in the 2002 local government election - summary

Röstning i kommunfullmäktigeval 2002-sammanfattning. Bygger på intervjufråga 34C/E:2A (V304). Korrigerad med uppgift om valdeltagande från röstlängden (V612)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	176
2.	Social Democrats	791
3.	Centre Party	188
4.	People's Party Liberals	282
5.	Moderate Party	345
6.	Christian Democrats	138
7.	Green Party	106
8.	Other party	89
85.	Did not vote	338
86.	Voted blank	53
88.	DK/refusal	96
96.	NA	22
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9699

Summary Statistics: Valid 2602 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Vote in the 2002 county council election - summary

Röstning i landstingsvalet 2002-sammanfattning. Bygger på intervjufråga 34D/E:3A (V305). Korrigerad med uppgift om valdeltagande från röstlängden (V611)

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	179
2.	Social Democrats	777
3.	Centre Party	151
4.	People's Party Liberals	287
5.	Moderate Party	319
6.	Christian Democrats	164
7.	Green Party	98
8.	Other party	87
85.	Did not vote	346
86.	Voted blank	63
88.	DK/refusal	114
90.	INAP	21
96.	NA	22
99.	MD	1160

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 96-90

Summary Statistics: Valid 2585 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Vote in the 1998 parliamentary election - summary

Röstning 1998 - kontrollerad minnesdata Bygger på fråga 41A-B (V372-V373) och uppgifter från röstlängden.

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Left Party	219
2.	Social Democrats	777
3.	Centre Party	111
4.	People's Party Liberals	132
5.	Moderate Party	396
6.	Christian Democrats	195
7.	Green Party	80
9.	Other party	8
10.	Voted blank	26
55.	Did not vote in 1998	298
84.	First time voter 2002	168
88.	DK/refusal	214
99.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-88

Range of Invalid Data Values: 99

Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 88

Variable Format: numeric

Change of party since the 1998 parliamentary election

Change of party between the 1998 parliamentary election and the 2002 parliamentary election

Value	Label	Frequency
1.	Change of party 1998-2002	576
5.	No change of party 1998-2002	1238
6.	Not entitled to vote in 1998	547
7.	Voted blank	60
8.	DK/refusal	203
9.	MD	1164

Range of Valid Data Values: 1-8

Range of Invalid Data Values: 9

Summary Statistics: Valid 2624 ; Min. 1 ; Max. 8

Variable Format: numeric